

COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA

Tuesday, July 8, 2025 COUNCIL CHAMBERS – CIVIC SQUARE

All Meetings can be viewed at: City of Welland website: <u>https://www.welland.ca/Council/LiveStream.asp</u> YourTV: The meeting will be aired live on Channel 700

Council Information Packages are available on the City of Welland website at https://www.welland.ca/Council/CouncilInformationPackages.asp

Pages

- 1. COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE (IN-CAMERA) (5:30 P.M.)
 - 1.1 PERSONAL MATTERS ABOUT AN IDENTIFIABLE INDIVIDUAL, INCLUDING MUNICIPAL OR LOCAL BOARD EMPLOYEES:
 - 1. Human Resources Matter.
 - 1.2 RECEIVING OF ADVICE THAT IS SUBJECT TO SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE, INCLUDING COMMUNICATIONS NECESSARY FOR THAT PURPOSE:
 - 1. Hunters Pointe Redevelopment Subdivision.
- 2. ARISE FROM COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE (IN-CAMERA)
- 3. OPEN COUNCIL MEETING 7:00 P.M.
 - 3.1 LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
 - 3.2 NATIONAL ANTHEM
 - 3.3 OPENING REMARKS
 - 3.4 ADDITIONS/DELETIONS TO AGENDA
 - 3.5 ADOPTION OF MINUTES
 - 1. Regular Council Meeting of June 17, 2025.

4 - 10

- 3.6 CALL UPON THE CITY CLERK TO REVIEW COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE (IN-CAMERA) ITEMS TO BE ADDED TO THE AGENDA BLOCK
- 3.7 DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

3.8 COUNCILLORS TO DETERMINE AGENDA ITEMS AND BY-LAWS TO BE REMOVED FROM THE BLOCK FOR DISCUSSION IN COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE (OPEN)

4. ORAL REPORTS AND DELEGATIONS

- 4.1 PRESENTATIONS NIL
- 4.2 LEGISLATED PUBLIC HEARINGS/MEETINGS NIL
- 4.3 DELEGATIONS (10 minutes maximum per delegation)
 - Jon Braithwaite, CEO, The Hope Centre, Shelly Mousseau, Program Director, Gateway and Jeffrey Sinclair, Manager of Homeless Services, Niagara Region re: Ontario Road Shelter/Homelessness system. Ref. No. 21-30 (Background information included in Council members packages).

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council receives for information the presentation by Jon Braithwaite, CEO, The Hope Centre, Shelly Mousseau, Program Director, Gateway and Jeffrey Sinclair, Manager of Homeless Services, Niagara Region regarding Ontario Road Shelter/Homelessness system.

4.4 AGENCIES, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEE REPORTS - NIL

5. COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE (OPEN)/AGENDA BLOCK

| 5.1 | Hunters Pointe Redevelopment Subdivision – Request to Remove Condition of Draft Plan of Subdivision Approval for an Overpass. Ref. No. 25-75 | 22 - 331 |
|-----|--|-----------|
| 5.2 | 2024 Year-End Operating Budget Variance Report and 2024 Capital Progress Report. Ref. No. 25-4 | 332 - 368 |
| 5.3 | 2025 Capital Project Close-Out Report #1. Ref. No. 25-4 | 369 - 374 |
| 5.4 | Update to Traffic By-law 89-2000. Ref. No. 25-22 | 375 - 390 |
| 5.5 | Civic Square Revitalization Detailed Design RFP Award. Ref. No. 99-99 | 391 - 412 |
| 5.6 | Applications for Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-Law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision for 210 and 276 Quaker Road. Ref. No. 25-35 | 413 - 491 |
| 5.7 | Request for Redline Revision to Draft Plan of Common Element Condominium – Warbler Place – 205 Lancaster Drive. Ref. No. 23-105 | 492 - 496 |

- 6. NEW BUSINESS NIL
- 7. BY-LAWS
 - 7.1 A By-law to amend appointment By-laws 2018-48, 2018-49 and 2018-50. Ref. No. 25-22, 23

- 7.2 A By-law to provide for the appointment of Deputy Fire Chief(s) to act as Fire Chief during the absence of the Fire Chief for the City of Welland Fire and Emergency Services; and to repeal By-law 2021-70 and By-law 2022-19. Ref. No. 25-15
- 7.3 A By-law to authorize signing authority on behalf of the City of Welland; and to repeal By-laws 2013-82 and 2016-178. Ref. No. 25-4
- 7.4 A By-law to exempt certain lands from Part-Lot Control Block 7, Plan 59M-528, Parts 1 to 8 (inclusive), 59R-18367, (953, 957, 961, 967 Clare Avenue), City of Welland. Ref. No. 25-84
- 7.5 A By-law to exempt certain lands from Part-Lot Control Block 14, Plan 59M-528, Parts 1 to 3 (inclusive), 59R-18335, (79, 83, Harvest Oak Drive), City of Welland. Ref. No. 25-85
- 7.6 A By-law to exempt certain lands from Part-Lot Control Block 15, Plan 59M-528, Parts 1 to 4 (inclusive), 59R-18337, (67, 75 Harvest Oak Drive), City of Welland. Ref. No. 25-85
- 8. NOTICES OF MOTION
 - 8.1 COUNCILLOR MATTERS DISCUSSED WITH STAFF FOR REPORTING PURPOSES - (For the purpose of informing the public on a matter that has been discussed with staff since the previous Council Meeting)
 - 8.2 NOTICES OF MOTION (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED FOR DISCUSSION)
 - (Councillor Chiocchio)
 City of Welland staff report back to Council with costs of
 installing large roadway markings indicating school crossing
 zone as well as with mid road signage indicating speed. Staff to
 concentrate on high traffic school zones and for the report to
 come back prior to the September 2025 school year, and take
 an example of the Town of Pelham as they have school
 markings zones. Ref. No. 25-22
 - 8.3 CALL FOR NOTICES OF MOTION (TO BE INTRODUCED AT THE NEXT SCHEDULED COUNCIL MEETING)
- 9. CORPORATION REPORTS
 - 9.1 MAYOR'S REPORT
 - 9.2 COUNCILLORS WARD REPORT/ACTIVITIES (2 minutes per Councillor)
 - 9.3 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S REPORT
- 10. CONFIRMATORY BY-LAW
 - 10.1 A By-law to adopt, ratify and confirm proceedings of the Council of the Corporation of the City of Welland at its meeting held on the 8th day of July, 2025.
- 11. ADJOURNMENT





MINUTES OF THE

JUNE 17, 2025 COUNCIL MEETING

CIVIC SQUARE, COUNCIL CHAMBERS 60 EAST MAIN STREET

Council met in Committee-of-the-Whole closed to the public at 5:31 p.m. and in open session at 7:02 p.m. on the above date.

His Worship Mayor Frank Campion in the Chair.

Members Present:

Councillors J. Chiocchio, T. DiMarco (virtual at 5:47 p.m.- 9:47 p.m., the Councillor was removed from the meeting due to using inappropriate language), B. Fokkens (virtual until 9:15 p.m.), M.A. Grimaldi, J. Lee, D. McLeod (5:37 p.m.), A. Moote, C. Richard, S. Setaram, G. Speck (virtual), and L. Van Vliet (until 9:15 p.m.).

Members of Staff and Others Present:

Chief Administrative Officer, R. Axiak Director of Legislative Services/City Clerk, T. Stephens Director of Community Services, J. Ruddell Director of Infrastructure Services, SM. Millar Director of Planning and Development Services, G. Munday Director of Strategic Initiatives and Economic Development, L. DeChellis Manager of Budgets & Financial Servies/Deputy Treasurer, A. Vuksan Scott Supervisor of Festivals & Events, E. Carl (5:31 p.m. - 7:02 p.m.) Manager of Business and Community Services, A. Degazio (5:31 p.m. - 7:02 p.m.) Manager of Transportation, A. Khan (7:15 p.m. - 9:16 p.m.) Page 4 of 497

<u>25-25</u> Moved by Chiocchio and Van Vliet

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND meet, with the Mayor as Chair, in Committee-of-the-Whole closed to the public at 5:31p.m. to consider:

• A matter in respect of which a council, board, committee or other body may hold a closed meeting under another Act;

- Under the Business Corporations Act - Welland Hydro-Electric Holding Corporation.

- Welland Hydro-Electric Holding Corporation Annual General Meeting Election and Confirmation of Director.
- Security of the property of the municipality or local board;
 - Property Matter.
- Litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board;
 - Update on Facility Tenant Matter.
- A position, plan, procedure, criteria or instruction to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the municipality or local board;
 - Transfer of Gateway Community Improvement Plan Incentives for 200 Buchner Road.

2025 - 151

<u>25-25</u> Moved by Moote and Grimaldi

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND arise from its closed Committee-ofthe-Whole meeting at 6:46 p.m. without report.

CARRIED

PRESENTATION

<u>99-49</u> Kevin Caver, President and CEO , Mario Falvo, Nominating Committee Chair, and Tim Clutterbuck, Chair of Holding Corp., Welland Hydro-Electric Holding Corporation addressed Council regarding its annual general meeting.

DELEGATIONS

- **<u>25-28</u>** Sue Barnett, Trustee/Chair, District School Board of Niagara addressed Council regarding an update on Welland schools.
- **<u>25-28</u>** Leslie Monger, Executive Director of Community Living, Community Living Welland Pelham addressed Council regarding an overview of Community Living.

2025 - 152

<u>25-1</u> Moved by Richard and Lee THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND hereby approves and adopts the minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of June 3, 2025, as circulated.

99-43 Moved by McLeod and Grimaldi THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND receives for information the presentation by Kevin Carver, President and CEO, Mario Falvo, Nominating Committee Chair, and Tim Clutterbuck, Chair of Holding Corp., Welland Hydro-Electric Holding Corporation regarding its annual general meeting.

CARRIED

2025 - 154

99-43 Moved by McLeod and Chiocchio

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND approves the appointment of the auditors for Welland Hydro.

CARRIED

2025 - 155

<u>99-43</u> Moved by Richard and Moote THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND approves the receipt of the Corporation's audited financial statements.

CARRIED

2025 - 156

99-43 Moved by Chiocchio and Van Vliet

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND as recommended by Welland Hydro-Electric Holding Corp. elects Tim Clutterbuck as Director to the board of directors of each of Welland Hydro-Electric Holding Corp., Welland Hydro-Electric System Corp. and Welland Hydro Energy Services Corp. for a three (3) year term.

CARRIED

2025 - 157

99-43 Moved by Moote and Grimaldi

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND as recommended by Welland Hydro-Electric Holding Corp. elects David Augustyn as Independent Director to the board of directors of Welland Hydro-Electric System Corp., for a three (3) year term.

CARRIED

2025 - 158

<u>21-30</u> Moved by DiMarco and Lee

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND form a State of Emergency Committee on an ad hoc basis to better address Welland declared state of emergency on mental health, homelessness and addiction; and

THAT Welland City Council focus on recruiting individuals with lived experience with mental health, homelessness and addictions and this be reflected in the call for applications; and further

THAT Welland City Council approach the Niagara Region to request that a Niagara Region staff member who works in the homelessness services also sit on the new committee.

YEAS: Chiocchio, DiMarco, Lee, Richard and Speck

NAYS: Mayor Campion, Grimaldi, McLeod, Moote and Setaram

24-90 Moved by McLeod and Setaram THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND approves the Neighbourhood Association Policy found in Appendix 1.

.....

2025 - 160

24-90 Moved by Fokkens and Lee THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND refers Report CS-2025-20: Neighbourhood Association Policy back to staff.

YEAS: Lee, Speck, Chiocchio, DiMarco, Richard and Fokkens.

NAYS: McLeod, Grimaldi, Setaram, Moote, Van Vliet and Mayor Campion.

LOST

2025 - 161

24-90 Moved by Lee and Setaram THAT Welland City Council amends changing the hours to 104 hours/2 hours per week for Dain City Recreation.

YEAS: Fokkens, Lee, Richard and Speck.

<u>NAYS:</u> Mayor Campion, Chiocchio, DiMarco, Grimaldi, McLoed, Moote, Setaram and Van Vliet.

LOST

FOLLOWING THE LOST VOTES ON THE AMENDMENTS, THE MAIN MOTION WAS PUT IN ITS ORIGINAL FORM AND

YEAS: Mayor Campion, Grimaldi, Lee, McLeod, Moote, Setaram and Van Vliet.

NAYS: Chiocchio, DiMarco, Fokkens Richard and Speck.

CARRIED

2025 - 162

<u>25-6</u> Moved by Lee and Richard (in block)

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND declares June 6, 2025 as "Hope Air Day" in the City of Welland.

CARRIED

2025 - 163

25-6 Moved by Lee and Richard (in block) THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND declares July 1, 2025 as "All Canadian Simultaneous Drumming Celebration Day".

25-22 Moved by Lee and Richard (in block)

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND receives the staff review and concludes that the City would not benefit further from the establishment of a Welland School Traffic Safety Action Committee.

CARRIED

2025 - 165

<u>25-28</u> Moved by Setaram and Lee

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND receives for information the presentation by Sue Barnett, Trustee/Chair, District School Board of Niagara regarding an update on Welland school.

CARRIED

2025 - 166

25-28 Moved by Moote and Grimaldi

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND receives for information the presentation by Leslie Monger, Executive Director of Community, Community Living Welland Pelham regarding a brief overview of Community Living updates.

CARRIED

2025 - 167

<u>25-51</u> Moved by Chiocchio and Moote

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND approves lands municipally known as, Lot 172 of Subdivision Plan NP951 to be conveyed to Habitat for Humanity Niagara for the construction of a new affordable single-detached dwelling.

THAT Welland City Council directs staff to expense the costs of the service laterals from the Affordable Housing Reserve Fund.

CARRIED

2025 - 168

<u>25-59</u> Moved by McLeod and Moote

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND accepts the tender from 788893 ONTARIO LIMITED o/a Niagara Crack Sealing in the amount of \$72,500.00 (plus HST) being the lowest bid received for the 2025 Crack Sealing Program; and further THAT Welland Council approves the reallocation of \$5,000.00 of funding from the 2023 Road Resurfacing project to the 2025 Crack Sealing Program.

<u>25-78</u> Moved by Chiocchio and Richard

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND reestablish the Community Watch Program in our community by replacing some of the faded community watch signage that is out there now across the city and possibly looking at some kind of community stickers for residents; and

THAT the City provides an educational piece to talk about crime prevention; and further THAT city staff develop a report for Council's consideration.

YEAS: Chiocchio, DiMarco, Lee, Richard and Speck.

NAYS: Mayor Campion, Grimaldi, McLeod, Moote and Setaram.

LOST

BY-LAWS ARISING FROM COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE (IN-CAMERA)

Moved by McLeod and Chiocchio

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND having given due consideration to the following By-law, as reproduced in this evening's Council Agenda, now read a first, second and third time and pass same, and authorize the Mayor and Clerk to sign and seal same.

<u>24-47</u>

BYL 2025 - 74

A By-law to authorize the purchase of lands from the registered owners of lands municipally described as 432 Ridge Road and 476 Ridge Road, Welland, ON.

YEAS: Mayor Campion, Grimaldi, Lee, McLoed, Moote, Richard and Setaram.

NAYS: Chiocchio, DiMarco and Speck.

CARRIED

BY-LAWS

Moved by Richard and Moote

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND having given due consideration to the following By-law, as reproduced in this evening's Council Agenda, now read a first, second and third time and pass same, and authorize the Mayor and Clerk to sign and seal same.

<u>25-1</u>

BYL 2025 - 75

A By-law to adopt, ratify and confirm proceedings of the Council of the Corporation of the City of Welland at its meeting held on the 17th day of June 2025.

Council adjourned at 9:55 p.m.

These Minutes to be approved and adopted by Motion of Council this 8th day of July 2025.



Housing Focused Shelter Ontario Road, Welland

And How the Regional Homelessness Programs Work Together

The Hope Centre Gateway (NASO) Homelessness Services, Niagara Region

Shelter Overview

- Housing Focused Shelter located on Ontario Road
- Funded by Niagara Region, operated by The Hope Centre
- Capacity 60 people (individuals and couples)- Supports include meals, case management, housing navigation.
- Huge thanks to City of Welland, Niagara Regional Housing and Niagara Region Homelessness services for all working together to not only open this facility, but to have it open in time for clients to have a bed in time for Christmas.



Utilization Data

- 162 Unique guests
- 101 percent Average Daily Occupancy
- 25 guests exited to Housing.
- 68% of housed clients staying housed after 180 days.
- Average length of stay 29 days
- Common barriers to housing affordability (Current market one bedroom in Welland is \$1500 and up), ID, Mental Health Supports
- Key Partners include: Niagara Region, Niagara Assertive Street Outreach (NASO), REACH Niagara, Positive Living, Return 2 Hope, Niagara Paramedic Outreach, Niagara Regional Police (CORE unit), etc.



Community Impact

- Monthly Community Relations Committee consisting of area residents, Hope Centre staff, Niagara Regional Police, Niagara Region Staff, Welland City staff and other interested parties.
- Weekly meetings with shelter guests where one of the standing agenda items is the importance of being a good neighbour.
- Attendance at Woodrose Co-op board meetings.
- We have staff outside at both morning and afternoon bell times.
- Presented at Diamond Trail school in regular contact with both Diamond Trail principal and chair of DSBN. No issues reported.



Homelessness System – working together to support the Welland Community

Prevention program – The Hope Centre

Eviction Prevention and Re-housing



NASO Niagara Assertive Street Outreach



- The Work of NASO Supporting Homeless individuals in Welland & Niagara
- Encampments (Mapping Tool and Task Force)
- Working together (Shelters & Housing Options)

HOW do we help?

- 211
- Diversion
- After-hours shelter placement



HOUSING OUR HOMELESS

- Housing First
- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Building for the future
- Affordability



Niagara Homeless Increase Compared to Ontario (2022-2024)



Homelessness in Welland June 2025

| | May and June 2025 | Comments |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Homeless | 163 people | 14% of all people experiencing homelessness in the Niagara region |
| Chronic Homelessness | 99 people | 61% of people from Welland experiencing homelessness |
| Unsheltered Homelessness | 35 people | Compared to 20 in May 2024. Trending downward from a high of 63 in October 2024 |
| Encampments | 2-5 on any given day | Down from 17-19 in 2024 |

Why we are seeing success in Welland?

- New spaces at Ontario Road shelter
- Revised NASO Model
- Collaboration (City of Welland, Police, Fire, Homelessness Services, NASO, Hope Centre)
- Welland approach to housing development
- Welland commitment to affordable housing

COUNCIL MEETING

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES – PLANNING DIVISION

REPORT P&B-2025-31 July 8, 2025

| SUBJECT: | Hunters Pointe Redevelopment Subdivision – Request to Remove Condition of Draft Plan of Subdivision Approval for an Overpass |
|------------------------|--|
| AUTHOR: | Taylor Meadows, CPT, BURPI, RPP, MCIP Manager of Development Planning |
| | Michael Greenlee, RPP, MCIP Manager of Planning |
| APPROVING DIRECTOR: | Grant Munday, B.A.A., RPP, MCIP Director of Planning and Development Services |

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council denies the request by 2599587 Ontario Ltd. ("**259**") to amend the draft plan conditions of approval for the Hunters Pointe Subdivision by deleting Condition #92, which requires the construction of an overpass.

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN

This recommendation is aligned to Council's strategic priority of ensuring "Liveability" by creating a sense of belonging while enhancing mobility by improving access to recreation and community events, ensuring adequate housing options, encouraging job growth, and improving ways to efficiently move people throughout the city.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

A request for modification of a draft plan of subdivision condition has been received for the Hunters Pointe Redevelopment Subdivision. The proposal seeks to delete Condition #92 related to the construction of an overpass. Staff have reviewed this proposal and recommend that Council denies the request to delete Condition #92.

BACKGROUND:

On June 9, 2020 the Council of the City of Welland approved a draft plan of subdivision, official plan amendment and zoning by-law amendment for the lands municipally known as 289 Daimler Parkway, subject to conditions of draft plan approval (see Appendix II). At the time of the approval, one condition was added by Council via motion as follows:

"That an overpass be constructed to the satisfaction of the Ministry of Transportation (MTO), Region of Niagara and the City of Welland, from Hunters Pointe Redevelopment to Oxford Drive, crossing the 406 at the sole expense of the developer; and further, that the overpass permits safe passage of both vehicular and pedestrian traffic, that the developer pay for road upgrades on Oxford Drive [sic] to Atlas Avenue, and that the overpass be completed no later than when the development commences its 600th unit."

Council's decision to adopt the official plan amendment ("**OPA**") and pass the zoning by-law amendment ("**ZBA**") was appealed to the Ontario Land Tribunal (**OLT**") by Highland Residents Association ("**HRA**") and the adjacent developer, 2090572 Ontario Inc. ("**209**"). Following a mediation process, the City entered into Minutes of Settlement with the HRA, 259 and 209 to resolve the appeals and the OLT approved a modified OPA and ZBA with conditions of draft approval on June 13, 2022.

On March 4, 2024 the City received a submission from Davies Howe LLP on behalf of 259 that requested the deletion of Condition #92 related to the construction of an overpass.

Upon receipt of the request to delete Condition #92, staff retained the consulting services of R.V. Anderson Associates Limited ("**R.V.**") to complete a traffic impact study for the lands surrounding Daimler Parkway. R.V. is a multidisciplinary engineering consulting firm that specializes in a range of areas; including, transportation planning. The completed traffic impact study (TIS) is appended to this report for reference. The TIS provided the following conclusion:

"If the proposed magnitude of developments is to be implemented by the 2051 horizon, undesirable operating conditions are expected in the form of significant congestion along the Daimler Parkway corridor and queue spillback blocking adjacent intersections. Therefore, it is recommended that an additional non-emergency access be provided for the lands east of Highway 406 to accommodate the development traffic demand. The additional access will add new transportation capacity to the roadway network allowing for diversion of traffic away from the Daimler Parkway corridor thus improving its operation under the future (2051) total traffic scenario. Additionally, there will be surplus capacity within the roadway system to consider the potential for increased densities if desired. This additional route choice will also reduce out of way travel and overall commute time for the traffic heading to the south and west."

The Minutes of Settlement related to this approval provide that if Council is to consider amending or deleting Condition #92, the City is to provide 259, 209 and the HRA with notice and an opportunity to make delegations. A circulation of the request to modify (delete) draft plan conditions in reference to this file was published in the Welland Tribune on April 15, 2025 and on the City's planning public notices webpage. A public meeting was held on April 29, 2025 at the General Committee meeting of Council.

The public meeting offered the opportunity to interested parties to provide oral submissions related to the request. In addition to counsel for 259, two (2) members of the public participated in addition to the applicant. Comments received included the following:

- Concerns related to the ability to access the area with emergency vehicles;
- Potential for traffic to increase and create congestion; and,
- Request to maintain previous decision.

DISCUSSION:

A request for modification to draft plan of subdivision conditions was received in reference to the proposed deletion of Condition #92 (see Appendix II) of the Hunters Pointe Subdivision.

As a result of this request, staff retained a traffic consultant to complete a Traffic Impact Study ("**TIS**"). The findings of this TIS support the construction of an additional non-emergency access to accommodate traffic to be generated by the Hunters Pointe Subdivision. The TIS suggests that the additional access is necessary to address an increase to transportation capacity which will accommodate the expected development in the area.

The submitted request was circulated for comment to local and external agencies; including, the MTO and Fire Division. It is noted that the MTO and Fire Division are supportive of maintaining the condition related to the construction of an overpass.

Based on the findings of the TIS and the comments provided from commenting agencies, Staff recommend that Council deny the request to remove Condition #92.

FINANCIAL:

There are no financial implications as a result of this report.

ATTACHMENT:

| Appendix I | - | Key Map |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Appendix II | - | Draft Plan of Subdivision Conditions |

| Appendix III | - | Draft Plan of Subdivision |
|--------------|---|--|
| Appendix IV | - | Consolidated Traffic Impact Study – Developable Lands East |
| | | of Highway 406 |
| Appendix V | - | Relevant Correspondence |
| | | |

26T-14-18003





Subject LandsMunicipal Boundary



- 1. That the Owner enter into a Subdivision Agreement with the City of Welland that is to be registered on title.
- 2. That no grading or construction work shall commence until such time as the Subdivision Agreement has been entered into and financial securities are in place.
- 3. That all development must conform to the City of Welland's 'Municipal Standards', as amended.
- 4. That all necessary easements required for utility and servicing purposes be granted to the appropriate Authority, free and clear of all encumbrances.
- 5. That the Owner dedicates to the City, the parklands identified, free and clear of all encumbrances.
- 6. That the Owner provide a Tree Planting Fee to the City at the time of registration of the plan of subdivision.
- 7. That the Owner submit an Urban Design Brief to the satisfaction of the City of Welland, which explains how the proposed Plan of Subdivision will comply with the City's Urban Design Guidelines and creates a plan with which all development must comply,
- 8. That, the Owner submit to the City at the registration of the first phase of the development, a Letter of Credit representing their portion of the cost of constructing the emergency entrance onto Highway 406, as well as the cost of constructing a road connection to the emergency entrance.
- 9. That the Owner shall be responsible for receiving approval from the Ministry of Transportation for the design of the emergency access shown on Block 758 of the Draft Plan of Subdivision.
- 10. That the Owner shall be responsible for their portion of the costs associated with the design and construction of the emergency access, as well as the costs of the construction of any temporary connection roads.
- 11. That the emergency access control gates be equipped with EMTRAC technology for emergency services purposes, the cost of which is to be borne by the Owner.
- 12. That the Owner implement the recommendations found in the Hunters Pointe Redevelopment Transportation Study Update completed by R. J. Burnside & Associates Ltd, dated February 2019, at their cost.
- 13. That prior to final approval, the owner shall submit to the Ministry of Transportation for their review and approval, a stormwater management report indicating the intended treatment of the calculated runoff and the impacts of drainage on the Highway 406 right-of-way.

- 14. That prior to final approval, the owner shall submit to the Ministry of Transportation for their review and approval, a traffic impact study indicating the anticipated volumes generated by the subdivision and their impacts on Highway 406/Daimler Parkway/Woodlawn Road interchange.
- 15. That the Owner be responsible for the costs associated with any required road upgrades outlined in the Traffic Impact Statement, as approved by the City, Region and Ministry of Transportation.
- 16. That the Owner design and construct the proposed traffic circle at Daimler Parkway/Proposed Intersection, as well as an eastbound right-turn by-pass lane, at their cost.
- 17. The Owner construct the proposed off-road bicycle and pedestrian trail on Block 769, to the satisfaction of the City and the Ministry of Transportation. All costs associated with the design and construction will be the responsibility of the Owner.
- 18. That the Owner be responsible for the upgrade and replacement of the existing sanitary sewer infrastructure on Oxford Road, from Brown Road to the Highway 406, to accommodate sanitary sewer flows coming from the golf course redevelopment, to the east of Highway 406. All costs associated with the installation/replacement will be at the sole expense of the Owner.
- 19. That the Owner upgrade and replace the existing watermain along Oxford Road to accommodate any future needs and looping requirements related to the golf course redevelopment. This would include the connection to the intersection of Brown and Oxford Roads. The City of Welland has identified this watermain in its 2019 Development Charges By-Law. A portion of the watermain replacement will be eligible for a contribution from the City of Welland in accordance with the by-law. All other costs associated with their portion upgrade/replacement will be at the expense of the Owner.
- 20. That the Owner submit a complete engineering design of the sanitary sewer and watermain connections, including specific details of the subterranean crossing, to the Ministry of Transportation and the City, for works required within the Highway 406 Corridor. The Owner shall be responsible for all associated costs.
- 21. The Owner shall install the watermain looping under Highway 406. The cost to do such crossing shall be split by the two developing entities including the subject lands (Hunters Pointe Plan of Subdivision) and the South Village Plan of Subdivision (City File 26T-14-18004). The costs to be borne by each shall be calculated on a per area basis each paying for their fair share of the installation and crossing under the Highway 406. The applicant will enter into a front ending agreement with the City, as permitted under the Development Charges Act, to ensure that cost sharing occurs.

...continued...

Page 28 of 497

- 22. The Owner shall reconstruct Oxford Road to a semi-urban standard, to the satisfaction of the City. All costs associated with the reconstruction will be at the sole expense of the Owner.
- 23. The Owner shall review servicing options of a gravity sewer lowering, crossing the Highway 406, in place of a sanitary sewage pumping station, considering the sanitary sewers along Daimler Parkway crossing under Highway 406 will need to be enlarged to accommodate the increased flows.
- 24. If lowering the sanitary sewers is not acceptable or possible, the Owner will be required to construct a sanitary sewage pumping station at their expense, and provide a one-time 'maintenance payment' to the City. The one time payment shall be determined to be 25% of the cost of the pump station construction. The Owner will also be responsible for 100% of the maintenance of the pumping station up until the time of assumption of the entire subdivision, and then required to maintain it for up to a period of five (5) years after assumption.
- 25. Any sanitary infrastructure required to be installed to accommodate flows from the South Village Plan of Subdivision directed to Oxford Road through the former golf course lands, to enable the golf course property to utilize infrastructure installed for the proposed South Village, shall be at the expense of the Owner (2599587 Ontario Ltd.).
- 26.All recommendations of the Peer Review performed by GM BluePlan for the Wastewater Peer Review, dated May 5, 2020, be reviewed and incorporated into the final design.
- 27.All recommendations of the Peer Review performed by WOOD for the Stormwater Peer Review, dated Rev Feb 27, 2020, be reviewed and incorporated into the final design.
- 28.All recommendations of the Peer Review performed by Associated Engineering (AE) for the water servicing peer review, dated Jan 28, 2020, be reviewed and incorporated into the final design.
- 29. All costs related to existing and future Peer Reviews shall be paid for by the Owner.
- 30. The owner shall indicate in the Agreement, in words satisfactory to Bell Canada, that it will grant to Bell Canada any easements that may be required, which may include a blanket easement, for communication/telecommunication infrastructure. In the event of any conflict with existing Bell Canada facilities or easements, the Owner shall be responsible for the relocation of such facilities or easements.

...continued

÷.,

- 31. The owner shall ensure all of the Hydro One Networks In technical requirements are met to its satisfaction, and acquire all applicable agreements, and the developer must contact Jim Oriotis, Senior Real Estate Co-ordinator (905)-946-6261 to discuss all aspects of the subdivision design.
- 32. Prior to Hydro One Networks Inc providing its final approval, the developer must make arrangements satisfactory to Hydro One Networks Inc for any lot grading and drainage. Digital PDF copies of the lot grading and drainage plans (true scale), showing existing and proposed final grades, must be submitted to Hydro One Networks Inc for review and approval. The drawings must identify the transmission corridor, location of towers within the corridor and any proposed uses within the transmission corridor. Drainage must be controlled and directed away from the transmission corridor.
- 33. Any development in conjunction with the subdivision must not block vehicular access to any Hydro One Networks Inc facilities located on the transmission corridor. During construction, there must be no storage of materials or mounding of earth, snow, or other debris on the transmission corridor.
- 34. At the developer's expense, temporary fencing must be placed along the transmission corridor prior to construction, and permanent fencing must be erected where subdivision lots directly abut the transmission corridor after construction is completed.
- 35. The costs of any relocations or revisions to Hydro One Networks Inc facilities which are necessary to accommodate the subdivision will be borne by the developer. The developer will be responsible for restoration of any damages to the transmission corridor or Hydro One Networks Inc facilities thereon resulting from construction of the subdivision.
- 36. Hydro One Networks Inc's easement rights must be protected.
- 37. The transmission lines abutting the subject lands operate at either 500 000, 230 000, or 115 000 volts. Section 188 of Regulation 213/91 pursuant to the *Occupational Health and Safety Act*, require that no object be brought closer than 6 metres (20 feet) to an energized 500 kV conductor. The safe vertical distance for 230 kV conductors is 4.5 metres (15 feet), and for 115 kV conductors it is 3 metres (10 feet). It is the developer's responsibility to be aware, and to make all personnel on site aware, that all equipment and personnel must come no closer than the safe vertical distance specified in the *Act*. All parties should also be aware that the conductors can raise and lower without warning, depending on the electrical load placed on the line.

. j.

- 38. The Owner shall ensure the safety setback of habitable buildings from the railway rights-of-way to be a minimum of 15 metres in conjunction with a safety berm. The safety berm shall be adjoining and parallel to the railway rights-of-way with returns at the ends, 2.0 metres above grade at the property line, with side slopes not steeper than 2.5 to 1.
- 39. The Owner shall engage a consultant to undertake an analysis of noise. At a minimum, a noise attenuation barrier shall be adjoining and parallel to the railway rights-of-way, having returns at the ends, and a minimum total height of 4.0 metres above top-of-rail. Acoustic fence to be constructed without openings and of a durable material weighing not less than 20 kg. per square metre of surface area. Subject to the review of the noise report, CNR may consider other measures recommended by an approved Noise Consultant.
- 40. Ground-borne vibration transmission to be evaluated in a report through site testing to determine if dwellings within 75 metres of the railway rightsof-way will be impacted by vibration conditions in excess of 0.14 mm/sec RMS between 4 Hz and 200 Hz. The monitoring system should be capable of measuring frequencies between 4 Hz and 200 Hz, ±3dB with an RMS averaging time constant of 1 second. If in excess, isolation measures will be required to ensure living areas do not exceed 0.14 mm/sec RMS on and above the first floor of the dwelling.
- 41. The Owner shall install and maintain a chain link fence of minimum 1.83 metre height along the mutual property line with CNR.
- 42. The following clause is required to be inserted in all development agreements, offers to purchase, and agreements of Purchase and Sale or Lease of each dwelling unit within 300 metres of the railway right-of-way: "Warning: Canada National Railway Company or its assigns or successors in interest has or have a rights-of-way within 300 metres from the land the subject hereof. There may be alterations to or expansions of the railway facilities on such rights-of-way in the future including the possibility that the railway or its assigns or successors as aforesaid may expand its operations, which expansion may affect the living environment of the residents in the vicinity, notwithstanding the inclusion of any noise and vibration attenuating measures in the design of the development and individual dwelling(s). CNR will not be responsible for any complaints or claims arising from use of such facilities and/or operations on, over or under the aforesaid rights-of-way."
- 43. Any proposed alterations to the existing drainage pattern affecting railway property must receive prior concurrence from the CNR and be substantiated by a drainage report to the satisfaction of the CNR.

- 44. The Owner shall through restrictive covenants to be registered on title and all agreements of purchase and sale or lease provide notice to the public that the safety berm, fencing and other vibration isolation measures implemented are not to be tampered with or altered and further that the Owner shall have sole responsibility for and shall maintain these measures to the satisfaction of CN.
- 45. The Owner shall enter into an Agreement stipulating how CN's concerns will be resolved and will pay CN's reasonable costs in preparing and negotiating the agreement.
- 46. The Owner shall be required to grant CN an environmental easement for operational noise and vibration emissions, registered against the subject property in favour of CN.
- 47. That the Owner shall include on all offers of purchase and sale, a statement that advises the prospective purchaser:
 - a. That the home/business mail delivery will be from a designated Centralized Mail Box.
 - b. That the developers/owners be responsible for officially notifying the purchasers of the exact Centralized Mail Box locations prior to the closing of any home sales.
- 48. That the Owner further agrees to:

· .

- a. Work with Canada Post to determine and provide temporary suitable Centralized Mail Box locations which may be utilized by Canada Post until the curbs, boulevards and sidewalks are in place in the remainder of the subdivision.
- b. Install a concrete pad in accordance with the requirements of and in locations to be approved by Canada Post to facilitate the placement of Community Mail Boxes.
- c. Identify the pads above on the engineering servicing drawings. Said pads are to be poured at the time of the sidewalk and/or curb installation within each phase of the plan of subdivision.
- d. Determine the location of all centralized mail receiving facilities in co-operation with Canada Post and to indicate the location of the centralized mail facilities on appropriate maps, information boards, and plans. Maps are also to be prominently displayed in the sales office(s) showing specific Centralized Mail Facility locations.
- 49. Canada Post's multi-unit policy, which requires that the owner/developer provide the centralized mail facility (front loading lockbox assembly or rear-loading mailroom (mandatory for 100 units or more)), at their own expense, will be in effect for buildings and complexes with a common lobby, common indoor, or sheltered space.

, î.,

- 50. That the Developer obtain a Work Permit from the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority prior to beginning any site alteration, including restoration work, within 15 metres of watercourse UN-1. In support of the Work Permit, the following information will be required:
 - a. A landscape plan demonstrating adequate riparian planting to ensure a naturalized riparian corridor along watercourse UN-1.
 - b. Any other information as may be determined at the time a Work Permit application is submitted to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.
- 51. That the Developer obtain a Work Permit from the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority prior to beginning any site alteration, including restoration work, within 30 metres of the Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) north of the subject lands. In support of the Work Permit application, the following information will be required:
 - a. A landscape plan demonstrating appropriate restoration and enhancement plantings in the PSW buffer.
 - b. Any other information as may be determined at the time a Work Permit application is submitted to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.
- 52. That the Developer obtain a Work Permit(s) from the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority prior to beginning any site alteration, grading within any area regulated by the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority, including work on watercourse UN-3.
- 53. That the O2 zone for Blocks 769, 780, and 786 be amended to prohibit any buildings or structures to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.
- 54. That the Developer submit to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for review and approval, a landscape plan demonstrating adequate riparian planting to ensure a naturalized riparian corridor along watercourse UN-1, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.
- 55. That the Developer submit to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for review and approval, a landscape plan demonstrating appropriate restoration and enhancement plantings in the PSW buffer, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.

۰<u>`</u>,

- 56. That the Developer submit to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for review and approval, a stormwater servicing plan showing how flow from UN-3 is being maintained through the subject lands, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.
- 57. That the Developer submit to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for review and approval, detailed grading and construction sediment and erosion control plans. The plans will provide limit of work fencing or other similar barrier to watercourse UN-1, the 30 metre buffer to the PSW and the 7.5 metre setback from the physical top of slope to the Welland River valleylands (as identified by the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority staff).
- 58. That the Developer provide a 1.5 metre high chain link fence along the boundary of Block 786, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.
- 59. That conditions requested by the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority be incorporated into the Subdivision Agreement between the Developer and the City of Welland, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority. The City of Welland shall circulate the draft Subdivision Agreement to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for its review and approval.
- 60. That following the completion of any site remediation, the Owners shall file a Record of Site Condition (RSC) on the Ministry of Environment, Conservation, and Parks [Brownfields] Environmental Site Registry in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04, as amended and that the owner provide the Niagara Region and the City with copies of the Environmental Site Assessment and site remediation reports as well as a copy of the Ministry of the Environment's written acknowledgement of the filing of the RSC.
- 61. That the subdivision agreement between the Owner and the City contain provisions whereby the Owner agrees to implement the approved noise mitigation measures as outlined in the *Noise* & *Vibration Feasibility Study* (dated November 4, 2019) prepared by Aerocoustics Engineering Ltd.
- 62. That the following warning clause be included in the Subdivision Agreement and inserted into all Agreements of Purchase and Sale or Lease for each dwelling unit:

"The lands in the plan of subdivision may be exposed to reduced air quality and/or odour, dust, or vibration impacts from nearby industrial/commercial operations that may interfere with some activities of the owners/tenants who occupy these lands."

...continued...

Page 34 of 497

, î î,

- 63. That Channel UN-1 and its 30 metre wide buffer/corridor (i.e. portions of Blocks 769, 780, and 786) be zoned Environmental Conservation Area (ECA) or similar zoning which achieves the same level of protection.
- 64. That the subdivision agreement contain wording wherein the Owner agrees to implement the mitigation measures and recommendations found in Section 7.0 of the EIS, including but not limited to:
 - a. That vegetation removals take place between October 1st and March 15th, outside of both the breeding bird nesting period and bat active season; and,
 - b. That standard best management construction practices shall be used to mitigate construction dust, noise, and/or exhaust fumes and prevent spills.
- 65. That a Landscape/Buffer Planting Plan be prepared for Regional staff approval, buy a full member of the Ontario Association of Landscape Architects (OALA), to identify and illustrate the location of additional native trees, shrubs, and groundcover to be planted along the Welland River, within the 30 metre corridor along Drainage Feature UN-1, and along the slopes of Pond 6e and 6g. Only native vegetation that provides for wildlife habitat and improved ecological functions will be supported.
- 66. That a copy of an active Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) for Stormwater Management Pond 6e be submitted to the Region.
- 67. That permanent rear-lot fencing be provided for all lots bordering Channel UN-1 and the Welland River. Rear yard gates will not be permitted to reduce human encroachment and limit movement of pets into the adjacent natural areas.
- 68. That the Grading Plan be provided for Regional Staff approval.
- 69. That an Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plan be provided for Regional staff approval. The ESC Plan shall include details for, but not limited to, ESC fencing and other ESC measures, dust suppression and topsoil storage. ESC measures must be monitored regularly to ensure they are functioning properly and promptly fixed if issues are identified.
- 70. That a Tree Savings Plan be prepared in accordance with the Niagara Region Tree and Forest Conservation By-law (By-law 30-2008) Section 1.36 and provided for Regional staff approval.
- 71. That a Fish and Wildlife Rescue Plan be provided for Regional staff approval.
- 72. That a Wetland Restoration Plan be provided for Regional staff approval.

• ;

- 73. That an Invasive Species Management Plan and implementation strategy be prepared for the subject lands in an effort to enhance the Core Natural Heritage System remaining post-development.
- 74. That the subdivision agreement contain wording wherein the owner agrees to implement the recommendations of the approved Grading Plan, ESC Plan, Tree Saving Plan, Fish and Wildlife Rescue Plan, Wetland Restoration Plan, and Invasive Species Management Plan.
- 75. That the Owner obtain all necessary approvals from the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) relating to Species at Risk (SAR) on the subject lands and provide Regional staff with a copy of the MECP approval. If required by the MECP, the Owner shall revise the layout of the subdivision in order to conform with the requirements of the *Endangered Species Act.*
- 76. That the following clauses shall be included in the Subdivision Agreement between the Owner and the City of Welland:

"Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered on the property during construction activities, construction and alteration of the site shall immediately cease and the owner shall notify the Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Culture and Tourism Industries in London (519-675-6898) and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out archaeological fieldwork in compliance with Section 48(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act."

"As on virtually any property in Southern Ontario, it is possible that Aboriginal or Euro-Canadian burials could be present within the development area. In the event that human remains are encountered during construction activities, construction shall immediately cease and the proponent shall notify the Niagara Regional Police, the local coroner, the Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Culture and Tourism Industries (London Office), and the Registrar, Cemeteries Regulation Unit of the Ontario Ministry of Consumer Services in Toronto (416-326-8392)".

77. That prior to final approval for registration of this plan of subdivision, the Owner shall submit design drawings for proposed work along the north end of the Hunters Pointe Golf Course Subdivision plan required to service this development to ensure that there is no conflicts with the Regional forcemain.
- ````

- 78. That the Owner provides a written acknowledgement to the Niagara Region Planning and Development Services Department stating that draft approval of this subdivision does not include a commitment of servicing allocation by the Niagara Region as servicing allocation will not be assigned until the plan is registered and that any pre-servicing will be at the sole risk and responsibility of the Owner.
- 79. That the Owner provides a written undertaking to the Niagara Region Planning and Development Services Department stating that all Offers and Agreements of Purchase and Sale or Lease, which may be negotiated prior to registration of this subdivision, shall contain a clause indicating that servicing allocation for the subdivision will not be assigned until the plan is registered, and a similar clause be inserted into the Subdivision Agreement between the Owner and the City.
- 80. That prior to final approval for registration of this plan of subdivision, the Owner shall submit the design drawings (with calculations) for any proposed municipal sanitary and storm drainage systems required to service this development and obtain Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks, Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) under the Transfer of Review Program.
- 81. That the Owner provide a detailed servicing study which shall include, overall servicing strategy for the developments in the area, detailed plan and profile drawings and required Regional costs analysis information, to ensure that all development in the area can be serviced by gravity to the trunk sewer or by gravity to the proposed pumping station and this servicing study shall be reviewed and approved by the Regional staff prior to design of a future Regional Pumping Station. This condition is only required if the SPS is intended to be transferred to the Region.
- 82. That the Owner comply with the Regional Design standards for a pumping station and forcemain, engage Regional staff in the design works and receive Regional review and approval prior to submitting Environmental Compliance Certificates to the MECP for approval. This condition is only required if the SPS is intended to be transferred to the Region.
- 83. That the Owner transfer the pumping station land block to the Region once the size of the property has been reviewed and approved by Regional staff, the minimum land block required will be 40m x 40m. This condition is only required if the SPS is intended to be transferred to the Region.

...continued...

, '**`**,

- 84.That a noise and odour study be completed for the proposed sewage pumping station and required mitigation measures are completed for the existing and proposed lots surrounding the SPS and that the subdivision agreement contain wording acceptable to the Region wherein the Owner agrees to implement the approved mitigation measures. This condition is only required if the SPS is intended to be transferred to the Region.
- 85.That the assumption of the Pumping Station and forcemain will not occur until all the Regional conditions and policy conditions are completed, as well as the subdivision has reached 50% of the build-out and Regional staff have reviewed and approved the information provided. This condition is only required if the SPS is intended to be transferred to the Region.
- 86.That the Owner and the City obtain a connection permit for the proposed new connection to the Regional Trunk Sanitary sewer and removal of the existing connection. This condition is only required if a new connection to the Regional Trunk Sanitary is required.
- 87.That prior to approval of the final plan or any on-site grading, the Owner shall submit a detailed stormwater management plan for the subdivision and following plans designed and sealed by a qualified professional engineer in accordance with the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks documents entitled <u>Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual</u>, March 2003 and <u>Stormwater Quality Guidelines for New Development</u>, May 1991, or their successors to the Niagara Region Planning and Development Services Department for review and approval:
 - a. Detailed lot grading, servicing, and drainage plans, noting both existing and proposed grades and the means whereby overland flows will be accommodated across the site;
 - b. Detailed erosion and sedimentation control plans;
 - c. Detailed phasing of construction of the stormwater management facility to coincide with phasing of development of residential lands (internal and external to the subdivision) planned to be serviced by the stormwater management facility.
- 88.That the Subdivision Agreement between the Owner and the City contain provisions whereby the Owner agrees to implement the approved plan(s) required in accordance with the condition above.
- 89.That the Owner ensure that all streets and development blocks can provide an access in accordance with the Regional Municipality of Niagara policy and bylaws relating to the curb-side collection of waste and recycling throughout all phases of development. If developed in phases, where a through street is not maintained, the owner shall provide a revised draft plan to reflect temporary turnaround/cul-de-sac with a minimum curb radius of 12.8 metres.

...continued...

90. That prior to granting approval for the Final Plan of Subdivision, City of Welland Planning Division will require written notice from the following upon their respective Conditions of Draft Plan Approval have been met satisfactorily:

Canada Post Conditions: 47, 48, 49

Region of Niagara Conditions: 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 7, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority Conditions: 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59

Ministry of Transportation: 13, 14, 15

Bell Canada: 30

· · · ,

Hydro One Networks Inc.: 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37

Canadian National Rail/GIO Railway: 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46

91. That if Final Approval is not given to this Plan within four (4) years of the approval date, and no extensions have been granted, Draft Approval shall lapse. If the Owner wishes to request extension of Draft Plan Approval, a written request with reasons why the extension is required and the applicable application fee, must be received by the City prior to the lapsing date; and further

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND grants its approval conditional on an overpass being constructed to the satisfaction of the Ministry of Transportation (MTO), Region of Niagara and the City of Welland, from Hunters Pointe Redevelopment to Oxford Drive, crossing the 406 at the sole expense of the developer; and further

...continued...



| | | STREE 2012 | |
|---|---|---|------------|
| | SCHEDULE OF LA | AND USE | |
| DESCRIPTION | LOT / BLOCK NO. | RESIDENTIAL UNITS | AREA (ha.) |
| SINGLE DETACHED RESIDENTIAL MINIMUM LOT WIDTH 15.24m (50') | 36, 37, 49, 60-88, 115-129, 402-426, 430-443, 448-467, 469-489, 493-499, 504-507, 534, 539, 542, 547 | 146 | |
| MINIMUM LOT WIDTH 12.19m (40') | 1-11, 15-35, 38-47, 50-59, 96-114, 130-401, 427-431, 444-447, 468, 490-492, 500-503, 508-513, 515-533, 535-538, 540, 541, 543-546, 548-565, 588-597, 608-624, 628, 629, 633-640 | 447 | 32.67 |
| MINIMUM LOT WIDTH 10.67m (35') | 12-14, 48, 89-95, 514, 566-587, 598-607, 625-627, 630-632, 641-747 | 154 | |
| TOTAL SINGLE DETACHED | | 747 | |
| STREET TOWNHOUSE MINIMUM LOT WIDTH 6.1m | 754-757, 760-764, 777-785, 789-796 | 160 | 3.82 |
| CONDO TOWNS | 759 | 35 | 1.19 |
| | 786 | 51 | 0.81 |
| | 750, 751, 771-774 | 201 | 3.98 |
| NET DEVELOPABLE TOTAL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND | 749, 752, 769, 788 | 1194 | 7.10 |
| OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION | 748, 753, 758, 765, 766-768, 770, 775, 776, 797 | | 17.43 |
| RIGHT OF WAY | STREETS A-Y | | 17.82 |
| TOTAL SITE AREA | | 1194 | 84.82 |





Consolidated Traffic Impact Study

Developable Lands East of Highway 406

Final

Prepared for: The City of Welland

This Technical Memorandum is protected by copyright and was prepared by R.V. Anderson Associates Limited for the account of the City of Welland. It shall not be copied without permission. The material in it reflects our best judgment in light of the information available to R.V. Anderson Associates Limited at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this Technical Memorandum, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. R.V. Anderson Associates Limited accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this Technical Memorandum.

RVA 247526

January 24, 2025



CONSOLIDATED TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

DEVELOPABLE LANDS EAST OF HIGHWAY 406

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | INTRC | UCTION | 1 |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | 1.1 | tudy Area | .1 |
| 2.0 | STUD | METHODOLOGY | 2 |
| | 2.1 2.2 | Corridor Capacity Analysis | .2 .3 |
| | | .2.1 Microscopic Traffic Analysis | 4 |
| 3.0 | EXIST | G (2024) TRAFFIC CONDITIONS | 4 |
| | 3.1 3.2 | xisting (2024) Traffic Volumes licroscopic Model Calibration | .4 .6 |
| | | 2.1 Volume Calibration 2.2 Queue Calibration 2.3 Railway Operations 2.4 Iterations, Seeding and Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 7 7 8 8 |
| | 3.3 | xisting (2024) Traffic Conditions | .8 |
| | | .3.1 Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Existing (2024) Conditions | 8 9 9 |
| 4.0 | FUTUI | TRAFFIC CONDITIONS | 11 |
| | 4.1 | roposed Development | 11 |
| | | .1.1 Trip Distribution and Assignment | 13 |
| | 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 | rip Assignment raffic Growth Rate uture (2031) Total Traffic Volumes uture (2051) Total Traffic Volumes uture (2051) Total Traffic Conditions | 13 15 15 17 19 |
| | | .6.1 Corridor Capacity Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions | 19 1 19 20 |
| 5.0 | SENSI | VITY ANALYSES | 23 |
| | 5.1 | ensitivity Analysis #1: Future (2051) Total Traffic Volumes with 10% Increase Density | 24 |

| | 5.1.1 | Corridor Capacity Analysis – Future 2051 Traffic Conditions with 10% | | | | | |
|------|------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 5.1.2 | Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future 2051 | | | | | |
| | 5.1.3 | Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density | | | | | |
| 5.2 | Sensit Densit | ivity Analysis #2: Future (2051) Traffic Volumes with 25% Increase in y27 | | | | | |
| | 5.2.1 | Corridor Capacity Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions with 25% Increase | | | | | |
| | 5.2.2 | Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future 2051 Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density | | | | | |
| | 5.2.3 | Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Traffic Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density | | | | | |
| 5.3 | Sensit Throu | ivity Analysis #3: Future (2051) Traffic Volumes with 10% Reduction to gh Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway | | | | | |
| | 5.3.1 | Corridor Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway | | | | | |
| | 5.3.2 | Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway | | | | | |
| | 5.3.3 | Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway | | | | | |
| 5.4 | Sensit Throug | ivity Analysis #4: Future (2051) Traffic Volumes with 25% Decrease to gh Volumes Along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway | | | | | |
| | 5.4.1 | Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway | | | | | |
| | 5.4.2 | Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway | | | | | |
| | 5.4.3 | Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway | | | | | |
| SUMN | IARY C | PF ANALYSIS CONCLUSIONS | | | | | |

6.0

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 – Midblock Volume to Capacity Descriptions

- Table 2.2 Characteristics of Level of Service at Intersections (HCM 2000)
- Table 3.1 Default Driving Behaviour Parameters for Vissim Analysis
- Table 3.2 Calibrated Driver Behaviour Parameters
- Table 3.3 Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis Existing (2024) Traffic Conditions
- Table 3.4 Existing (2024) Traffic Conditions Vissim Traffic Analysis Queue Results
- Table 4.1 Trip Generation Summary
- Table 4.2 Trip Distribution Percentages

Table 4.3 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Total Traffic Conditions

Table 4.4 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Highway 406 Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Total Traffic Conditions

Table 4.5 – Future (2051) Total Traffic Conditions – Microscopic Traffic Analysis Queue Results

Table 5.1 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density

Table 5.2 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density

Table 5.3 – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density – Microscopic Analysis Queue Results

Table 5.4 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density

Table 5.5 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density

Table 5.6 – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density – Microscopic Traffic Analysis Queue Results

Table 5.7 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

Table 5.8 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway Table 5.9 – Future (2051) Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes Along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Microscopic Traffic Analysis Queue Results

Table 5.10 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

Table 5.11 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway Table 5.12 – Future (2051) Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes Along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Microscopic Traffic Analysis Queue Results

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 – Developable Lands East of Highway 406

Figure 3.1 – Existing (2024) Traffic Volumes, Weekday and Weekend Mid-Day Peak Hours

Figure 4.1 – Trip Assignment for the Developable Lands, Weekday and Weekend Mid-day Peak Hours

Figure 4.2 – Future (2031) Total Traffic Volumes, Weekday and Weekend Mid-day Peak Hours Figure 4.3 – Future (2051) Total Traffic Volumes, Weekday and Weekend Mid-day Peak Hours

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 – Turning Movement Counts (TMCs) APPENDIX 2 – Vissim Model Volume Calibration APPENDIX 3 – Vissim Model Queue Calibration APPENDIX 4 – Existing (2024) Conditions – Synchro Analysis Results APPENDIX 5 - Existing (2024) Conditions - Queueing Analysis Results APPENDIX 6 - Future (2051) Conditions - Synchro Analysis Results APPENDIX 7 – Future (2051) Conditions – Queueing Analysis Results APPENDIX 8 – Sensitivity Analyses Volume Diagrams APPENDIX 9 – Sensitivity Analysis #1 – 10% Increase to Residential Density – Synchro Analysis Results APPENDIX 10 – Sensitivity Analysis #1 – 10% Increase to Residential Density – Queueing Analysis Results APPENDIX 11 – Sensitivity Analysis #2 – 25% Increase to Residential Density – Synchro Analysis Results APPENDIX 12 – Sensitivity Analysis #2 – 25% Increase to Residential Density – Queueing **Analysis Results** APPENDIX 13 – Sensitivity Analysis #3 – 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Synchro Analysis Results APPENDIX 14 – Sensitivity Analysis #3 – 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Queueing Analysis Results APPENDIX 15 – Sensitivity Analysis #4 – 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Synchro Analysis Results APPENDIX 16 – Sensitivity Analysis #4 – 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Queueing Analysis Results

1.0 Introduction

R.V. Anderson Associates Limited (RVA) was retained by the City of Welland (the "City") to complete a consolidated Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for the lands surrounding Daimler Parkway in northern Welland. The subject lands have existing residential land uses with the current draft plans consisting of primarily residential land uses with some portions of commercial land uses.

The subject area is bounded by Highway 406 to the west, East Main Street to the south, the Welland Canal to the east, and the Welland River to the north. The only vehicular access to these lands is provided through Daimler Parkway, which is a two-lane (one lane in each direction) collector roadway under jurisdiction of the City of Welland. The principal constraint within the existing road network is that Daimler Parkway travels underneath the Highway 406 overpass, which imposes constraints if additional capacity is required along Daimler Parkway. As shown in **Figure 1.1**, there are four development parcels: two of which (North Village and Central Village) are either under construction or completed, while the other two (Hunters Pointe and South Village) have yet to commence construction.



Figure 1.1 – Developable Lands East of Highway 406

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the degree to which the existing roadway network can accommodate new developments in the developable lands east of Highway 406.

1.1 Study Area

The study area encompasses a number of existing intersections along Woodlawn Road and Daimler Parkway. These intersections are as follows:

- Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive/Brown Road
- Woodlawn Road and the Highway 406 Southbound Interchange
- Daimler Parkway and the Highway 406 Northbound Interchange

In addition, the analysis includes queueing analysis of the existing Gio Rail spur line that is situated approximately 50 metres east of the Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange intersection.

2.0 Study Methodology

The study undertakes the following three (3) analyses:

- 1. Corridor Capacity Analysis: a high-level network planning analysis considering midblock (between intersections) volumes also called as link volumes against a defined typical roadway lane capacity.
- 2. Macroscopic Traffic Analysis: Synchro analysis based on deterministic relationships with macroscopic parameters such as peak hour flow, capacity, and speed.
- 3. Microscopic Traffic Analysis: Simulation analysis based on microscopic parameters such as space, time headway and queues.

These analyses are discussed further in subsequent sections.

2.1 Corridor Capacity Analysis

Given that Daimler Parkway is a City collector road, a corridor capacity of 700 vehicles/hour/lane has been selected, which is consistent with the City planning model for collector roadways. The link volumes for road segments between intersections were extracted from the existing and future traffic volume projections which represent the peak traffic volume at any point along Daimler Parkway, immediately east of the Highway 406 northbound interchange.

The traffic conditions associated with various volume to capacity ratios (V/C) values are briefly described in **Table 2.1**.

| V/C | Description |
|--------------|---|
| 0.60 or less | Uncongested operations; free-flow traffic |
| 0.60 > 0.75 | Very light congestion; stable traffic flow |
| 0.75 > 0.85 | Mild congestion; some delays and queueing expected |
| 0.85 > 0.95 | Moderate congestion; tolerable delays and queueing expected |
| 0.95 > 1.00 | Nearing capacity; longer delays and queueing expected |
| > 1.00 | Over capacity; severe delays and queueing expected |

Table 2.1 – Midblock Volume to Capacity Descriptions

For planning purposes, a common practice is to consider mitigation strategies when the volume to capacity ratio exceeds 0.95.

2.2 Intersection Performance Measures

Operational analysis for the study area intersections was completed through a combination of analysis software. Analysis was first undertaken using macroscopic traffic analysis software, Synchro 11, to identify critical movements and potential capacity constraints for individual intersection movements. Following the macroscopic analysis, a queueing analysis was undertaken utilizing microscopic traffic analysis software, PTV Vissim (2022). The intersection movement level of service (LOS) was quantified based on vehicle delays, as per the Highway Capacity Manual (2000) methodologies summarized in **Table 2.2**.

| Level of Service | Control Delay (seconds/vehicle) | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| (LOS) | Unsignalized Intersection | Signalized Intersection | | |
| А | ≤ 10 | ≤ 10 | | |
| В | > 10 to 15 | > 10 to 20 | | |
| С | > 15 to 25 | > 20 to 35 | | |
| D | > 25 to 35 | > 35 to 55 | | |
| Е | > 35 to 50 | > 55 to 80 | | |
| | | | | |

Table 2.2 – Characteristics of Level of Service at Intersections (HCM 2000)

The study utilizes the analysis parameters outlined in the *Niagara Region Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines (2023)* with respect to saturation flow rates for individual intersection movements. With respect to mitigation measures, the threshold for critical movements refers to the Ministry of Transportation's *General Guidelines for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies (2023)*. The guidelines state that for general movements at signalized intersections, the movement is deemed critical if the V/C ratio is 0.85 or greater and for ramp terminal movements, the movement is deemed critical if the V/C ratio is 0.75 or greater.

The primary concern for this area is the limited capacity along the Daimler Parkway corridor under the Highway 406 bridge. In particular, the analysis is focused on the two (2) Highway 406 interchange ramps since these are situated approximately 185 metres from one another, which may create possibility of queue spillback interfering with adjacent intersection operations including Highway 406 on and off-ramps.

2.2.1 Microscopic Traffic Analysis

Microscopic traffic operations analysis was completed for the study area intersections using the simulation software, PTV Vissim (2022). The model was formulated by completing a desktop review of existing roadway geometry (i.e., number of lanes, lane widths, turning radii, etc.) and traffic controls (i.e., intersection mode of control, posted speeds, etc.).

While the study forecasted traffic volumes for the 2031 and 2051 horizon years, microsimulation analysis was only completed for the ultimate 2051 horizon year, which represents build-out conditions for the Developable Lands. The analysis analyzed three (3) peak hours: the weekday AM (morning) peak hour, the weekday PM (afternoon) peak hour, and the Saturday mid-day peak hour.

3.0 Existing (2024) Traffic Conditions

3.1 Existing (2024) Traffic Volumes

The existing (2024) traffic volumes for the weekday and weekend mid-day peak hours were extracted from turning movement counts (TMCs) collected on various dates between April 2024 and June 2024, as provided by the City of Welland. The on-ramp traffic volumes have been estimated based on arrival patterns of the adjacent intersections. Weekday and weekend peak hours traffic volumes are summarized in **Figure 3.1**, with the raw counts provided in **Appendix 1**.



Figure 3.1 – Existing (2024) Traffic Volumes, Weekday and Weekend Mid-Day Peak Hours

3.2 Microscopic Model Calibration

The Vissim model was calibrated with reference to the *Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Traffic Analysis Handbook (2021),* which is a widely utilized calibration methodology that is endorsed by PTV Group for model calibration. The calibration exercise consisted of traffic volume calibration for all of the existing intersections, in addition to a field-observed queueing calibration for the Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive/Brown Road intersection.

The model utilizes the Wiedemann 74 Vehicle Following Model (i.e., driving behaviour for simulated vehicles), which is the general standard for arterial roadway sections. The Wiedemann model is derived from three (3) different parameters—all of which are inversely proportional to the saturation flow rate. The three parameters are as follows:

- Average standstill distance: the average desired distance maintained between stationary vehicles, measured in metres.
- Additive part of safety distance: an additive variable used in the computation of desired safety distance (i.e., the distance maintained between two vehicles travelling in the same lane and direction).
- Multiplicative part of safety distance: a multiplicative variable used in the computation of desired safety distance.

In simpler terms, there are three (3) parameters that impact driver behaviour within the model. For all three (3) parameters, a higher value elicits a more conservative driver behaviour, as the vehicles will maintain a greater distance between one another. In contrast, low values will elicit more aggressive driver behaviour, with modelled vehicles maintaining smaller separation between one another. The default values and suggested ranges for these parameters are summarized in **Table 3.1**.

| Wiedemann 74 Calibration Parameter | Default Value | Suggested Range |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Average standstill distance (Metres) | 2.0m | >1.2m |
| Additive part of safety distance | 2.0 | 1.0 to 3.5 |
| Multiplicative part of safety distance | 3.0 | 2.0 to 4.5 |

Table 3.1 – Default Driving Behaviour Parameters for Vissim Analysis

In terms of how these values impact performance of the model, conservative driving generally results in lower saturation flow rates, which in turn reduces capacity and increases congestion and queueing. Conversely, aggressive driving generally increases saturation flow rates, which increases capacity and alleviates congestion and queueing as more vehicles are cleared within each cycle. However, it should be noted that the Vissim model is stochastic in nature—and therefore, these generalized statements do not always apply and should only be interpreted as a "rule of thumb".

3.2.1 Volume Calibration

The volume calibration was completed by comparing the input volumes (i.e., existing volumes from turning movement counts) with the simulated volumes. The simulated volumes for all movements and all scenarios fell within the desired range of adequate volume calibration. The summary of this calibration can be found in **Appendix 2**.

3.2.2 Queue Calibration

Vehicle queues at the Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive/Brown Road intersection were observed during a field visit on Wednesday, November 13th, 2024, and Saturday, November 16th, 2024. The observed queue lengths were then compared to the simulated vehicle queues, with adjustments to the driver behaviour being made to bring the simulated queue lengths within an adequate calibration range. The adjustments to driver behavior are summarized in **Table 3.2**, and the queue calibration summary can be found in **Appendix 3**.

| | | Wiedemann 74 Calibration Parameter | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Period | Movement* | Average Standstill Distance | Additive Part of Safety Distance | Multiplicative Part of Safety Distance | | |
| AM and SAT Peak Hours | Global Values | 1.2m | 1.0 | 2.0 | | |
| AM Peak Hour | EBL/SBL | 2.5m | 3.0 | 4.0 | | |
| PM Peak Hour | EBT SBT | 3.0m 1.3m | 3.5 1.0 | 4.5 2.0 | | |
| SAT Peak Hour | EBT | 1.4m | 1.5 | 2.5 | | |

Table 3.2 – Calibrated Driver Behaviour Parameters

*Where individual movements are listed, they are only associated with the Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive/Brown Road intersection.

3.2.3 Railway Operations

A spur line operated by GIO Rail is situated approximately 50 metres east of the Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange intersection. This spur line was modelled within the Vissim network to quantify operational impacts of train movements on the surrounding road network including intersections.

As per correspondence with a GIO Rail representative in December 2024, the subject spur line encounters approximately one (1) train movement per week, with these trains being approximately 30 cars in length. Gio Rail indicated that these train movements could occur during both the weekday AM and weekday PM peak hours. Therefore, the weekday AM and weekday PM analysis periods both include a "train event" scenario.

The modelled train delay was calculated to be approximately 2:15 (min:sec), utilizing *Transport Canada Grade Crossing Standards/Guidelines (2019)* for clearance times, gate closing times, and gate opening times.

3.2.4 Iterations, Seeding and Peak Hour Factor (PHF)

As per *FDOT Traffic Analysis Handbook* methodology, the model was simulated ten (10) times for each scenario. This approach mitigates the impacts of "randomness" within the model results. Moreover, to eliminate the impacts that model start-up has on analysis results, each iteration was seeded for 15 minutes prior to the first analysis interval.

The model also incorporates the impacts of peak hour factor (PHF). The PHF is an effective method of modelling the "15-minute rush" that regularly occurs within the peak hour. Each analysis period adopted a peak hour factor (PHF) of 0.92, which is a typical PHF value for planning analysis.

3.3 Existing (2024) Traffic Conditions

3.3.1 Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Existing (2024) Conditions

Using the existing (2024) volumes presented in **Figure 3.1**, the total link volumes for Daimler Parkway, east of the Highway 406 Northbound Interchange intersection, have been extracted for link analysis. The resulting corridor capacity is presented in **Table 3.3**.

| Peak Hour | Direction | Peak Directional Traffic (veh/hr) | Directional Capacity (veh/hr) | V/C Ratio |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Δ Ν Λ | Eastbound | 53 | | 0.08 |
| Alvi | Westbound | 114 | | 0.16 |
| PM - | Eastbound | 121 | 700 | 0.17 |
| | Westbound | 88 | 700 | 0.13 |
| слт. | Eastbound | 94 | | 0.13 |
| SAT | Westbound | 104 | | 0.15 |

Table 3.3 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Existing (2024) Traffic Conditions

As shown in **Table 3.3**, ample corridor capacity exists under existing (2024) conditions, with both directions operating under free-flow conditions during all analysis peak hours of the day.

3.3.2 Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Existing (2024) Conditions

Under existing (2024) conditions, no critical movements are noted at the Highway 406 interchange ramp intersections. The Synchro output reports for existing (2024) conditions are provided in **Appendix 4**.

3.3.3 Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Existing (2024) Conditions

The queue lengths for the ramp terminal intersection movements under existing (2024) traffic conditions are summarized in **Table 3.4**. The full analysis results for each intersection are provided in **Appendix 5**.

| Scenario | Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | Queue Length (metres) | Available Storage (metres) |
|-----------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 11 0 9 0 0 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 Southbound Interchange | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 11 0 13 0 0 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| Event | | SAT | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 13 0 10 0 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| No Train | | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTP | 0 24 10 0 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 2 32 11 0 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | SAT | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 0 31 10 0 0 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Woodlawn Road and Highway | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 11 0 9 0 0 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| nt | 406 Southbound Interchange | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 11 0 13 0 0 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| /ith Train Even | Daimler Parkway and Highway | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 2 32 11 0 0 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| 7 | 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 2 32 11 0 0 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | AM | EBT WBT | 8 15 | 40 ~∞ |
| | Kan Grossing | PM | EBT WBT | 34 19 | 40 ~∞ |

Table 3.4 – Existing (2024) Traffic Conditions – Vissim Traffic Analysis Queue Results

Page 10

As seen in **Table 3.3**, the ramp terminal intersection movements are operating well under existing conditions, with all key queue lengths falling within their associated storage length. In the train scenario, the eastbound through movement falls within the available storage length and does not block the adjacent intersection.

4.0 Future Traffic Conditions

4.1 Proposed Development

Future development of the subject lands is comprised of three (3) separate developments: Hunters Pointe, South Village, and the remaining portion of North Village. The Draft Plan land use statistics for these developments have been confirmed with the City planning staff. The trip generation for each of the foregoing developments was estimated utilizing the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual (11th Edition)*.

The development statistics and corresponding trip generation estimates are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

| Table 4.1 – Tri | p Generation | Summary |
|-----------------|--------------|---------|
|-----------------|--------------|---------|

| Development | Land Lleo | Pea | Peak | Trin Equation | Directional Split (%) | New Trips | |
|----------------|---|------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Development | Lanu Ose | Magintude | Hour | | (In/Out) | In | Out |
| | Single- | | AM | Ln(T) = 0.91Ln(x) + 0.12 | 25 / 75 | 115 | 343 |
| | Family Detached | 735 units | PM | Ln(T) = 0.94Ln(x) + 0.27 | 63 / 37 | 408 | 240 |
| | Dwelling | | SAT | T = 0.86(x) + 9.72 | 54 / 46 | 347 | 295 |
| | Single- | | AM | T = 0.52(x) - 5.70 | 25 / 75 | 22 | 65 |
| | Family Attached | 178 units | PM | T = 0.60(x) - 3.93 | 59 / 41 | 61 | 42 |
| | Dwelling | | SAT | Ln(T) = 0.82Ln(x) + 0.43 | 48 / 52 | 52 | 56 |
| | Multifamily | | AM | T = 0.31(x) + 22.85 | 24 / 76 | 11 | 34 |
| Hunters Pointe | Housing | 72 units | PM | T = 0.43(x) + 20.55 | 63 / 37 | 33 | 19 |
| | (Low-Rise) | | SAT | T = 0.41x | 51 / 49** | 15 | 15 |
| | Multifamily | | AM | T = 0.44(x) - 11.61 | 23 / 77 | 14 | 49 |
| | Housing (Mid-Rise) | 170 units | PM | T = 0.39(x) + 0.34 | 61 / 39 | 41 | 26 |
| | | | SAT | Ln(T) = 1.00(x) - 0.91 | 51 / 49 | 35 | 33 |
| | General Commercial | 30,000ft ² GFA | AM | Ln(T) = 0.66Ln(x/1000) + 1.84 | 60 / 40 | 35 | 24 |
| | | | PM | Ln(T) = 0.71Ln(x/1000) + 2.72 | 50 / 50 | 85 | 85 |
| | | | SAT | 6.57(x/1000) | 51 / 49 | 100 | 97 |
| | Single- Family Detached Dwelling | 173 units | AM | Ln(T) = 0.91Ln(x) + 0.12 | 25 / 75 | 31 | 92 |
| South Village | | | PM | Ln(T) = 0.94Ln(x) + 0.27 | 63 / 37 | 105 | 61 |
| | | | SAT | T = 0.86(x) + 9.72 | 54 / 46 | 86 | 73 |
| | Single- | | AM | Ln(T) = 0.91Ln(x) + 0.12 | 25 / 75 | 11 | 33 |
| Remainder of | Family Detached | 56 units | PM | Ln(T) = 0.94Ln(x) + 0.27 | 63 / 37 | 37 | 21 |
| North Village | Dwelling | | SAT | T = 0.86(x) + 9.72 | 54 / 46 | 31 | 27 |
| TMC data from | Multifamily | | AM | T = 0.44(x) - 11.61 | 23 / 77 | 3 | 12 |
| May 2024) | Housing | 60 units* | PM | T = 0.39(x) + 0.34 | 61 / 39 | 15 | 9 |
| | (Mid-Rise) | | SAT | Ln(T) = 1.00(x) - 0.91 | 51 / 49 | 12 | 12 |
| Total Pasidan | tial Growth | 1 444 upito | Tot | Now Posidential Trips | AM | 207 | 628 |
| Total Nesiden | | 1,444 units | 100 | a new nesidential mps | SAT | 578 | 511 |
| Total Commer | cial Growth | 30,000ft ² | Tota | I New Commercial Trips | AM | 25 | 16 30 |
| | | GFA | TOLA | | SAT | 70 | 68 |
| | | | | AM | 232 | 644 | |
| | Total I | vew mps for D | evelopable L | anus | SAT | 648 | 579 |

*The number of units for Block 32 (currently zoned for an apartment building) in North Village is unconfirmed at the time of this study; a unit count of 60 has been assumed for analysis purposes.

**In absence of a directional split for low-rise apartments during the Saturday peak period, the low-rise directional split is set to match the mid-rise apartment directional split for the Saturday peak period.

4.1.1 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The trip distribution was estimated using the Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) database in addition to local area knowledge and reference to the formerly completed TIS studies for the area. The trip distribution percentages are summarized in **Table 4.2**.

| Table 4.2 – T | rip Di | istribution | Percentages |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-------------|
|---------------|--------|-------------|-------------|

| Direction (Route) | Residential Distribution | Commercial Distribution |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| West (Woodlawn Road) | 36% | 41% |
| North (Highway 406) | 33% | 19% |
| South (Highway 406) | 31% | 40% |

4.2 Trip Assignment

The estimated trip assignment for the Developable Lands is illustrated in Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1 – Trip Assignment for the Developable Lands, Weekday and Weekend Mid-day Peak Hours

The annualized growth rate for the area was calculated using EMME model outputs for the 2016 base year, along with the 2031, 2041, and 2051 future horizon years. The comparison considered total ramp volumes from the Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 interchange in the AM and PM peak hours to measure traffic growth in Welland that is not associated with the Developable Lands.

The exercise calculated the 2016 to 2031 annualized growth rate to be in the magnitude of approximately 1%, while the 2031 to 2051 annualized growth rate was in the magnitude of approximately 2%. These two (2) growth rates were applied to all intersection movements to forecast background traffic growth for each horizon year.

4.4 Future (2031) Total Traffic Volumes

The Future (2031) Traffic Volumes consider the 1% annualized growth rate applied to all intersection movements and the trip generation volumes from the three (3) developments. The resulting intersection volumes are illustrated in **Figure 4.2**.



Figure 4.2 – Future (2031) Total Traffic Volumes, Weekday and Weekend Mid-day Peak Hours

4.5 Future (2051) Total Traffic Volumes

Utilizing the 2% annualized growth rate beyond 2031 and the Developable Lands Trip Assignment, the Future (2051) Total Traffic Volumes are summarized in **Figure 4.3**.



Figure 4.3 – Future (2051) Total Traffic Volumes, Weekday and Weekend Mid-day Peak Hours

Analyses was undertaken utilizing the Future (2051) Total Traffic volumes illustrated in **Figure 4.3**. Signal timing plans and parameters for both the Highway 406 southbound and northbound interchanges were calculated using the methodologies outlined in the *Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12*. As for network changes, the analyses consider a new intersection that is proposed as part of the Hunters Pointe development, to be located approximately 85 metres east of the rail crossing.

4.6.1 Corridor Capacity Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions

The corridor capacity analysis for Daimler Parkway segment, east of the Highway 406 Northbound Interchange intersection, is summarized in **Table 4.3**.

| Peak Hour | Direction | Peak Directional Traffic (veh/hr) | Directional Capacity (veh/hr) | V/C Ratio |
|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| 0.N/ | Eastbound | 316 | | 0.45 |
| We | Westbound | 826 | | 1.18 |
| PM - SAT - | Eastbound | 931 | 700 | 1.33 |
| | Westbound | 597 | 700 | 0.81 |
| | Eastbound | 798 | | 1.14 |
| | Westbound | 744 | | 1.06 |

Table 4.3 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Total Traffic Conditions

At a link level, Daimler Parkway corridor is experiencing capacity constraints under Future (2051) Total Traffic conditions, under all analysis peak hours exceeding capacity along at least one direction during both AM and PM peak hours and along both the directions during Saturday peak mid-day hour. This indicates that midblock congestion is forecasted in the future build-out scenario.

4.6.2 Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future 2051 Conditions

The performance measures that were estimated using Synchro for the critical ramp terminal intersection movements under Future (2051) Total Traffic conditions are summarized in **Table 4.4**. Signal timing optimization has been implemented based on the adjusted volumes. The full Synchro output reports are available in **Appendix 6**.

| Intersection | Period | Movement | V/C | Delay (seconds) | Level of Service (LOS) | 95 th Percentile Queue (metres)* |
|--|--------|----------|------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Woodlawn Road and | DM | EBTTR | 0.85 | 30 | С | #174 |
| Highway 406 Southbound Interchange | PIVI | SBL | 0.87 | 61 | E | #110 |
| | SAT | EBTTR | 0.90 | 34 | С | #230 |
| Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | | EBT | 0.95 | 36 | D | #208 |
| | PM | WBL | 0.91 | 64 | E | #64 |
| | | NBL | 0.95 | 66 | E | #124 |
| | CAT | EBT | 0.85 | 24 | С | m39 |
| | SAT | NBL | 0.96 | 71 | Е | #124 |

Table 4.4 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Highway 406 Interchange Ramps – Future(2051) Total Traffic Conditions

*Where "#" is denoted, the 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, and as such, the queue may be longer than what is estimated. Where "m" is denoted, the queue is metred by an upstream signal.

As shown in **Table 4.4**, the critical movements at the ramp terminal intersections include the eastbound through movement for both intersections, as well as the ramp-exiting movements. Optimal signal timings can keep these movements at approaching-capacity conditions; however, they still exceed the threshold established in the MTO guidelines. The queueing for each movement cannot be estimated through macroscopic methodology as the 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity.

4.6.3 Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions

Utilizing the calibration parameters outlined in Section 3.2, microsimulation analysis was completed for the future (2051) horizon year. A summary of analysis results is shown in **Table 4.5**, and the full results are shown in **Appendix 7**.

| Scenario | Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | Queue Length (metres) | Available Storage (metres) |
|------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 130 0 33 35 68 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 Southbound Interchange SAT | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 242 0 93 47 132 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| r Event | | SAT | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 189 0 67 39 106 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| No Trair | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 58 49 14 35 68 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 192 107 52 50 68 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | SAT | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 130 79 26 49 68 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| With Train Event | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 Southbound Interchange | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 131 0 33 37 91 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 245 0 125 46 168 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 65 49 17 62 69 | 185 100 ~530 80 40 |
| | | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 217 107 98 58 68 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Rail Crossing | АМ | EBT WBT | 60 130 | 40 85* |
| | | PM | EBT WBT | 59 123 | 40 85* |

Table 4.5 – Future (2051) Total Traffic Conditions – Microscopic Traffic Analysis Queue Results

*Storage length of 85 metres has been estimated based on the location of Street 'A' in the Hunters Pointe Draft Plan.

As shown in **Table 4.5**, the queue spillback at the Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange intersection exceeds the available storage length for the eastbound through movement. These results indicate that the queue spillback from the eastbound through movement will spillback into the Highway 406 Southbound Interchange intersection. However, due to the short amount of time that the intersection is blocked, the conflicting ramp movement (southbound left) is still contained within the available storage length.

During train events, the Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange Intersection will be blocked by queue spillback at the rail line. Moreover, with the proposed Street 'A' roundabout in the Hunters Pointe Draft plan being approximately 85 metres from the spur line, the new intersection will be impacted by spillback as well. In this scenario, the northbound left movement at the ramp terminal also exceeds the available storage; however, this is largely due to eastbound through vehicles blocking the intersection within the simulation. Additionally, the westbound approach queues of the Highway 406 Northbound Interchange intersection are generally exceeding storage length between the stop-bar and the rail line.

While the analysis focuses on the interchange ramps, analysis was also conducted for the Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive/Brown Road intersection. This intersection recorded significant queueing and delays in the PM and SAT mid-day peak hours, particularly for the eastbound left-turn movement and southbound movements exiting the plaza. However, the analysis does not consider that traffic may elect to use River Road as the intersection becomes regularly congested, which may result in a volume reduction for the eastbound left-turn and plaza-exiting movements. Therefore, it is recommended that this intersection be monitored as the surrounding area reaches its full build-out scenario to determine if mitigation measures are required.

5.0 Sensitivity Analyses

To evaluate the network's sensitivity to various development densities and potential traffic volume reductions, a set of sensitivity analyses has been completed. This was undertaken to identify how much additional residential density can be accommodated or how much traffic volume can be diverted to another corridor to avoid congested conditions along the Daimler Parkway corridor. Subsequent sections present the following scenarios:

- 1. Future (2051) Total Traffic volumes with a 10% increase in residential density
 - a. With train event
 - b. Without train event
- 2. Future (2051) Total Traffic volumes with a 25% increase in residential density.
 - a. With train event
 - b. Without train event
- 3. Future (2051) Total Traffic volumes with a 10% reduction in through traffic along Daimler Parkway.
 - a. With train event
 - b. Without train event
- 4. Future (2051) Total Traffic volumes with a 25% reduction in through traffic along Daimler Parkway.
 - a. With train event
 - b. Without train event

The sensitivity analysis scenarios with residential density increases adopt the same trip distribution patterns as the original analysis, except with greater units counts. In contrast, the traffic volume reduction scenarios represent a sensitivity analysis where an additional non-emergency access to the Developable Lands (i.e., a flyover) is to be considered, and thus only constitute a reduction to the volumes along Daimler Parkway/Woodlawn Road (i.e., trips internal to Welland), not the volumes originating from/destined for Highway 406. The volumes for each of these scenarios are available in **Appendix 8**.

5.1 Sensitivity Analysis #1: Future (2051) Total Traffic Volumes with 10% Increase in Density

5.1.1 Corridor Capacity Analysis – Future 2051 Traffic Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density

The corridor capacity results for the sensitivity analysis are shown in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density

| Peak Hour | Direction | Peak Directional Traffic (veh/hr) | Directional Capacity (veh/hr) | V/C Ratio |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| 0.04 | Eastbound | 335 | | 0.48 |
| AIVI | Westbound | 884 | | 1.26 |
| PM - | Eastbound | 996 | 700 | 1.42 |
| | Westbound | 636 | 700 | 0.91 |
| | Eastbound | 852 | | 1.22 |
| 541 | Westbound | 793 | | 1.13 |

As expected, the capacity constraints that exist under the base Future (2051) Traffic Conditions are amplified with increased density. Based on these results, congestion along Daimler Parkway is forecast under future (2051) traffic conditions.

5.1.2 Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future 2051 Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density

Based on the Synchro intersection operation analysis, a summary of critical movement operation for the interchange ramps under 10% residential density increase scenario, is provided in **Table 5.2**, and the full Synchro output reports are provided in **Appendix 9**.

Table 5.2 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) TrafficConditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density

| Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | V/C | Delay (seconds) | Level of Service (LOS) | 95 th Percentile Queue (metres)* |
|--|--------------|----------|------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | EBTTR | 0.88 | 32 | С | #182 |
| | PM | WBL | 0.90 | 57 | E | #m56 |
| Woodlawn Road and | | SBL | 0.91 | 65 | E | #123 |
| Interchange | SAT | EBTTR | 0.88 | 32 | С | #199 |
| interenange | | WBL | 0.91 | 57 | E | m#66 |
| | | SBL | 0.82 | 58 | E | #85 |
| | | EBT | 1.02 | 49 | D | #220 |
| | PM | WBL | 1.03 | 106 | F | #78 |
| Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | | NBL | 0.95 | 66 | E | #124 |
| | | EBT | 0.85 | 24 | С | m115 |
| | SAT | WBL | 0.89 | 46 | D | #70 |
| | | NBL | 1.08 | 108 | F | #139 |

*Where "#" is denoted, the 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, and as such, the queue may be longer than what is estimated. Where "m" is denoted, the queue is metred by an upstream signal.

As shown in **Table 5.2**, the capacity constraints for the eastbound through and off-ramp movements persist with increased density. In addition, the westbound left-turn movements begin to face capacity constraints at both the study intersections.

5.1.3 Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density

With the application of a 10% increase in residential density, the queueing results for the key movements are summarized in **Table 5.3**, and the full results are available in **Appendix 10**.

| Scenario | Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | Queue Length (metres) | Available Storage (metres) |
|------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 129 0 35 36 70 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 Southbound Interchange S/ | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 264 0 118 54 159 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| ı Event | | SAT | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 233 0 60 41 105 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| No Trair | | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 64 47 17 49 70 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 208 104 66 57 70 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | SAT | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 137 84 32 52 69 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| With Train Event | Woodlawn Road and Highway | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 132 0 35 39 94 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | 406 Southbound Interchange | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 273 0 205 55 176 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 64 51 20 59 70 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | РМ | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 218 108 102 62 70 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | AM | EBT WBT | 57 130 | 40 85* |
| | Rail Crossing | PM | EBT WBT | 66 118 | 40 85* |

Table 5.3 – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Increase in Residential Density – Microscopic Analysis Queue Results

*Storage length of 85 metres has been estimated based on the location of Street 'A' in the Hunters Pointe Draft Plan.

As shown in **Table 5.3**, a 10% increase in residential density marginally amplifies the critical movements in each peak hour with no train events. For the eastbound through movement at the Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Interchange intersection, the eastbound queue spillback is extended even further in the PM peak hour (208 metres), with the spillback now travelling beyond the adjacent intersection. Despite this added congestion, the southbound left-turn movement is still captured within its available storage length but is now approaching ramp capacity (118 metres of queue compared to 140 metres of storage length).

During a train event, the added traffic results in the southbound off-ramp exceeding its available storage length in the PM peak hour (205 metres of queue compared to 140 metres of storage length), thereby resulting in significant queueing and blocking of adjacent intersections.

5.2 Sensitivity Analysis #2: Future (2051) Traffic Volumes with 25% Increase in Density

5.2.1 Corridor Capacity Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density

The corridor capacity results for the sensitivity analysis are shown in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density

| Peak Hour | Direction | Peak Directional Traffic (veh/hr) | Directional Capacity (veh/hr) | V/C Ratio |
|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| A.N.4 | Eastbound | 365 | | 0.52 |
| Aivi | Westbound | 971 | | 1.39 |
| PM - SAT - | Eastbound | 1091 | 700 | 1.56 |
| | Westbound | 696 | 700 | 0.99 |
| | Eastbound | 935 | | 1.34 |
| | Westbound | 866 | | 1.24 |

As seen in **Table 5.4**, a residential density increase of 25% puts almost all directions for all periods at or over capacity. Significant mid-block congestion can be expected under this scenario.
5.2.2 Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future 2051 Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density

Using Synchro intersection operation analysis, a summary of the critical movement operations for the interchange ramps, under a 25% residential density increase scenario, is provided in **Table 5.5**, and the full Synchro reports are available in **Appendix 11**.

| Intersection | Period | Movement | V/C | Delay (seconds) | Level of Service (LOS) | 95 th Percentile Queue (metres)* |
|--|--------|----------|------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | EBTTR | 0.94 | 40 | D | #203 |
| | PM | WBL | 0.95 | 83 | F | #m72 |
| Woodlawn Road and | | SBL | 0.94 | 70 | E | #137 |
| Interchange | SAT | EBTTR | 0.92 | 36 | D | #203 |
| interenange | | WBL | 0.95 | 64 | E | m#71 |
| | | SBL | 0.90 | 70 | E | #110 |
| | | EBT | 1.11 | 83 | F | #234 |
| Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | WBL | 1.14 | 139 | F | #89 |
| | | NBL | 0.95 | 66 | E | #124 |
| | | EBT | 0.90 | 23 | С | m123 |
| | SAT | WBL | 1.10 | 112 | F | #99 |
| | | NBL | 1.12 | 125 | F | #142 |

Table 5.5 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density

*Where "#" is denoted, the 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, and as such, the queue may be longer than what is estimated. Where "m" is denoted, the queue is metred by an upstream signal.

As shown in **Table 5.5**, multiple movements are operating at or over capacity under the 25% residential density increase scenario. The movements that experience capacity constraints include the eastbound movements, the off-ramp movements, and the westbound left-turn movement at each intersection.

5.2.3 Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Traffic Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density

With the application of a 25% increase in residential density, the queueing results for the key movements are summarized in **Table 5.6**, and the full results are available in **Appendix 12**.

| Scenario | Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | Queue Length (metres) | Available Storage (metres) |
|------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 132 0 35 40 82 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 Southbound Interchange | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 453 0 298 54 193 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| r Event | | SAT | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 203 0 78 54 111 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| No Trair | | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 62 51 20 60 69 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 219 122 107 68 70 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | SAT | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 158 80 43 60 70 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| With Train Event | Woodlawn Road and Highway | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 130 0 34 41 91 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | 406 Southbound Interchange | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 484 0 368 57 202 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Daimlar Barlayey and History | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 63 53 20 62 70 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 220 132 131 68 70 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Poil Crossing | AM | EBT WBT | 55 147 | 40 85* |
| | | PM | EBT WBT | 75 130 | 40 85* |

Table 5.6 – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Increase in Residential Density – Microscopic Traffic Analysis Queue Results

As shown in **Table 5.6**, a residential density increase of 25% results in significant queueing and blocking of adjacent intersections in the PM peak hour, regardless of a train event, thereby resulting in operational impacts to all neighbouring intersections.

5.3 Sensitivity Analysis #3: Future (2051) Traffic Volumes with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

This sensitivity analysis considers a new non-emergency access to the Developable Lands, which could result in a 10% decrease to through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway. The assumption is that a new access would primarily service trips internal to the City of Welland, and that trips to and from the Highway 406 ramps would remain largely uninfluenced.

5.3.1 Corridor Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

With a 10% reduction in through volumes along Daimler Parkway, the corridor capacity is summarized in **Table 5.7**.

Table 5.7 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

| Peak Hour | Direction | Peak Directional Traffic (veh/hr) | Directional Capacity (veh/hr) | V/C Ratio |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| <u> </u> | Eastbound | 294 | | 0.42 |
| Alvi | Westbound | 770 | | 1.10 |
| DM | Eastbound | 865 | 700 | 1.24 |
| F IVI | Westbound | 555 | 700 | 0.79 |
| SVI | Eastbound | 741 | | 1.06 |
| Westboun | | 693 | | 0.99 |

Despite the 10% reduction in through volumes, capacity conditions are still forecast under future (2051) traffic conditions. Therefore, congested mid-block operations may persist under this scenario.

5.3.2 Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

Table 5.8 presents the critical movement operation results using Synchro at the interchangeramps under a 10% reduction to through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway.The full Synchro results are available in Appendix 13.

Table 5.8 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

| Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | V/C | Delay (seconds) | Level of Service (LOS) | 95 th Percentile Queue (metres)* |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 Southbound | PM | EBTTR SBL | 0.86 0.80 | 33 50 | C D | #205 90 |
| Interchange | SAT | SBL | 0.82 | 60 | E | #87 |
| Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound | PM | EBT NBL | 0.86 0.95 | 26 66 | C E | m#92 #124 |
| Interchange | SAT | NBL | 0.93 | 62 | E | #118 |

*Where "#" is denoted, the 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, and as such, the queue may be longer than what is estimated. Where "m" is denoted, the queue is metred by an upstream signal.

As shown in **Table 5.8**, capacity constraints still exist for certain movements under a 10% reduction in through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway. While these movements still have excess capacity available, they exceed the capacity thresholds outlined in the MTO traffic impact study guidelines.

5.3.3 Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

The queueing results of Sensitivity Analysis #3 are summarized in **Table 5.9**, and the full results are available in **Appendix 14**.

| Scenario | Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | Queue Length (metres) | Available Storage (metres) |
|--|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 127 0 32 38 63 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 Southbound Interchange | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 229 0 97 46 98 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| n Event | | SAT | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 175 0 68 42 85 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| No Trai | | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 58 49 15 40 66 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 158 100 44 44 69 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | SAT | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 118 72 33 42 67 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| Woodlawn Road and Highway 40 Southbound Interchange | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 126 0 34 38 82 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Southbound Interchange | РМ | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 228 0 116 47 120 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Deimler Berkung and Highway | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 59 49 16 61 68 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | 406 Northbound Interchange | РМ | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 207 103 75 54 69 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Poil Crossing | AM | EBT WBT | 55 98 | 40 85* |
| | kali Grossing | PM | EBT WBT | 61 89 | 40 85* |

Table 5.9 – Future (2051) Conditions with 10% Reduction to Through Volumes Along WoodlawnRoad/Daimler Parkway – Microscopic Traffic Analysis Queue Results

As shown in **Table 5.9**, a 10% reduction in through volume along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway has a notable impact on the eastbound through movement at the northbound Highway 406 interchange. In the "No Train Event" scenario, the eastbound through movement queue length drops from 192 metres to 158 metres, and as a result, no longer spills back into the adjacent intersection.

During a train event, the reduction reduces the eastbound through queue length by approximately 10 metres, but is still spilling back into the adjacent intersection.

5.4 Sensitivity Analysis #4: Future (2051) Traffic Volumes with 25% Decrease to Through Volumes Along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

The fourth sensitivity analysis considers a 25% decrease to through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway. Similar to Sensitivity Analysis #3, the assumption is that a new access would primarily service trips internal to the City of Welland, and that trips to and from the Highway 406 ramps would remain largely uninfluenced.

5.4.1 Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

With a 25% reduction in through volumes along Daimler Parkway, the corridor capacity is summarized in **Table 5.10**.

| Peak Hour | Direction | Peak Directional Traffic (veh/hr) | Directional Capacity (veh/hr) | V/C Ratio |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | Eastbound | 264 | | 0.37 |
| Aw | Westbound | 687 | | 0.98 |
| DM | Eastbound | 766 | 700 | 1.09 |
| Fivi | Westbound | 492 | 700 | 0.70 |
| SVT. | Eastbound | 656 | | 0.94 |
| SAT | Westbound | 616 | | 0.88 |

Table 5.10 – Corridor Lane Capacity Analysis – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25%Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

With a 25% reduction in through volumes along Daimler Parkway/Woodlawn Road, majority of movements start to operate within or approaching capacity conditions, barring only the eastbound movement in the PM peak hour. Under this scenario, mid-block congestion is still forecast for the busiest peak hours of the day, albeit to a lesser extent.

5.4.2 Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

Table 5.11 presents the critical movements Synchro analysis at the interchange rampsunder a 25% reduction to through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway. The fullSynchro reports are provided in Appendix 15.

Table 5.11 – Summary of Critical Movements at the Interchange Ramps – Future (2051) Traffic Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

| Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | V/C | Delay (seconds) | Level of Service (LOS) | 95 th Percentile Queue (metres)* |
|---|-----------|----------|------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | EBT | 0.79 | 29 | С | #174 |
| Woodlawn Road and | PM | WBT | 0.51 | 7 | А | 86 |
| Highway 406 | | SBL | 0.80 | 50 | D | 91 |
| Southbound | SAT | EBT | 0.80 | 28 | С | #197 |
| Interchange | | WBT | 0.49 | 6 | А | 77 |
| | | SBL | 0.74 | 50 | D | 73 |
| | | EBT | 0.77 | 31 | С | m141 |
| Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | WBT | 0.43 | 18 | В | 66 |
| | | NBL | 0.86 | 47 | D | #104 |
| | | EBT | 0.71 | 23 | С | m57 |
| | SAT | WBT | 0.53 | 20 | С | 85 |
| | | NBL | 0.84 | 45 | D | 99 |

*Where "#" is denoted, the 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, and as such, the queue may be longer than what is estimated. Where "m" is denoted, the queue is metred by an upstream signal.

As shown in **Table 5.11**, the 25% reduction in through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway alleviates majority of capacity constraints for the Highway 406 ramp terminal movements, with many of the critical movements that were estimated under base Future (2051) Total Traffic conditions being mitigated. The results indicate that three (3) of left-turn scenarios will still exceed their V/C threshold of 0.75; however, the green time reallocation brings them closer to their desired standard.

5.4.3 Microsimulation Queueing Analysis – Future 2051 Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway

The queueing impacts of the 25% reduction to through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway are summarized in **Table 5.12**, and the full results are available in **Appendix 16**.

| Scenario | Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement | Queue Length (metres) | Available Storage (metres) |
|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| v | | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 136 0 33 33 52 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 Southbound Interchange | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 175 0 91 46 101 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| n Event | | SAT | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 173 0 64 41 80 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 51 46 15 41 60 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 Northbound Interchange | PM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 153 98 49 44 54 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | | SAT | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 97 72 30 39 61 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 | AM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 135 0 33 33 61 | 440 570 140 30 185 | |
| nt | Southbound Interchange | PM | EBTR SBR SBL WBL WBT | 176 0 108 45 101 | 440 570 140 30 185 |
| With Train Ever | | AM | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 56 46 15 55 63 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | 406 Northbound Interchange | РМ | EBT NBL NBTR WBL WBTR | 206 98 84 48 55 | 185 100 530 80 40 |
| | Poil Crossing | AM | EBT WBT | 57 90 | 40 85* |
| | Kall Grossing | PM | EBT WBT | <mark>59</mark> 72 | 40 85* |

Table 5.12 – Future (2051) Conditions with 25% Reduction to Through Volumes Along WoodlawnRoad/Daimler Parkway – Microscopic Traffic Analysis Queue Results

As shown in **Table 5.12**, the 25% reduction results almost all movements operating within their available storage length under the "No Train Event" scenario. The only movement that is exceeding its storage capacity is the westbound left-turn movement at the Highway 406 Southbound off-ramp, which is exceeding its capacity by approximately three (3) vehicles in the PM peak hour and approximately two (2) vehicles in the Saturday peak hour.

Under the train event scenario, the eastbound through movement at the northbound interchange intersection is still spilling back into the southbound interchange intersection. However, similar to the base Future (2051) Total scenario and Sensitivity Analysis #3 scenario, the off-ramp storage is still contained within its storage length accommodation.

6.0 Summary of Analysis Conclusions

The findings of the Consolidated Traffic Study are summarized as follows:

- The traffic analysis was completed utilizing three sets of methodology: a corridor capacity analysis, macroscopic traffic analysis (Synchro 11), and microscopic traffic analysis (PTV Vissim). The macroscopic analysis conformed to the Niagara Region and Ministry of Transportation (MTO) guidelines for traffic impact studies. The Vissim model was calibrated as per the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) *Traffic Analysis Handbook (2021)*, which is endorsed by PTV Group for calibration methodology.
- Under existing (2024) conditions, all of the study area intersections are operating satisfactorily; no mitigation measures are required in response to delays or queueing.
- The Developable Lands considered in the future traffic analysis consist of a mix of residential and commercial land uses totalling 1,444 new residential dwelling units and 30,000ft2 of commercial gross floor area (GFA). These lands are comprised of a portion of the North Village (under construction) and the future Hunter Pointe and South Village developments.
- The trip generation for the Developable lands was estimated utilizing the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual (11th Edition)*. The trip generation was estimated to be 876 trips in the AM peak hour (232 in and 644 out), 1,196 trips in the PM peak hour (739 in and 457 out), and 1,227 trips in the Saturday peak hour (648 in and 570 out).
- The growth rate for the analysis was derived from Region of Niagara's EMME model plots from the 2016 base year, along with the future 2031, 2041, and 2051 horizon years. The growth rate was derived based on total ramp volume traffic that is not associated with the Developable Lands. The calculated growth rates were in the general magnitude of 1% per annum from 2024 to 2031, and 2% per annum from 2031 to 2051.
- A spur railway line operated by Gio Rail traverses Daimler Parkway, is located approximately 50 metres east of the Highway 406 Northbound Interchange intersection. Consultation with Gio Rail indicates that train movements generally occur weekly and can transpire during both the weekday AM and PM peak hour, with train lengths of approximately 30 cars. Therefore, each set of analyses

considers two (2) scenarios: a "With Train Event" scenario and a "No Train Event" scenario.

- The corridor capacity analysis and macroscopic traffic analysis using Synchro indicate that significant congestion is forecasted under Future (2051) Total Traffic Conditions, with capacity constraints forecasted at the link level in addition to individual intersection movements.
- Utilizing the methodology outlined in the report, the microsimulation analysis was completed for the ultimate Future (2051) horizon year. The results indicate that the estimated queues for the eastbound through and westbound left turn movements under the Highway 406 bridge will exceed their available storage length in the PM peak hour, regardless of a train event. The eastbound through movement queues spill back into the adjacent ramp intersection and result in blockages and lengthen queue on the Highway 406 off-ramp.
- In the "With Train Event" scenario for the 2051 horizon year, Damlier Parkway continues to experience queue spillback into Highway 406 Southbound off-ramp terminal intersection, and in addition the westbound and eastbound traffic queues from the rail crossing will spill back through the proposed new roundabout at Street A and Highway 406 Northbound off-ramp intersection, respectively.
- To evaluate the road network's resilience, four (4) sets of sensitivity analyses were completed for the study area. The sensitivity analyses include:
 - 1. 10% increase to residential density in the Developable Lands
 - 2. 25% increase to residential density in the Developable Lands
 - 10% decrease to the through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway
 - 25% decrease to the through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway
- For Sensitivity Analysis #1, the critical movements at both the highway 406 ramps worsened relative to the base future (2051) total scenario and traffic spillback through these intersections continues to impact the off ramps operations with the southbound and northbound off-ramp left turn queue lengths approaching and exceeding available storage, respectively During train events result roadway operations worsened critical movements relating in increased blockages and congestion.

- For Sensitivity Analysis #2, the 25% increase in residential density results in significant increase network congestion, with or without train events. This scenario results in left turn queue lengths at the Highway 406 off-ramps which would exceed the available left turn storage capacity. Specifically for the southbound off ramp the left turn queue blocks the free flow right turn channelize lane and creates a scenario where the maximum ramp queue could extend beyond the ramp bull nose. Additionally eastbound through traffic queues at the same intersection spillback into the Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive/Brown Road intersection.
- The corridor capacity analysis and macroscopic traffic analysis for both sensitivity # 1 and #2 using Synchro indicates that significant delays and capacity constraints are forecasted at the link level in addition to individual intersection movements.
- For Sensitivity Analysis #3, the 10% reduction to through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway mitigates the queue spillback into the Highway 406 interchange intersections during the "No Train Event" scenario. Only the westbound left-turn movement at the Highway 406 Southbound off-ramp intersection exceeds its available storage. In the "With Train Event" scenario, the eastbound queues are forecast to spillback through the Highway 406 Southbound interchange intersection create blockages and delays. However, based on the capacity analysis methodology, constraints are still forecast at the link level and for individual intersection movements under this scenario. While queue spillback is expected to be improved, significant congestion is still forecasted within the network.
- For Sensitivity Analysis #4, the results are comparable to Sensitivity Analysis #3, with the 25% reduction mitigating queue spill into adjacent intersections. in the "No Train Event" scenario. Even with the 25% reduction, the "With Train Event" scenario still realizes queue spillback into the southbound off-ramp intersection. In this scenario, the capacity constraints are significantly improved but not entirely alleviated at both the ramp terminal intersections. The remaining critical movements include the left turns from both off ramps which are still above the desired MTO traffic impact study guidelines.

Based on the analysis completed, it is concluded that with the proposed new development in the lands east of Highway 406 (primarily South Village and Hunters Pointe), the existing Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway corridor will experience peak hour congestion and queueing resulting in undesirable operating conditions by 2051. The sensitivity analysis shows a 10% reduction in through volumes (this represents a reduction of approximately 215 units assuming the same distribution of land uses) along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway mitigates some of the roadway queueing concerns that are present under the base future (2051) total scenario, albeit the Highway 406 ramp intersections are still forecast to operate with critical movements and high levels of delay.

The sensitivity analysis for a 25% reduction in through volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway (this represents a reduction of approximately 549 units assuming the same distribution of land uses), mitigates the roadway queueing concerns that are present under the base future (2051) total scenario. The operational analysis indicated that all the Highway 406 ramp intersections will operate at good levels of service with only the left turn movements at both off ramps still exceeding the desired MTO traffic impact study guideline.

If the proposed magnitude of developments is to be implemented by the 2051 horizon, undesirable operating conditions are expected in the form of significant congestion along the Daimler Parkway corridor and queue spillback blocking adjacent intersections. Therefore, it is recommended that an additional non-emergency access be provided for the lands east of Highway 406 to accommodate the development traffic demand. The additional access will add new transportation capacity to the roadway network allowing for diversion of traffic away from the Daimler Parkway corridor thus improving its operation under the future (2051) total traffic scenario. Additionally, there will be surplus capacity within the roadway system to consider the potential for increased densities if desired. This additional route choice will also reduce out of way travel and overall commute time for the traffic heading to the south and west.

APPENDIX 1 Turning Movement Counts (TMCs)



Page 86 of 497

| Woodlawn Rd @ Brown Rd / Primeway Dr | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Morning Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 7:00:00 From: 7:45:00 To: 9:00:00 To: 8:45:00 | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:000000004Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Brown RdTFR File #:4Count date:23-Apr-2024 | Weather conditions: Cloudy/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | |
| ** Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | |
| North Leg Total: 319 Heavys 2 1 2 5 North Entering: 134 Trucks 2 1 1 4 North Peds: 0 Cars 75 33 17 12 Peds Cross: Image: March 100 Totals 79 35 20 | Heavys 4 Trucks 2 Cars 179 Totals 185 Heavys 4 East Leg Total: 1114 East Entering: 601 East Peds: 0 Peds Cross: X | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals | imeway Dr Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 59 2 2 63 498 6 24 528 7 1 2 10 | | | |
| Woodlawn Rd | 564 9 28 | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 2 0 88 90 33 3 446 482 | Woodlawn Rd | | | |
| 4 0 56 60 39 3 590 Brown Rd | Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 470 5 38 513 | | | |
| Peds Cross: Image: Carse of the sector of | rs 54 32 7 93 Peds Cross: ⋈ ks 0 0 1 1 South Peds: 0 ys 2 0 3 5 South Entering: 99 xls 56 32 11 South Leg Total: 204 | | | |
| Comr | nents | | | |
| | | | | |

| Woodlawn Rd @ Brown Rd / Primeway Dr | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mid-day Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 11:00:00 From: 11:30:00 To: 14:00:00 To: 12:30:00 | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:00000004Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Brown RdTFR File #:4Count date:23-Apr-2024 | Weather conditions: Cloudy/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | |
| ** Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | |
| North Leg Total: 1040 Heavys 2 1 2 5 North Entering: 513 Trucks 1 1 3 North Peds: 1 Cars 308 87 110 50 Peds Cross: Image: State | Heavys 5 Trucks 1 Cars 521 Totals 527 Heavys 5 East Leg Total: 912 East Entering: 471 East Peds: 1 Peds Cross: X | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals | imeway Dr Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 147 1 2 150 296 3 8 307 13 0 1 14 | | | |
| Woodlawn Rd | 456 4 11 | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 1 0 279 280 11 2 311 324 | Woodlawn Rd | | | |
| 0 1 44 45 12 3 634 Brown Rd | Cars Hucks Heavys Holds 424 3 14 441 | | | |
| Peds Cross: Image: Carse in the state in | rs 53 95 3 151 Peds Cross: ⊠ rs 0 0 0 South Peds: 0 rs 0 2 1 3 South Entering: 154 rs 53 97 4 South Leg Total: 302 | | | |
| Commonto | | | | |
| | | | | |





| Woodlawn Rd @ Hwy 406 SB Off Ramp | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Morning Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 7:00:00 From: 7:45:00 To: 9:00:00 To: 8:45:00 | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:000000001Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Hwy 406 SB Off RaTFR File #:1Count date:29-May-2024 | Weather conditions: Clear/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | |
| ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | |
| North Leg Total: 17 Heavys 0 3 3 North Entering: 17 Trucks 0 0 0 0 North Peds: 3 Cars 0 0 14 14 Peds Cross: Image: Construction of the section of the sectio | Heavys 0 East Leg Total: 677 Trucks 0 East Entering: 218 Cars 0 East Peds: 0 Totals 0 Peds Cross: X | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals | wy 406 SB Off Ramp Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 0 0 0 0 190 0 13 203 14 0 1 15 | | | |
| w < | E | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 0 	 0 	 0 13 	 5 	 424 22 	 0 	 121 35 	 5 	 545 Hunt 406 SB On Barro | Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 438 5 16 459 | | | |
| Peds Cross: Image: Construction of the state of th | rs 0 0 0 0 Peds Cross: rs 0 0 0 0 South Peds: 0 ys 0 0 0 0 South Entering: 0 sls 0 0 0 0 South Leg Total: 158 | | | |
| Comments | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Woodlawn Rd @ Hwy 406 SB Off Ramp | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Mid-day Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 11:00:00 From: 12:00:00 To: 14:00:00 To: 13:00:00 | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:000000001Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Hwy 406 SB Off RaTFR File #:1Count date:29-May-2024 | Weather conditions: Clear/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | |
| ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | |
| North Leg Total: 24 Heavys 0 2 2 North Entering: 24 Trucks 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 | Heavys 0 Trucks 0 Cars 0 Totals 0 Heavys 0 East Leg Total: 612 East Entering: 224 East Peds: 0 Peds Cross: X | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 1 3 200 204 Woodlawn Rd | Vy 406 SB Off Ramp Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 0 0 0 0 0 200 3 1 204 19 0 1 20 219 3 2 | | | |
| | E | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 365 7 16 388 | | | |
| Peds Cross: Image: Carse of the carse | rs 0 0 0 Peds Cross: ⋈ is 0 0 0 South Peds: 0 is 0 0 0 South Peds: 0 is 0 0 0 South Entering: 0 is 0 0 0 South Leg Total: 190 | | | |
| Commonte | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Woodlawn Rd @ Hwy 406 SB Off Ramp | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Afternoon Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 15:00:00 From: 15:45:00 To: 18:00:00 To: 16:45:00 | | | | | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:000000001Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Hwy 406 SB Off RaTFR File #:1Count date:29-May-2024 | Weather conditions: Clear/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | | | | | |
| ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | | | | | |
| North Leg Total: 42 Heavys 0 1 1 North Entering: 42 Trucks 0 1 1 North Peds: 1 Cars 0 40 40 Peds Cross: Image: March Peds Totals 0 42 | Heavys 0 East Leg Total: 782 Trucks 0 East Entering: 293 Cars 0 East Peds: 0 Totals 0 Peds Cross: X | | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals | wy 406 SB Off Ramp Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 0 0 0 0 266 0 8 274 | | | | | | | |
| Woodlawn Rd | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 0 0 0 0 5 2 440 447 4 2 193 9 4 633 Hwy 406 SB On Bamp | Woodlawn Rd Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 480 3 6 489 | | | | | | | |
| Peds Cross: X Cars 210 Cars West Peds: 0 Trucks 3 Truc West Entering: 646 Heavys 5 Heav West Leg Total: 920 Totals 218 Totals | ars 0 0 0 Peds Cross: Image: mail of the sector s | | | | | | | |
| Comr | nents | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



| Woodlawn Rd @ Hwy 406 NB Off Ramp | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Morning Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 7:00:00 From: 8:00:00 To: 9:00:00 To: 9:00:00 | | | | | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:000000002Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Hwy 406 NB Off RaTFR File #:2Count date:29-May-2024 | Weather conditions: Clear/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | | | | | |
| ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | | | | | |
| North Leg Total: 4 Heavys 0 0 0 0 North Entering: 2 Trucks 0 0 0 0 North Peds: 2 Cars 1 1 0 2 Peds Cross: Image: 1 1 0 2 | Heavys0East Leg Total:167Trucks0East Entering:114Cars2East Peds:0Totals2Peds Cross:X | | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals Ca 7 0 216 223 Woodlawn Rd | ar Pool Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 0 0 0 0 75 0 1 76 35 1 2 10 38 | | | | | | | |
| W - | E | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 45 0 8 53 | | | | | | | |
| Peds Cross: Image: Construction of the c | Image: second secon | | | | | | | |
| Comr | nents | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Woodlawn Rd @ Hwy 406 NB Off Ramp | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mid-day Pea | ık Diagram | Specified Period From: 11:00:00 To: 14:00:00 | One Hour Peak From: 13:00:00 To: 14:00:00 | | | | | | |
| Municipality:WellanSite #:000000Intersection:WoodlaTFR File #:2Count date:29-May | d 00002 awn Rd & Hwy 406 NB Off Rε γ-2024 | Weather conditions: Clear/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | | | | | |
| ** Non-Signalized In | tersection ** | Major Road: Woodlaw | vn Rd runs W/E | | | | | | |
| North Leg Total: 19 North Entering: 9 North Peds: 5 Peds Cross: ₪ | Heavys 0 0 0 0 Trucks 0 0 0 0 Cars 6 2 1 9 Totals 6 2 1 9 | Heavys 0 Trucks 0 Cars 10 Totals 10 | East Leg Total: 194 East Entering: 100 East Peds: 0 Peds Cross: X | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 7 4 219 230 | s C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | | Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 0 0 0 0 66 2 1 69 28 2 1 31 94 4 2 | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 0 0 7 7 1 5 76 82 | s and s | Woo | odlawn Rd | | | | | | |
| 1 5 83 | Hwy 406 NB Off Ramp | | 87 6 1 94 | | | | | | |
| Peds Cross: X West Peds: 0 West Entering: 89 West Leg Total: 319 | Cars 30 Ca Trucks 2 Truck Heavys 1 Heavy Totals 33 Tota | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Peds Cross: ► South Peds: 0 South Entering: 169 South Leg Total: 202 | | | | | | |
| | Comr | nents | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Woodlawn Rd @ Hwy 406 NB Off Ramp | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Afternoon Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 15:00:00 From: 15:15:00 To: 18:00:00 To: 16:15:00 | | | | | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:000000002Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Hwy 406 NB Off RaTFR File #:2Count date:29-May-2024 | Weather conditions: Clear/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | | | | | |
| ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | | | | | |
| North Leg Total: 12 Heavys 0 0 0 0 North Entering: 7 Trucks 0 0 0 0 North Peds: 2 Cars 5 0 2 7 Peds Cross: ⋈ Totals 5 0 2 | Heavys0East Leg Total:209Trucks0East Entering:88Cars5East Peds:0Totals5Peds Cross:X | | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 11 1 291 303 Woodlawn Bd | | | | | | | | |
| W | E Woodlawn Rd | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Cars Trucks Heavys Totals | | | | | | | |
| Peds Cross: Image: Carse of the carse | rs 223 0 21 244 Peds Cross: | | | | | | | |
| Com | nonto | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |







| Woodlawn Rd @ Hwy 406 SB Off Ramp | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mid-day Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 10:00:00 From: 10:45:00 To: 14:00:00 To: 11:45:00 | | | | | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:000000002Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Hwy 406 SB RampTFR File #:2Count date:15-Jun-2024 | Weather conditions: Clear/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | | | | | |
| ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | | | | | |
| North Leg Total: 510 Heavys 1 0 0 1 North Entering: 510 Trucks 0< | 9 Heavys 0 East Leg Total: 767 Trucks 0 East Entering: 281 Cars 0 East Peds: 1 Totals 0 Peds Cross: X | | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 1 0 756 757 N N Hwy 406 SB Off Ramp Cars Trucks Heavys 267 0 0 N 13 1 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Woodlawn Rd 🛛 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 🗸 | ✓ 280 1 0 | | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 0 0 0 0 2 3 463 468 1 0 212 3 3 675 Hwy 406 SB On Ramp | Woodlawn Rd Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 481 3 2 486 | | | | | | | |
| Peds Cross: Image: Construction of the sector of the s | rs 0 0 0 Peds Cross: ⋈ (s 0 0 0 South Peds: 0 (s 0 0 0 South Entering: 0 (s 0 0 0 South Leg Total: 229 | | | | | | | |
| Comp | aanto | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



| Woodlawn Rd @ Hwy 406 NB Off Ramp | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mid-day Peak Diagram | Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 10:00:00 From: 10:30:00 To: 14:00:00 To: 11:30:00 | | | | | | | |
| Municipality:WellandSite #:0000000003Intersection:Woodlawn Rd & Hwy 406 NB Off RaTFR File #:3Count date:15-Jun-2024 | Weather conditions: Clear/Dry Person(s) who counted: Pyramid Traffic Inc | | | | | | | |
| ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Woodlawn Rd runs W/E | | | | | | | |
| North Leg Total: 15 Heavys 0 0 0 0 North Entering: 8 Trucks 0 0 0 0 North Peds: 3 Cars 3 4 1 8 Peds Cross: Image: state | Heavys0East Leg Total:198Trucks0East Entering:104Cars7East Peds:1Totals7Peds Cross:X | | | | | | | |
| Heavys Trucks Cars Totals | Ar Pool Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 1 0 0 1 71 1 0 72 31 103 1 0 | | | | | | | |
| W Heavys Trucks Cars Totals | E Woodlawn Rd | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Cars Trucks Heavys Totals | | | | | | | |
| Hwy 406 NB Off Ramp | N 0 54 | | | | | | | |
| Peds Cross: Image: Carse in the state in | Ins 225 1 14 240 Peds Cross: Image: second secon | | | | | | | |
| Com | nents | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



APPENDIX 2

Vissim Model Volume Calibration



| Existing Conditions Model No Train Volume Calibration | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| Period | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph |
| | No. | Location | Movement | Hourly | Hourly | Volume 1 | Abs. % | Volume 0.1 | (<5) Ves | (±100) |
| | | | EBT | 482 | 487 | 5 | 1.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 60 | 59 | -1 | 1.7% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 11 | 12 | 1 | 8.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 20 | 22 | 2 | 9.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBR | 79 | 82 | 3 | 3.7% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBL | 10 | 9 | -1 | 10.0% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBT | 528 | 549 | 21 | 3.8% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes |
| Ę | | | EBR | 143 | 126 | -17 | 11.9% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes |
| Ť | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 442 | 394 | -48 | 10.9% | 2.3 | Yes | Yes |
| реа | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 17 | 19 | 2 | 10.5% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| MA | | | WBL | 203 | 218 | 15 | 6.9% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes |
| - | | | EBL | 1 | 2 | 1 | 50.0% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 39 | 375 | -2 | 5.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 146 | 154 | 8 | 5.2% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBT | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 14 | 16 | 2 | 12.5% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| | | 400 ND Namp Terminar | SBT | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 2 | 1 | 50.0% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBL | 38 | 40 | 2 | 5.0% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes |
| | | | EBL | 274 | 279 | 5 | 1.8% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBT | 497 | 505 | 8 | 1.6% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 80 | 85 | -2 | 2.9% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBT | 64 | 66 | 2 | 3.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 16 | 18 | 2 | 11.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 90 | 93 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBR | 298 | 295 | -3 | 1.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBL | 18 | 17 | -1 | 5.6% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBI | 533 | <u>549</u> 187 | 16 | 2.9% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes |
| our | | | EBR | 199 | 211 | 12 | 5.7% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes |
| Ť | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 447 | 458 | 11 | 2.4% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| Реа | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 42 | 45 | 3 | 0.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| × | | | WBT | 274 | 289 | 15 | 5.2% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes |
| _ | | | EBL | 4 | 2 | -2 | 50.0% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 97 | 402 | 2 | 2.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 231 | 236 | 5 | 2.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | NBR SBI | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | 400 ND Namp Terminal | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes |
| | | | SBR | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBL | 20 | 68 | 1 | 4.8% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBL | 471 | 475 | 4 | 0.8% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBI | 400 | 459 | 4 | 0.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 85 | 89 | 4 | 4.5% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | | Weedleve Deed and Drivery | NBT | 136 | 141 | 5 | 3.5% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 214 | 211 | -3 | 12.5% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBT | 112 | 113 | 1 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBR | 412 | 417 | 5 | 1.2% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBL | 528 | 542 | 14 | 2.6% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| <u> </u> | | | WBR | 224 | 234 | 10 | 4.3% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes |
| Hot | | | EBR | 213 | 217 | 4 | 1.8% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| ak | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | SBL | 18 | 19 | -/ | 5.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| ΤPε | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| SA | | | WBT | 267 | 285 | 18 | 6.3% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBT | 79 | 76 | -2 | 40.0% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 402 | 402 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 226 | 226 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | _ | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 14 | 22 | -1 | 36.4% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBT | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBL | 31 | 33 | -1 | 33.3% 6,1% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBT | 71 | 72 | 1 | 1.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |

| | | | Existing | Conditions Model | With Train | /olume Calil | oration | | | |
|---------|-----|---|------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|--------|------|---------------|
| Deviced | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Hourly | Hourly | Volume | Abs. % | Volume | (<5) | (±100) |
| | | | EBL | 90 | 91 | 1 | 1.1% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBT | 482 | 487 | 5 | 1.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 60 | 59 | -1 | 1.7% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 56 | 61 | 5 | 8.2% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBT | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 11 | 12 | 1 | 8.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 20 | 22 | 2 | 9.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBT | 35 | 38 | 3 | 7.9% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBR | /9 | 82 | 3 | 3.7% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBL | 10 | 9 | -1 | 10.0% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBI | 528 | 549 | 21 | 3.8% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes |
| 5 | | | WBR | 63 | 68 | 5 | 7.4% | 0.6 | Yes | res |
| ٩ ٩ | | | EBR | 143 | 126 | -1/ | 11.9% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes |
| ¥ T | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBI | 442 | 394 | -48 | 10.9% | 2.3 | Yes | Yes |
| 9ec | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1/ | 19 | 2 | 10.5% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| ž | | - | WBL | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| A | | | WBI | 203 | 218 | 15 | 6.9% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBL | 1 | 2 | 1 | 50.0% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBI | 39 | 37 | -2 | 0.1% 10.5% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR NBI | 419 | 3/5 | -44 | 10.5% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 140 | 0 | 0 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Voc | Voc |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 NB Ramp Terminal | NED | 14 | 16 | -1 | 12.5% | 0.5 | Voc | Voc |
| | 3 | | SBI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.376 | 0.5 | 163 | Ves |
| | | | SBL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Voc | Voc |
| | | | SED | 1 | 3 | -1 | 50.0% | 0.9 | Voc | Voc |
| | | | WBI | 38 | 40 | 2 | 5.0% | 0.0 | Ves | Ves |
| | | | WBT | 76 | 76 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 0 | | 0 | - | - | - | Yes |
| | | | FBL | 274 | 279 | 5 | 1.8% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBT | 497 | 505 | 8 | 1.6% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 70 | 68 | -2 | 2.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 80 | 85 | 5 | 5.9% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBT | 64 | 66 | 2 | 3.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 16 | 18 | 2 | 11.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 146 | 148 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBT | 90 | 93 | 3 | 3.2% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBR | 298 | 295 | -3 | 1.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBL | 18 | 17 | -1 | 5.6% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBT | 533 | 549 | 16 | 2.9% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 179 | 187 | 8 | 4.3% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes |
| по | | | EBR | 199 | 211 | 12 | 5.7% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes |
| Τ | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 447 | 458 | 11 | 2.4% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| ea | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 42 | 45 | 3 | 6.7% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes |
| A P | | ree eb namp remina | WBL | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| A | | | WBT | 274 | 289 | 15 | 5.2% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBL | 4 | 2 | -2 | 50.0% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBT | 97 | 99 | 2 | 2.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 388 | 402 | 14 | 3.5% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 231 | 236 | 5 | 2.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes |
| | | Defectes Deduces and U. 1 | NBI | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes |
| | 3 | Daimier Parkway and Highway | NBR | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 2 | 2 | U | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes |
| | | | SBI | 0 | U | 0 | - | - | - | Yes |
| | | | SBR | 30 | 5 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | res |
| | | | WBL | 20 | 21 | 1 | 4.8% | 0.2 | Yes | res |
| | | | WBD | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | Voc | Voc |
| | 1 | 1 | WBR | | | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | res | res |

| | Future Total (2051) Conditions Model No | | | | | No Train | Volume C | alibration | | | |
|----------|---|--|------------|--------------|------------------|------------|--------------|------------|----------|-------------------------|--|
| Period | No | Intersection | Movement | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph (+100) | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| | | Louiron | EBL | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 95 | 95 | 0 | 0.7% | 0.2 | Yes | - Yes | res - |
| | | | NBL | 89 | 94 | 5 | 5.3% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 18 | 19 | 1 | 5.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 32 | 34 | 2 | 5.9% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | SBR | 127 | 128 | 1 | 0.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 16 | 15 | -1 | 6.3% 0.4% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes - | - Yes |
| | | | WBR | 101 | 103 | 2 | 1.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 228 | 208 | -20 | 8.8% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - Yes |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 100 | 99 | -1 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 225 | 215 | -10 | 4.4% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | EBL | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Ŷ | | | EBR | 667 | 595 | -13 | 10.8% | 2.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Peak | | | NBL | 233 | 235 | 2 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| AM | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 96 | 101 | 5 | 5.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 | 2 | 2 | - 0.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 271 555 | 256 | -15 -38 | 5.5% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | |
| | | | EBL | 98 | 101 | 3 | 3.0% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 163 | 178 | 15 | 8.4% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / Street 'B' | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | Yes | - |
| | | Greer D | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR WBL | 70 | 74 | 4 | 5.4% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 251 | 255 | 4 | 1.6% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | WBR EBL | 0 436 | 0 436 | 0 | - 0.0% | - 0.0 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 1060 | 1064 | 4 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 111 128 | 111 | 3 | 2.3% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlaws Road and Brimoway | NBT | 102 | 102 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 233 | 231 | -2 | 0.9% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | SBT | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 28 | 25 | -3 | 10.7% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 1014 | 1011 | -3 | 0.3% | 0.1 | Yes | - Vec | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 317 | 326 | 9 | 2.8% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 980 | 995 312 | 15 | 1.5% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 175 | 169 | -6 | 3.4% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 602 | 589 | -13 -3 | 2.2% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 'n | | | EBT | 661 | 680 | 19 | 2.8% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| eak | | | NBL | 368 | 362 | -6 | 1.6% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ŭ. ĮΣ | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBT | 0 268 | 0 | 0 | - 3.2% | - 0.5 | - Yes | Yes | |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 2 | 6 | 4 | 66.7% | 2.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | -5 | - 71.4% | - 2.4 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 177 | 164 | -13 | 7.3% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBI | 419 | 388 | -31 | 100.0% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | EBL | 79 | 91 | 12 | 13.2% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 503 | 561 | 58 | 10.3% | 2.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 319 | 321 | 2 | 0.6% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 47 | 48 | 1 | 2.1% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBL | 179 | 183 | 4 | - 2.2% | 0.3 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 962 | 924 | -38 | 4.0% | 1.4 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 107 | 108 141 | 6 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| | | Waadawa Daa L. J.D.: | NBT | 217 | 218 | 1 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 340 | 324 | -16 | 4.7% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 178 | 181 | 3 | 1.7% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 18 | 17 | -/ | 5.6% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 1053 | 1049 | -4 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 339 | 325 | -14 | 4.1% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 983 | 929 | -54 | 5.5% | 1.7 | Yes | - Yes | Yes |
| | _ | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 207 | 204 | -3 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT EBL | 637 | 639 4 | -3 | 0.3% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| Ind | | | EBT | 568 | 560 | -8 | 1.4% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| eak | | | EBR NBL | 640 360 | 602 358 | -38 -2 | 5.9% 0.6% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| AT Pe | | Daimler Perkusu og d Linka | NBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ŝ | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 235 | 0 | 2.6% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 231 | 217 | -14 | 6.1% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 512 | 479 | -33 | 6.4% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | EBL | 65 | 71 | 6 | 8.5% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 223 454 | 220 | -3 | 1.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 411 | 413 | 2 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBI | <u> </u> | 64 | 8 | 12.5% | - 1.0 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 7 70/ | - | - V | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 210 | 2.34 | 0 | 1.170 | - | res | Yes | - |
| | | | Futu | re Total (2051) Co | onditions Model | With Train | Volume | Calibration | | | |
|--------|--|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|------------|--|-------------|---|---------------|--|
| Deried | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| Period | No. | Locat on | Movement | Hour y | Hour y | Vo ume | Abs % | Vo ume | (<5) | (±100) | (±15%) |
| | | | EBL | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | eriod No. Location Movement Houry No. Unitation Volume Onterface Difference GEH GEH GEH Flow 5. 1 No. Location Movement Houry Houry Volume Onterface Difference GEH GEH GEH Flow 5. (e1) 1 Volume Abs Volume Abs Volume (c5) (e1) (c1) (c1) <t< td=""><td>-</td><td>Yes</td></t<> | - | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 95 | 95 | 0 | Offference GEH GeH Flow <700 vph 700 vph < | - | | | |
| | | | NBL | 50 | 51 | 5 | 2.0% | 0.5 | SEH GEH Flow <700 vph 700vph 700vph (150) 0.2 Yes Yes - Yes 0.2 Yes Yes - Yes 0.2 Yes Yes - Yes 0.1 Yes Yes - Yes 0.1 Yes Yes - 0.2 Yes Yes | - | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 18 | 19 | 1 | 5.3% | 0.2 | | - | |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 32 | 34 | 2 | 5.9% | 0.3 | | - | |
| | | | SBT | 56 | 57 | 1 | 1.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 127 | 128 | 1 | 0.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 16 | 15 | -1 | 6.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 1074 | 1070 | -4 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 101 | 103 | 2 | 1.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EDR | 228 | 209 | -19 | 0.3% | 1.3 | Yes | tes | - Voc |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | SBI | 100 | 100 | -09 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Vec | Vac | 165 |
| | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBI | 225 | 216 | -9 | 4.0% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 557 | 539 | -18 | 3.2% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| no | | | EBT | 220 | 207 | -13 | 5.9% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| I I | | | EBR | 667 | 595 | -72 | 10.8% | 2.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ea | | | NBL | 233 | 236 | 3 | 1.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| N, | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBI | 1 | 101 | U 2 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramo Terminal | SBI | 00 | 2 | 2 | 5.0% | 0.5 | i es | Yes | |
| | | 100 No Namp Formilia | SBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 271 | 255 | -16 | 5.9% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 555 | 516 | -39 | 7.0% | 1.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | L | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 24 | 28 | 4 | 14.3% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBI | 98 | 102 | 4 | 3.9% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 163 | 1/8 | 15 | 8.4% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 43/ | | 4 | 0.9% | 0.2 | Tes | Yes | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 70 | 74 | 4 | 5.4% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 251 | 255 | 4 | 1.6% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 136 | 424 | 0 | - | - 0.1 | - Voo | Yes | - |
| | | | FBT | 430 | 1059 | -2 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | Tes | - Yes |
| | | | EBR | 111 | 111 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 128 | 132 | 4 | 3.0% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 102 | 102 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 28 | 2 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | · · | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 233 | 232 | -1 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 4/4 | 485 | 11 | 2.3% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 1014 | 1009 | -2 | 0.5% | 0.4 | Yes | Tes | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 285 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | EBR | 317 | 326 | 9 | 2.8% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Linda | EBT | 980 | 997 | 17 | 1.7% | 0.5 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramo Terminal | SBL | 305 | 311 | 6 | 1.9% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 175 | 169 | -6 | 3.4% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | WBT | 602 | 589 | -13 | 2.2% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | EBT | 661 | 5 680 | -3 | 2.8% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| r E | | | FBR | 618 | 626 | 19 | 2.0% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | |
| æ | | | NBI | 368 | 361 | -7 | 1.9% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Pe | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| M | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 268 | 276 | 8 | 2.9% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 2 | 6 | 4 | 66.7% | 2.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 7 | 2 | -5 | 71.4% | 2.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 1// | 164 | -13 | 7.3% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBP | 419 | 389 | -30 | 1.2% | 1.5 | T @S | T @S | - |
| | <u> </u> | | FBI | 79 | 91 | 12 | 13.2% | 1.4 | Yee | Yee | - |
| | | | EBT | 278 | 307 | 29 | 9.4% | 1.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 503 | 560 | 57 | 10.2% | 2.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 319 | 322 | 3 | 0.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | · · | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBI | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - 0.1 | - Voo | Yes | - |
| | | | WBI | 4/ | 40 | | 2.170 | 0.1 | res | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 179 | 183 | 4 | 2.2% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | i 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |

| | | Future Total (| 2051) Cor | ditions Model | Increased Residen | tial Density | / (+10%) | No Train | Volume Cali | bration | |
|-------------|-----|--|-----------------|---------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Period | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| | No. | Locat on | Movement EBL | Hour y 143 | Hour y 146 | Vo ume 3 | Abs % 2.1% | Vo ume 0.2 | (<5) Yes | (±100) Yes | (±15%) |
| | | | EBT | 859 | 868 | 9 | 1.0% | 0.3 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 89 | 96 | 5 | 5.3% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Weedlever Deedlend Drimeway | NBT | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 32 | 34 | 2 | 5.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 56 | Der ModelUncreased Residential Denty (+10%)No TrainVolume (2018)Not (2018) | - | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 16 | 120 | -1 | 6.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 1095 | 1092 | -3 | 0.3% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 228 | 184 | -44 | 19.3% | 3.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 796 | 732 | -64 | 8.0% | 2.3 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | - | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 243 | 232 | -11 | 4.5% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 578 | 559 | -19 | 3.3% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | |
| Ŀ | | | EBT | 233 | 207 | -26 | 11.2% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| н Н Н | | | EBR | 667 | 634 | -33 | 4.9% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| lPe | | | NBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.4% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| AN | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 102 | 107 | 5 | 4.7% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 594 | 556 | -18 -38 | 6.2% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | |
| | | | EBL | 27 | 30 | -1 | 10.0% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 174 | 182 | 8 | 4.4% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 469 | 4/3 | 4 | - 0.8% | 0.2 | Yes - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 82 | 84 | 2 | 2.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 1 0% | - 0.2 | - Vec | Yes | |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 436 | 436 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - Voc |
| | | | EBR | 111 | 111 | 0 | 0.2% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - Tes |
| | | | NBL | 128 | 131 | 3 | 2.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 28 | 2 | 7.1% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | ' | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 233 | 230 | -3 | 1.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 474 | 484 | 10 | 2.1% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 28 | 26 | -2 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 288 | -4 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | - Yes | res - |
| | | | EBR | 317 | 328 | 11 | 3.4% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | SBL | 305 | 334 | 29 | 1.6% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 186 | 181 | -5 | 2.7% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | EBL | 617 | 608 | -9 | 1.5% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| or | | | EBT | 706 | 726 | 20 | 2.8% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Å. | | | EBR NBL | 618 | 625 | -5 | 1.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| A Pe | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| ā. | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBI | 288 | 295 | 4 | 2.4% | 2.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 7 | 2 | -5 | 71.4% | 2.4 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBT | 445 | 418 | -27 | 6.1% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR FBI | 92 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 289 | 316 | 27 | 8.5% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 544 | 604 | 60 | 9.9% | 2.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / Street 'B' | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR WBI | 55 | 56 | 0 | 1.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 189 | 193 | 4 | 2.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR FBI | 0 | 0 716 | -35 | - 4.7% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - Yes |
| | | | EBT | 981 | 925 | -56 | 5.7% | 1.8 | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 107 | 107 | 0 7 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 217 | 220 | 3 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive / Brown Road | NBR | 12 340 | 12 | -19 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Dirici, Diomiticad | SBT | 178 | 180 | 2 | 1.1% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 657 | 649 | -8 | 1.2% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBT | 1071 | 1078 | 7 | 0.6% | 0.2 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 357 | 373 | 16 | 4.3% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Hinhway | EBT | 1003 | 936 | -67 | 6.7% | 2.2 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 250 | 242 | -8 | 3.2% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 655 | 669 | 14 | 2.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| la la | | | EBL | 7 | 4 | -3 | 42.9% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ۲ ۲ | | | EBR | 640 | 608 | -32 | 5.0% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Pea | | | NBL | 360 | 365 | 5 | 1.4% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| SAT | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 246 | 243 | -3 | 1.2% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | Ĭ | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 247 | 232 | -15 | 6.1% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 1 | 1 | -36 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 76 | 72 | -4 | 5.3% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 233 487 | 498 | -5 | 2.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 438 | 442 | 4 | 0.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Daimler Parkwav and Street 'A' / | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | Ő | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 70 | 0 | 4.3% | 0.4 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 227 | 238 | 11 | 4.6% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |

| | | Future Total (2 | 2051) Cond | ditions Model | Increased Resident | ial Density | (+10%) | With Train | Volume Ca | libration | |
|---------|-----|----------------------------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|--|------------|---|-----------|---------------|--|
| Period | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| 1 entou | No. | Locat on | Movement | Hour y | Hour y | Vo ume | Abs % | Vo ume | (<5) | (±100) | (±15%) |
| | | | EBL | 143 | 146 | 3 | 2.1% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 859 | 868 | 9 | 1.0% | 0.3 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 95 | 96 | idential Density (+10%) With Train Volume Calibration ume Difference 3 CEH 2.1% CEH 0.2 File File File 700rg 9 1.0% 0.3 Yes - - 765 1 1.0% 0.1 Yes Yes - 1 1.0% 0.1 Yes Yes - 1 2.0% 0.1 Yes Yes - 2 5.% 0.3 Yes Yes - 1 0.9% 0.1 Yes Yes - 2 1.9% 0.2 Yes Yes - 444 19.3% 3.1 Yes Yes - 433 7.9% 0.2 Yes Yes - 441 19.3% 1.1 Yes Yes - 1 0.9% 0.1 Yes Yes - 2.1 1.9% 0.1 Yes Yes | - | | | | |
| | | | NDL | 69 | 51 | 5 | 2.0% | 10%) With Train Volume Calibration ifferance GEH GEH Flow \$700 ph 700 ph+fk Abs % Voume (<5) | - | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBT | 18 | 19 | 1 | 5.3% | | - | | |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBI | 32 | 34 | 2 | 5.9% | | - | | |
| | | | SBT | 56 | 57 | 1 | 1.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 127 | 128 | 1 | 0.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 16 | 15 | -1 | 6.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 1095 | 1091 | -4 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 101 | 103 | 2 | 1.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 228 | 184 | -44 | 19.3% | 3.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 796 | 733 | -63 | 7.9% | 2.3 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 107 | 108 | 1 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 243 | 232 | -11 | 4.5% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBI | 5/8 | 558 | -20 | 3.5% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | EBT | 233 | 206 | -27 | 11.6% | 1.4 | Vec | Vec | |
| 2 | | | EBR | 667 | 634 | -27 | 4 9% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | |
| ě | | | NBL | 233 | 234 | 1 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Å | | | NBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| AM | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 102 | 107 | 5 | 4.7% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 290 | 272 | -18 | 6.2% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 594 | 556 | -38 | 6.4% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 103 | 102 | -1 | 1.0% | 0.0 | Vec | Vec | - |
| | | | EBP | 174 | 181 | 7 | 3.0% | 0.1 | Vee | Vec | |
| | | | NBI | 469 | 473 | 4 | 0.8% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 82 | 84 | 2 | 2.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 265 | 270 | 5 | 1.9% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EDL | 430 | 434 | -2 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | tes | - Voo |
| | | | EDD | 1004 | 111 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Vec | Voo | 165 |
| | | | NBI | 128 | 132 | 4 | 3.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 102 | 101 | -1 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 28 | 2 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 233 | 232 | -1 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 474 | 483 | 9 | 1.9% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 28 | 26 | -2 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBI | 1029 | 1027 | -2 | 0.2% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 288 | 3 | 1.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EDK | 1003 | 320 | 9 | 2.0% | 0.5 | Vec | Vec | - |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | SBI | 305 | 334 | 29 | 8.7% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 186 | 181 | -5 | 2.7% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 617 | 607 | -10 | 1.6% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 6 | 3 | -3 | 50.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Ino | | | EBT | 706 | 724 | 18 | 2.5% | 0.7 | Yes | | Yes |
| Í | | | EBR | 618 | 623 | 5 | 0.8% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| eal | | | NBL | 368 | 363 | -5 | 1.4% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| 2 | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 288 | 295 | 1 | 2.4% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | ODL | 2 | 0 | 4 | 00.7% | 2.0 | res | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 7 | 2 | -5 | 71.4% | 24 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 190 | 175 | -15 | 7.9% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 445 | 418 | -27 | 6.1% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 92 | 106 | 14 | 13.2% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 289 | 315 | 26 | 8.3% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 544 | 604 | 60 | 9.9% | 2.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 341 | 346 | 5 | 1.4% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Deimler Berkway and Street M. | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'P' | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | Street B | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | Vec | - |
| | | | SBR | 55 | 56 | 1 | 1.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 189 | 192 | 3 | 1.6% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |

| | | Future Total (| 2051) Cor | ditions Model | ncreased Residen | tial Density | (+25%) | No Train | Volume Cali | ibration | |
|---|----------|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Period | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| | No. | Locat on | Movement FBI | Hour y 143 | Hour y 144 | Vo ume 1 | Abs % | Vo ume 0.1 | (<5) Yes | (±100) Yes | (±15%) |
| | | | EBT | 870 | 879 | 9 | 1.0% | 0.3 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 95 | 96 | 1 4 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive / Brown Road | NBR SBI | 18 | 19 | 1 | 5.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 56 | 57 | 1 | 1.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Partner Future Total (2051) Conditions Model Increased Residential Density (+25%) No. Train Volume Call No Teacion Increased Residential Density (+25%) No. Train Online Original Content of Pressure of Pressu | Yes | - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 1126 | 1124 | -2 | 0.2% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | FBR | 228 | 102 | -19 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 807 | 722 | -85 | 10.5% | 3.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL WBI | 270 | 256 | -14 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 609 | 590 | -19 | 3.1% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 12 | | | EBL | 254 | 239 | -1 -15 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ž ž | | | EBR | 667 | 599 | -68 | 10.2% | 2.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Pea | | | NBL | 233 | 234 | 0 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| AM | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 111 | 116 | 5 | 4.3% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - 0.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 652 | 611 | -18 -41 | 6.3% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 109 | 111 | 2 | 13.9% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 194 | 209 | 15 | 7.2% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - Tes | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 93 | 96 | 3 | 3.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 284 | 289 | 5 | - 1.7% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 436 | 435 | -1 -8 | 0.2% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes - | - Yes |
| | | | EBR | 111 | 112 | 1 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 128 | 131 | -1 | 2.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 28 | 2 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 233 | 228 | -5 | 2.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 474 | 482 | 8 | 1.7% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 28 | 26 | -2 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes - | - Yes |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 288 | 3 | 1.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 317 | 316 | -1 | 0.3% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 358 | 367 | 9 | 2.5% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 205 | 197 | -8 | 3.9% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 6 | 3 | -3 | 50.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| P | | | EBT | 618 | 782 | -14 | 2.3% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| eak | | | NBL | 368 | 360 | -8 | 2.2% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ž. | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBT | 318 | 0 | 0 | - 2.8% | 0.5 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| <u> </u> | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 2 | 6 | 4 | 66.7% | 2.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | -5 | - 71.4% | - 24 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 210 | 197 | -13 | 6.2% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 485 | 455 | -30 | 6.2% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | EBL | 103 | 116 | 13 | 11.2% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 310 | 335 | 25 | 7.5% | 2.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 380 | 385 | 5 | 1.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | - | - |
| | | | SBT | 62 | 0 64 | 2 | - 3.1% | 0.3 | - Yes | - Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | WBT | 202 | 204 | 2 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 751 | 707 | -44 | 5.9% | 1.6 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 1011 107 | 973 | -38 | 3.8% | 1.2 | Yes | - Yes | Yes - |
| | | | NBL | 135 | 142 | 7 | 4.9% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBT | 217 | 220 | 3 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 340 | 323 | -17 | 5.0% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 178 | 179 648 | -9 | 0.6% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 18 | 16 | -2 | 11.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 1097 357 | 1081 362 | -16 | 1.5% | 0.5 | Yes | - Yes | Yes - |
| | | | EBR | 339 | 325 | -14 | 4.1% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT SBL | 1032 | 979 283 | -53 | 5.1% | 0.4 | Yes | - Yes | Yes - |
| | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 245 | 242 | -3 | 1.2% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | EBL EBL | 681 | 679 | -2 -3 | 0.3% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| łour | | | EBT | 662 | 654 | -8 | 1.2% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ak - | | | EBR | 640 360 | 602 | -38 | 5.9% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes Yes | - |
| E P | | | NBT | 1 | 1 | Ő | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| SA | 3 | Uaimler Parkway and Highway 406 NB Ramp Terminal | NBR | 272 | 281 | 9 | 3.2% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | SBT | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 5 271 | 5 | -13 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBT | 594 | 558 | -36 | 6.1% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | WBR FRI | 1 | 1 94 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | EBT | 251 | 246 | -5 | 2.0% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 542 | 578 | 36 | 6.2% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / Street 'B' | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | Yes | - |
| | | 0.00.5 | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 76 | 80 | 4 | 5.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 243 | 263 | 20 | 7.6% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | i | 1 | WBR | 0 | 1 0 | . 0 | - | 1 - | | I Yes | |

| | | Future Total (2 | 2051) Cond | ditions Model | Increased Resident | ial Density | (+25%) | With Train | Volume Ca | libration | |
|--------|-----|----------------------------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|---|------------|---|-----------|---------------|--|
| Period | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| Fellou | No. | Locat on | Movement | Hour y | Hour y | Vo ume | Abs % | Vo ume | (<5) | (±100) | (±15%) |
| | | | EBL | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 870 | 878 | 8 | 0.9% | 0.3 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 95 | 96 | sidential Density (+25%) With Train Volume Calibration olume Ofference 1.4% Ofference 0.2 Ofference (<5) Flow <100 sph (<500) 700 2 1.4% 0.2 Yes - <td>-</td> | - | | | | |
| | | | NDL | 69 | 93 | 4 | 4.3% | 25%) With Train Volume Calibration Difference GH GEH Flow <700 typh (F) | - | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBT | 18 | 19 | 1 | 5.3% | | - | | |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBI | 32 | 34 | 2 | 5.9% | | - | | |
| | | | SBT | 56 | 57 | 1 | 1.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 127 | 128 | 1 | 0.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 16 | 15 | -1 | 6.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 1126 | 1124 | -2 | 0.2% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 101 | 102 | 1 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 228 | 208 | -20 | 8.8% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 807 | 722 | -85 | 10.5% | 3.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 116 | 115 | -1 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 2/0 | 256 | -14 | 5.2% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | FBI | 1 | 590 | -19 | 3.1% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | EBT | 254 | 239 | -15 | 5 9% | 1.4 | Vec | Vec | |
| 2 | | | EBR | 667 | 599 | -68 | 10.2% | 27 | Yes | Yes | |
| ž | | | NBI | 233 | 234 | 1 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Pe | | | NBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| AM | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 111 | 116 | 5 | 4.3% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 319 | 301 | -18 | 5.6% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBI | 652 | 611 | -41 | 6.3% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBK | 21 | 0 | 0 | - 12.0% | - | - Vac | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 109 | 30 | 2 | 1 8% | 0.9 | Vec | Vec | - |
| | | | EBP | 103 | 209 | 15 | 7 2% | 1.1 | Vec | Vec | |
| | | | NBI | 526 | 527 | 1 | 0.2% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 93 | 96 | 3 | 3.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 284 | 288 | 4 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EDL | 430 | 431 | -5 | 2.70/ | 0.2 | Yee | res | - Voo |
| | | | EDD | 1110 | 109 | -41 | 3.7% | 0.2 | Vee | Voo | 165 |
| | | | NBI | 128 | 131 | -3 | 2.7% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 102 | 100 | -2 | 2.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 27 | 1 | 3.7% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 233 | 222 | -11 | 4.7% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 143 | 142 | -1 | 0.7% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 474 | 479 | 5 | 1.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 28 | 25 | -3 | 10.7% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBI | 1050 | 1028 | -22 | 2.1% | 0.7 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 281 | -4 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EDK | 1038 | 301 | -10 | 5.0% | 1.8 | Vec | Tes | - Vec |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | SBI | 358 | 343 | -15 | 4.2% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBI | 205 | 197 | -8 | 3.9% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 638 | 625 | -13 | 2.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 6 | 3 | -3 | 50.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| or | | | EBT | 771 | 737 | -34 | 4.4% | 1.2 | Yes | - | Yes |
| Ť | | | EBR | 618 | 578 | -40 | 6.5% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| eal | | | NBL | 368 | 358 | -10 | 2.7% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | - | Yes | - |
| 2 | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 318 | 32/ | 9 | 2.8% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | ODL | 2 | 0 | 4 | 00.7% | 2.0 | res | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 7 | 2 | -5 | 71.4% | 24 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 210 | 197 | -13 | 6.2% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 485 | 456 | -29 | 6.0% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 103 | 111 | 8 | 7.2% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 310 | 322 | 12 | 3.7% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 607 | 636 | 29 | 4.6% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 380 | 383 | 3 | 0.8% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Deimler Berkway and Street M. | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'R' | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | Street B | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | Vec | - |
| | | | SBR | 62 | 64 | 2 | 3.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | . 60 | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 202 | 204 | 2 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |

| | | Future | Fotal (205 [.] | 1) Conditions Mode | Bridge Adjus | tment (10 | %) No Tra | in Volum | e Calibratio | on | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Period | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| | No. | Locat on | Movement FBI | Hour y 143 | Hour y 144 | Vo ume | Abs % 0.7% | Vo ume 0.1 | (<5) Yes | (±100) Yes | (±15%) |
| | | | EBT | 831 | 835 | 4 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 95 | 95 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway Drive / Brown Road | SBI | 18 | 19 | 1 | 5.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 56 | 57 | 1 | 1.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | Eventary Total (2015) Conditional Modella Biological Adjustment (10%) Notacia Notacia | Yes | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 1018 | 1016 | -2 | 0.2% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | FBR | 228 | 210 | -18 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 768 | 682 | -86 | 11.2% | 3.2 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL WBI | 225 | 99 217 | -1 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBT | 501 | 486 | -15 | 3.0% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 12 | | | EBL | 1 198 | 188 | -1 | 100.0% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ž ž | | | EBR | 667 | 594 | -73 | 10.9% | 2.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Pea | | | NBL | 233 | 236 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | |
| AM | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 96 | 100 | 4 | 4.0% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - 0.0% | - 0.0 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 499 | 463 | -19 -36 | 7.0% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 99 | 107 | 8 | 7.5% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 139 | 152 | 13 | 8.6% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | - | Yes | |
| | | Street B | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 75 | 79 | 4 | 5.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 252 | 256 | 4 | 1.6% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0.2% | - | - Voo | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 994 | 996 | 2 | 0.2% | 0.0 | Yes | - Tes | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 111 | 111 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 102 | 102 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 28 | 2 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 143 | 145 | -3 | 1.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 474 | 484 | 10 | 2.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 972 | 968 | -4 | 0.4% | 0.2 | Yes | - | - Yes |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 287 | 2 | 0.7% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | Weedleve Deed and History | EBR | 914 | <u>324</u> 930 | 16 | 2.2% | 0.4 | Yes | res - | - Yes |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 305 | 313 | 8 | 2.6% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 560 | 545 | -15 | 4.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | |
| L . | | | EBL | 6 | 3 | -3 | 50.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 운 | | | EBT | 618 | 632 | 13 | 2.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| eak | | | NBL | 368 | 362 | -6 | 1.6% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| H We | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBT | 268 | 277 | 9 | - 3.2% | - 0.5 | - Yes | Yes | |
| - | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 2 | 6 | 4 | 66.7% | 2.0 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | SBT | 7 | 2 | -5 | - 71.4% | - 2.4 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 177 | 164 | -13 | 7.3% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBI | 1 | 0 | -30 | 8.0% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | EBL | 84 | 98 | 14 | 14.3% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | EBR | 435 | 484 | 49 | 9.8% | 2.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 273 | 277 | 4 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | - | Yes | - |
| | · · | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 2 2% | - 0.3 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 751 | 727 | -24 | 3.2% | 0.9 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 107 | 107 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 135 | 142 220 | 7 | 4.9% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 12 | 12 | Ő | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 340 | 321 | -19 | 5.6% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 657 | 643 | -14 | 2.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 18 | 16 | -2 | 11.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - Vor |
| | L | | WBR | 357 | 361 | 4 | 1.1% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 339 | 328 | -11 | 3.2% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - Vor |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 232 | 235 | 3 | 1.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 207 | 207 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | EBL | 7 | 4 | -3 | 42.9% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| WBT 586 587 1 0.2% 0.0 EBL 7 4 -3 42.9% 1.3 EBT 511 505 -6 1.2% 0.3 EBR 640 609 -31 4.8% 1.2 | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - | | | | | | | |
| beak | | | NBL | 360 | 356 | -4 | 1.1% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ATF | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBT | 1 229 | 1 235 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ٥ ٥ | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBL | 231 | 214 | -17 | 7.4% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 461 | 431 | -30 | 6.5% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 69 | 78 | 9 | 11.5% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 221 | 219 432 | -2 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | NBL | 355 | 361 | 6 | 1.7% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 64 | 0 | 4 7% | - 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 216 | 233 | 17 0 | 7.3% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |

| | Future T Intersection No. Locat on | | otal (2051 |) Conditions Mode | Bridge Adjust | ment (10% | 6) With T | rain Volur | ne Calibrati | ion | |
|--------------|--|----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|---|---|---|------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Devied | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| Period | No. | Locat on | Movement | Hour y | Hour y | Vo ume | Abs % | Vo ume | (<5) | (±100) | (±15%) |
| | | | EBL | 143 | 144 | 1 | 0.7% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 831 | 835 | 4 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 95 | 95 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 50 | 51 | 5 | C (10%) With Train Volume CBH Flow <700 wph 700 wph | - | | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 18 | 19 | 1 | | - | | | |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 32 | 34 | 2 | | - | | | |
| | | | SBT | 56 | 57 | Adjustment (10%) With Train Volume Colume Offerance 1 Offerance 0.7% Offer 0.1 Flow (200 yh Yes) 70% 4 0.0% 0.0 Yes Yes - - 0 0.0% 0.0 Yes Yes - - 1 2.5% 0.1 Yes Yes - 1 2.5% 0.3 Yes Yes - 1 0.0% 0.1 Yes Yes - 1 0.0% 0.1 Yes Yes - -1 0.7% 0.0 Yes - - -1.1 0.7% 0.1 Yes Yes - -1.1 1.0% 0.1 Yes Yes - -1.1 1.0% 0.1 Yes Yes - -1.1 1.0% 0.4 Yes Yes - -1.1 1.0% 0.2 Yes Yes - | - | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 127 | Model Bridge Adjustment (10%) With Train Volume Calibration ismulated Volume 144 Difference 145 Otto 0.05% 0.11 Yes 0.11 Flow x 20% 144 1 0.7% 0.11 Yes 0.11 Yes 0.11 Yes 0.11 Yes 151 | Yes | - | | | | |
| AM Pesk Hour | | | WBL | 16 | 15 | -1 | 6.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 1018 | 1017 | -1 | 0.1% | 0.0 | Yes | | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 101 | 102 | 1 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBK | 228 | 210 | -18 | 7.9% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | CDI | 100 | 001 | -07 | 1.0% | 3.2 | Yes | - Voc | tes |
| | - | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBI | 225 | 217 | -8 | 3.6% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBT | 501 | 487 | -14 | 2.8% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| onu | | | EBT | 198 | 188 | -10 | 5.1% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| I I | | | EBR | 667 | 593 | -74 | 11.1% | 2.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ea | | | NBL | 233 | 236 | 3 | 1.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ž | | Daimler Barkway and Highway | NBI | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| < | 3 | 406 NR Romp Torminal | CDI | 90 | | 2 | 5.0% | 0.5 | res | Yes | - |
| | | 400 NB Kamp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - 0.0% | | | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 271 | 252 | -19 | 7.0% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 499 | 463 | -36 | 7.2% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 25 | 29 | 4 | 13.8% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBI | 99 | 107 | 8 | 7.5% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 139 | 152 | 13 | 8.6% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 0 | 380 | 5 | 1.3% | 0.3 | res | Vec | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 75 | 79 | 4 | 5.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 252 | 256 | 4 | 1.6% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 427 | 0 | - 0.2% | - | - Voc | Yes | - |
| | | | FBT | 994 | 998 | 4 | 0.2% | 0.0 | Yes | Tes | - Yes |
| | | | EBR | 111 | 111 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | 163 |
| | | | NBL | 128 | 131 | 3 | 2.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 102 | 102 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 28 | 2 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | · · | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 233 | 230 | -3 | 1.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 4/4 | 484 | 10 | 2.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 972 | 968 | -2 | 0.4% | 0.4 | Yes | Tes | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 287 | 2 | 0.7% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 317 | 324 | 7 | 2.2% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlown Road and Highway | EBT | 914 | 930 | 16 | 1.7% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramn Terminal | SBL | 305 | 312 | 7 | 2.2% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 175 | 168 | -7 | 4.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | WBI | 560 | 548 | -12 | 2.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | FRT | 595 | 5 608 | -3 | 2 1% | 0.5 | Yee | Yee | - |
| Ŷ | | | FBR | 618 | 630 | 12 | 1.9% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | |
| ÷ | | | NBL | 368 | 362 | -6 | 1.6% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Ъ | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| N N | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 268 | 276 | 8 | 2.9% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | J 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 2 | 6 | 4 | 66.7% | 2.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | / | 2 | -5 | /1.4% | 2.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 377 | 347 | -13 | 8.0% | 1.0 | Vec | Vec | |
| | | | WBR | 1 | 0 | -30 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 84 | 98 | 14 | 14.3% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | EBT | 275 | 306 | 31 | 10.1% | 1.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 435 | 485 | 50 | 10.3% | 2.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 273 | 277 | 4 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Deimler Deducer and Ot | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Damier Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | U | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes V | - |
| | | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yee | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 180 | 184 | 4 | 2.2% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | 1 | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | Yes | - |

| | | Future | Total (205 | 1) Conditions Mode | el Bridge Adjus | tment (25 | %) No Tra | ain Volum | e Calibratic | on | |
|--------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Period | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| | No. | Locat on | Movement EBL | Hour y 143 | Hour y 145 | Vo ume 2 | Abs % | Vo ume 0.2 | (<5) Yes | (±100) Yes | (±15%) |
| | | | EBT | 798 | 802 | 4 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | NBL | 95 | 94 | -1 | 1.1% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Weedlesse Deed and Driverses | NBT | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 32 | 34 | 2 | 5.9% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 56 | 57 | 1 | 1.8% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 127 | 128 | -2 | nt Volume Colume Colum <thcolum< th=""> Colum</thcolum<> | - | | | |
| | | | WBT | 935 | 931 | -4 | 0.4% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 228 | 207 | -21 | 9.2% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 735 | 648 | -87 | 11.8% | 3.3 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | <u> </u> | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 225 | 208 | -17 | 7.6% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 418 | 401 | -17 | 4.1% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 'n | | | EBL | 165 | 158 | -1 -7 | 4.2% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| L X | | | EBR | 667 | 592 | -75 | 11.2% | 3.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Pee | | | NBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| A A | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 96 | 101 | 5 | 5.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 416 | 371 | -45 | 10.8% | 2.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | |
| | | | EBT | 99 | 109 | 10 | 9.2% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 106 | 120 | 14 | 11.7% | 1.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | Yes | |
| | | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 75 | 79 | 4 | 5.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 252 | 235 | -17 | 6.7% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | - V | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 43b 895 | 437 895 | 0 | 0.2% | 0.0 | Yes | res | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 111 | 111 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 128 | 102 | 0 | 2.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 27 | 1 | 3.7% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 143 | 145 | -2 | 1.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 474 | 484 | 10 | 2.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 909 | 908 | -2 | 0.1% | 0.4 | Yes | res - | - Yes |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 286 | 1 | 0.3% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 317 815 | 301 856 | -16 | 5.0% | 0.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 305 | 312 | 7 | 2.2% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| four | | | WBL | 497 | 482 | -1 | 4.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 6 | 3 | -3 | 50.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | |
| P P | | | EBR | 618 | 578 | -40 | 6.5% | 3.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| eak | | | NBL | 368 | 360 | -8 | 2.2% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| W | , . | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 268 | 277 | 9 | 3.2% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| _ | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 2 | 6 | 4 | 66.7% | 2.0 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | SBR | 7 | 2 | -5 | - 71.4% | - 2.4 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 177 | 164 | -13 | 7.3% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 1 | 0 | -30 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 84 | 108 | 24 | 22.2% | 2.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 336 | 419 | 83 | 19.8% | 4.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 210 | 213 | 3 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.0% | 0.1 | - Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 2.2% | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 751 | 722 | -29 | 3.9% | 1.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 107 | 104 | -3 | 2.8% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 135 | 142 | 7 | 4.9% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | ' | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 340 | 328 | -12 | 3.5% | 0.7 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 657 | 660 | 3 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 18 | 16 | -2 | 11.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - Van |
| | | | WBR | 357 | 348 | -9 | 2.5% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - Tes |
| | | | EBR | 339 | 351 | 12 | 3.4% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | SBL | 232 | 237 | 5 | 2.1% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | 400 SB Kamp Terminal | WBL | 207 | 192 | -15 | 7.2% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | L | | EBL | 7 | 2 | -5 | 71.4% | 2.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| P | | | EBT | 426 | 432 | 6 | 1.4% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| eak | | | NBL | 360 | 357 | -3 | 0.8% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| AT P | | Daimler Parkway and High | NBT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ð | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 23b 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 231 | 188 | -43 | 18.6% | 3.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 384 | 377 | -7 | 1.8% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | EBL | 69 | 70 | -1 | 1.4% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 221 | 219 | -2 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 278 | 282 | 4 | 20.5% | 4.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Doimlor Barkway and Otana (11) | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - V | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.7% | - 0.4 | res - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 216 | 210 | -6 | 2.8% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |

| | Future T Intersection | | otal (2051 |) Conditions Mode | Bridge Adjust | ment (25% | 6) With Ti | rain Volur | ne Calibrati | ion | |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|---|--|---|------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Deried | | Intersection | | Input Volume | Simulated Volume | Difference | Difference | GEH | GEH | Flow <700 vph | 700vph <flow<2700 th="" vph<=""></flow<2700> |
| Period | No. | Locat on | Movement | Hour y | Hour y | Vo ume | Abs % | Vo ume | (<5) | (±100) | (±15%) |
| | | | EBL | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 798 | 802 | 4 | 0.5% | 0.1 | Yes | - | Yes |
| | | | EBR | 95 | 94 | -1 | 1.1% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 89 | 94 | 5 | (25%) With Train Volume CEI Flow <70 vph 70 vph 7 | - | | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 18 | 19 | 1 | | - | | | |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 32 | 34 | 2 | | - | | | |
| | | | SBT | 56 | 57 | Adjustment (25%) With Train Volume CEH (200) CEH (200) <thceh (200)</thceh | - | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 127 | del Bridge Adjustment (25%) With Train Volume Calibration Simulated Volume 145 0 0fference 2 0fference 3 0fference 4 0.5% 0ff Yes Yes Yes 84 -1 1.1% 0.1 Yes Yes Yes 94 -1 1.1% 0.1 Yes Yes Yes 94 -1 5.3% 0.2 Yes Yes Yes 19 1 5.3% 0.1 Yes Yes Yes 128 1 0.8% 0.1 Yes Yes Yes 144 -2 125% 0.5 Yes Yes Yes 144 -2 125% 0.5 Yes Yes Yes 160 0 0.0% 0.0 Yes Yes Yes 161 10 0.0% 0.0 Yes Yes Yes 162 -7 4.2% 0.6 Yes Yes <td>Yes</td> <td>-</td> | Yes | - | | | | |
| Period No. | | | WBL | 16 | 14 | -2 | 12.5% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 935 | 932 | -3 | 0.3% | 0.1 | Yes | | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 101 | 102 | 1 | 1.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 228 | 207 | -21 | 9.2% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EDI | 100 | 100 | -00 | 12.0% | 3.3 | Yes | - Voc | tes |
| | - | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBI | 225 | 208 | -17 | 7.6% | 1.2 | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | WBT | 418 | 401 | -17 | 4.1% | 0.8 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| onu | | | EBT | 165 | 158 | -7 | 4.2% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| I I | | | EBR | 667 | 591 | -76 | 11.4% | 3.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ea | | | NBL | 233 | 235 | 2 | 0.9% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| ž | | Daimler Barkway and Highway | NBI | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| < | 3 | 406 NR Romp Torminal | CDI | 90 | | 2 | 5.0% | 0.5 | res | Yes | - |
| | | 400 NB Kamp Terminal | SBL | 1 | 1 | 0 | - 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 1 | 0 | -1 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 271 | 240 | -31 | 11.4% | 1.9 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 416 | 371 | -45 | 10.8% | 2.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 25 | 30 | 5 | 16.7% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBI | 99 | 110 | 11 | 10.0% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| WY | | | EBR | 106 | 121 | 15 | 12.4% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 292 | 290 | 4 | 1.4% | 0.2 | res | Vec | - |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 75 | 79 | 4 | 5.1% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 252 | 235 | -17 | 6.7% | 1.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBK | 0 | 427 | 0 | - 0.2% | - | - Voc | Yes | - |
| | | | FBT | 430 | 896 | 1 | 0.2% | 0.0 | Yes | Tes | - Yes |
| | | | EBR | 111 | 111 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | 163 |
| | | | NBL | 128 | 131 | 3 | 2.3% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBT | 102 | 102 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | 27 | 1 | 3.7% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | · · | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 233 | 230 | -3 | 1.3% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 143 | 145 | 2 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 4/4 | 483 | 9 | 7.1% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 909 | 909 | -2 | 0.0% | 0.4 | Yes | Tes | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 285 | 286 | 1 | 0.3% | 0.1 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 317 | 300 | -17 | 5.4% | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highwor | EBT | 815 | 858 | 43 | 5.0% | 1.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramn Terminal | SBL | 305 | 311 | 6 | 1.9% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WBL | 175 | 168 | -7 | 4.0% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | <u> </u> | | WBI | 497 | 483 | -14 | 2.8% | 0.6 | Yes | Yes | - |
| 5 | | | FRT | 496 | 584 | 3 | 15 1% | 3.8 | Yee | Yee | - |
| Ŷ | | | EBR | 618 | 579 | -39 | 6.3% | 1.6 | Yes | Yes | |
| ÷ | | | NBL | 368 | 361 | -7 | 1.9% | 0.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| Ъ | | | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| N N | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 268 | 276 | 8 | 2.9% | 0.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | J 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 2 | 6 | 4 | 66.7% | 2.0 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | SBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 177 | 2 162 | -5 | /1.4% | 2.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | WRT | 314 | 284 | -14 | 9,6% | 1.1 | Yee | Yee | |
| | | | WBR | 1 | 0 | -30 | 100.0% | 1.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBL | 84 | 107 | 23 | 21.5% | 2.4 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBT | 275 | 337 | 62 | 18.4% | 3.5 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | EBR | 336 | 419 | 83 | 19.8% | 4.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | | NBL | 210 | 213 | 3 | 1.4% | 0.2 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | | Deimler Deducer and Ot | NBT | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | 4 | Damier Parkway and Street 'A' / | NBR | U | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes V | - |
| | | Street 'B' | SBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | SBR | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.0% | 0.1 | Yes | Yee | - |
| | | | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | Yes | - |
| | | | WBT | 180 | 184 | 4 | 2.2% | 0.3 | Yes | Yes | - |
| | 1 | 1 | WBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | Yes | - |

Vissim Model Queue Calibration



| | | Qu | eue Calibra | tion Existi <u>ng (</u> 2 | 2024) Conditions | | | |
|--------|-----|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---|------------|------------|---------------|
| Deried | | Intersection | | Observed Max Queue | Simulated Max Queue | Difference | Difference | Within Target |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres | Metres | Metres | Abs. % | (±20%) |
| | | | EBL | 26 | 19 | 7 | 26.3% | No |
| | | | EBTR | 33 | 36 | -3 | 8.5% | Yes |
| 5 | | | NBL | 20 | 24 | -4 | 17.9% | Yes |
| 현 | | | NBTR | 13 | 20 | -7 | 34.1% | No |
| Å. | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | SBL | 20 | 13 | 7 | 34.2% | No |
| e B | | Drive / Brown Road | SBT | 20 | 17 | 2 | 11.0% | Yes |
| Σ | | | SBR | 13 | 14 | -1 | 4.6% | Yes |
| < | | | WBL | 7 | 9 | -3 | 30.6% | - |
| | | | WBT | 46 | 38 | 7 | 15.5% | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 13 | 13 | 0 | 3.3% | Yes |
| | | | EBL | 52 | 56 | -4 | 6.5% | Yes |
| | | | EBTR | 52 | 43 | 9 | 17.6% | Yes |
| 5 | | | NBL | 26 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Yes | | |
| 현 | | | NBTR | 26 | 29 | -3 | 11.8% | Yes |
| Å. | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | SBL | 39 | 41 | -2 | 4.9% | Yes |
| Ъ. | | Drive / Brown Road | SBT | 26 | 29 | -3 | 10.9% | Yes |
| Σ | | | SBR | 33 | 32 | 0 | 1.2% | Yes |
| ۵. | | | WBL | 7 | 11 | -5 | 43.3% | - |
| | | | WBT | 56 | 52 | 3 | 6.2% | Yes |
| | | | WBR | 26 | 20 | 6 | 22.8% | No |
| | | | EBL | 65 | 109 | -44 | 40.4% | No |
| | | | EBTR | 46 | 44 | 1 | 2.2% | Yes |
| E | | | NBL | 26 | 30 | -4 | 12.8% | Yes |
| 운 | | | NBTR | 33 | 51 | -19 | 36.4% | No |
| 중 | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | SBL | 39 | 56 | -17 | 30.8% | No |
| Ê. | | Drive / Brown Road | SBT | 33 | 43 | -10 | 23.8% | No |
| AT | | | SBR | 33 | 53 | -21 | 38.8% | No |
| Ś | | | WBL | 7 | 9 | -3 | 30.6% | - |
| | | | WBT | 46 | 65 | -19 | 29.6% | No |
| | 1 | | WBR | 20 | 29 | -9 | 32.6% | No |

Existing (2024) Traffic Conditions – Synchro Analysis Results



| | • | - | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ٢ | 1 | 1 | ۲ | ħ | | 7 | ħ | | ٦ | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 39 | 419 | 38 | 76 | 0 | 146 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 1 | 39 | 419 | 38 | 76 | 0 | 146 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 1 | 42 | 455 | 41 | 83 | 0 | 159 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (m/s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 83 | | | 42 | | | 210 | 209 | 42 | 224 | 209 | 83 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 83 | | | 42 | | | 210 | 209 | 42 | 224 | 209 | 83 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 97 | | | 78 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1514 | | | 1567 | | | 730 | 670 | 1029 | 705 | 670 | 976 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | EB 2 | EB 3 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | |
| Volume Total | 1 | 42 | 455 | 41 | 83 | 159 | 16 | 0 | 2 | | | |
| Volume Left | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | | | |
| cSH | 1514 | 1700 | 1700 | 1567 | 1700 | 730 | 995 | 1700 | 794 | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 9.5 | | | |
| Lane LOS | А | | | А | | В | А | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | | 2.4 | | 11.1 | | 9.5 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | В | | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 2.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 44.8% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ≜ î∌ | | 7 | 1 | | | | | 7 | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 442 | 143 | 15 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 398 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 442 | 143 | 15 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 398 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 480 | 155 | 16 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 433 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (m/s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 221 | | | 480 | | | 810 | 810 | 318 | 493 | 733 | 221 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 221 | | | 480 | | | 810 | 810 | 318 | 493 | 733 | 221 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 99 | | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 100 | 45 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1345 | | | 1079 | | | 120 | 308 | 678 | 454 | 341 | 783 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 320 | 315 | 16 | 221 | 18 | 433 | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 | | | | | | |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1079 | 1700 | 454 | 783 | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.55 | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 26.2 | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 15.1 | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | | | А | | В | С | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 0.6 | | 15.1 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | С | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 5.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 45.0% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | Ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ٢ | † Ъ | 7 | ** | 7 | 7 | ¢Î, | 7 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 90 | 482 | 10 | 528 | 63 | 56 | 32 | 20 | 35 | 79 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 90 | 482 | 10 | 528 | 63 | 56 | 32 | 20 | 35 | 79 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | pm+ov | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 60.9 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 29.3 | 15.0 | 29.3 | 29.3 | |
| Total Split (%) | 26.6% | 57.9% | 31.3% | 31.3% | 14.3% | 14.3% | 27.9% | 14.3% | 27.9% | 27.9% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 32.9 | 31.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 32.5 | 17.1 | 11.3 | 15.4 | 10.5 | 10.5 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.61 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.20 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.26 | |
| Control Delay | 7.7 | 9.6 | 18.5 | 19.2 | 2.7 | 16.1 | 22.0 | 15.6 | 27.9 | 6.5 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 7.7 | 9.6 | 18.5 | 19.2 | 2.7 | 16.1 | 22.0 | 15.6 | 27.9 | 6.5 | |
| LOS | А | А | В | В | А | В | С | В | С | А | |
| Approach Delay | | 9.3 | | 17.5 | | | 18.7 | | 13.4 | | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | В | | | В | | В | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 105.2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 53 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 55 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncod | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.41 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 13. | 7 | | | h | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 54.1% | | |](| CU Level | of Service | Α | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 9: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø1 | ₫ ø2 | | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------|--|
| 15 s | 29.3 s | 60.9 s | | |
| ₹ø5 | ↓ Ø6 | ▲ Ø7 | Ø8 | |
| 15 s | 29.3 s | 28 s | 32.9 s | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | 4 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ≜ î∌ | | 7 | ^ | 1 | ٢ | ħ | | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 90 | 482 | 60 | 10 | 528 | 63 | 56 | 32 | 11 | 20 | 35 | 79 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 90 | 482 | 60 | 10 | 528 | 63 | 56 | 32 | 11 | 20 | 35 | 79 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3217 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1431 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.37 | 1.00 | | 0.43 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | 0.73 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 584 | 3217 | | 672 | 3271 | 1234 | 1007 | 1431 | | 1137 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 98 | 524 | 65 | 11 | 574 | 68 | 61 | 35 | 12 | 22 | 38 | 86 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 98 | 580 | 0 | 11 | 574 | 26 | 61 | 36 | 0 | 22 | 38 | 9 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | pm+ov | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | | 17.9 | 17.9 | 21.0 | 10.5 | 6.6 | | 8.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | | 17.9 | 17.9 | 21.0 | 10.5 | 6.6 | | 8.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.50 | | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.12 | | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 397 | 1596 | | 217 | 1056 | 467 | 224 | 170 | | 202 | 180 | 129 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.03 | c0.18 | | | c0.18 | 0.00 | c0.02 | 0.03 | | 0.01 | 0.02 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.09 | | | 0.02 | | 0.02 | c0.03 | | | 0.01 | | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.36 | | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.21 | | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 7.6 | 8.6 | | 12.9 | 15.4 | 10.9 | 19.0 | 22.1 | | 19.8 | 22.7 | 22.4 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Delay (s) | 7.9 | 8.7 | | 13.0 | 15.8 | 10.9 | 19.5 | 22.5 | | 20.0 | 23.1 | 22.5 |
| Level of Service | А | Α | | В | В | В | В | С | | В | С | С |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 8.6 | | | 15.3 | | | 20.8 | | | 22.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | С | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 13.4 | Н | CM 2000 |) Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.44 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 55.4 | S | um of los | st time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 54.1% | IC | CU Level | of Service | 9 | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ۲ | 1 | 1 | ٦ | Þ | | 7 | Þ | | ٦ | Þ | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 97 | 388 | 20 | 67 | 1 | 231 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 4 | 97 | 388 | 20 | 67 | 1 | 231 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 4 | 105 | 422 | 22 | 73 | 1 | 251 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (m/s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 74 | | | 105 | | | 235 | 231 | 105 | 254 | 230 | 74 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 74 | | | 105 | | | 235 | 231 | 105 | 254 | 230 | 74 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 99 | | | 64 | 100 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1526 | | | 1486 | | | 706 | 657 | 949 | 672 | 658 | 988 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | EB 2 | EB 3 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | |
| Volume Total | 4 | 105 | 422 | 22 | 74 | 251 | 24 | 2 | 5 | | | |
| Volume Left | 4 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 2 | 0 | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 422 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 5 | | | |
| cSH | 1526 | 1700 | 1700 | 1486 | 1700 | 706 | 949 | 672 | 988 | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 8.7 | | | |
| Lane LOS | А | | | А | | В | А | В | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.1 | | | 1.7 | | 12.5 | | 9.2 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | В | | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 4.1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 42.8% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٨ | - | 7 | * | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | ۲ | * | | | | | ٦ | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 447 | 199 | 19 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 456 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 447 | 199 | 19 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 456 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 486 | 216 | 21 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 496 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (m/s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 298 | | | 486 | | | 934 | 934 | 351 | 583 | 826 | 298 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 298 | | | 486 | | | 934 | 934 | 351 | 583 | 826 | 298 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 98 | | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 88 | 100 | 29 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1260 | | | 1073 | | | 63 | 259 | 645 | 390 | 300 | 698 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 324 | 378 | 21 | 298 | 46 | 496 | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 46 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496 | | | | | | |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1073 | 1700 | 390 | 698 | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.71 | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 45.3 | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 21.8 | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | | | А | | С | С | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 0.6 | | 21.2 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | С | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 7.5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation | | 53.0% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 4 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | † 1> | 7 | ^ | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | ٦ | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 274 | 497 | 18 | 533 | 179 | 80 | 64 | 146 | 90 | 298 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 274 | 497 | 18 | 533 | 179 | 80 | 64 | 146 | 90 | 298 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | pm+ov | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 60.9 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 29.3 | 15.0 | 29.3 | 29.3 | |
| Total Split (%) | 26.6% | 57.9% | 31.3% | 31.3% | 14.3% | 14.3% | 27.9% | 14.3% | 27.9% | 27.9% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 45.3 | 40.0 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 38.7 | 20.5 | 11.3 | 25.4 | 12.7 | 12.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.77 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.69 | |
| Control Delay | 15.1 | 12.4 | 31.2 | 38.1 | 3.8 | 22.4 | 37.1 | 25.0 | 37.4 | 12.7 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 15.1 | 12.4 | 31.2 | 38.1 | 3.8 | 22.4 | 37.1 | 25.0 | 37.4 | 12.7 | |
| LOS | В | В | С | D | А | С | D | С | D | В | |
| Approach Delay | | 13.3 | | 29.5 | | | 29.8 | | 20.2 | | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | С | | | С | | С | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 105.2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 78.1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 60 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncod | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 21.3 | 3 | | | I | ntersectio | n LOS: C | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 65.2% | | | l | CU Level | of Service | ЭC | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 9: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø1 | ₫ ø2 | | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------|--|
| 15 s | 29.3 s | 60.9 s | | |
| ₹ø5 | ↓ Ø6 | ▲ Ø7 | Ø8 | |
| 15 s | 29.3 s | 28 s | 32.9 s | |

| | ٠ | → | 7 | • | + | • | 1 | t | 1 | 4 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | † 1 ₂ | | 7 | ^ | 1 | ٢ | ħ | | ٢ | 1 | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 274 | 497 | 70 | 18 | 533 | 179 | 80 | 64 | 16 | 146 | 90 | 298 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 274 | 497 | 70 | 18 | 533 | 179 | 80 | 64 | 16 | 146 | 90 | 298 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3211 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1445 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.28 | 1.00 | | 0.42 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.00 | | 0.52 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 437 | 3211 | | 655 | 3271 | 1234 | 1085 | 1445 | | 813 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 298 | 540 | 76 | 20 | 579 | 195 | 87 | 70 | 17 | 159 | 98 | 324 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 298 | 606 | 0 | 20 | 579 | 75 | 87 | 77 | 0 | 159 | 98 | 58 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | pm+ov | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 | | 18.1 | 18.1 | 30.4 | 15.3 | 8.6 | | 23.9 | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 | | 18.1 | 18.1 | 30.4 | 15.3 | 8.6 | | 23.9 | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.51 | 0.51 | | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.11 | | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 471 | 1623 | | 149 | 748 | 474 | 243 | 157 | | 350 | 309 | 221 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.15 | 0.19 | | | c0.18 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | | c0.07 | 0.06 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.17 | | | 0.03 | | 0.04 | 0.04 | | | c0.07 | | 0.05 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.63 | 0.37 | | 0.13 | 0.77 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.49 | | 0.45 | 0.32 | 0.26 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 12.7 | 11.9 | | 24.3 | 28.6 | 16.0 | 27.3 | 33.2 | | 21.6 | 28.2 | 27.9 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.6 | 0.1 | | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.8 | | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Delay (s) | 15.4 | 12.0 | | 24.6 | 33.4 | 16.1 | 28.0 | 35.0 | | 22.4 | 28.7 | 28.4 |
| Level of Service | В | В | | С | С | В | С | С | | С | С | С |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 13.1 | | | 28.9 | | | 31.5 | | | 26.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | | С | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 22.7 | H | CM 2000 |) Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | citv ratio | | 0.64 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | , | | 79.1 | S | um of los | st time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 65.2% | | CU Level | of Service | 9 | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | → | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ۲ | + | 1 | ۲ | ħ | | 7 | ţ, | | 7 | ħ | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 79 | 402 | 31 | 72 | 1 | 226 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 79 | 402 | 31 | 72 | 1 | 226 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 86 | 437 | 34 | 78 | 1 | 246 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (m/s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 79 | | | 86 | | | 247 | 243 | 86 | 258 | 242 | 78 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 79 | | | 86 | | | 247 | 243 | 86 | 258 | 242 | 78 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 98 | | | 64 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 99 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1519 | | | 1510 | | | 687 | 642 | 973 | 670 | 642 | 982 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | EB 2 | EB 3 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | |
| Volume Total | 5 | 86 | 437 | 34 | 79 | 246 | 16 | 1 | 7 | | | |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 437 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | | | |
| cSH | 1519 | 1700 | 1700 | 1510 | 1700 | 687 | 942 | 670 | 754 | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 9.8 | | | |
| Lane LOS | А | | | А | | В | А | В | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.1 | | | 2.2 | | 12.9 | | 9.9 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | В | | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 4.1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 43.7% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٨ | - | 7 | 4 | ← | • | 1 | Ť | 1 | 4 | ŧ | ~ |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1> | | ٦ | • | | | | | ٢ | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 468 | 213 | 14 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 496 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 468 | 213 | 14 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 496 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 509 | 232 | 15 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 539 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (m/s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 290 | | | 509 | | | 945 | 945 | 370 | 574 | 829 | 290 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 290 | | | 509 | | | 945 | 945 | 370 | 574 | 829 | 290 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 99 | | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95 | 100 | 24 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1269 | | | 1052 | | | 51 | 257 | 627 | 397 | 300 | 707 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 339 | 402 | 15 | 290 | 20 | 539 | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 539 | | | | | | |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1052 | 1700 | 397 | 707 | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.76 | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 54.6 | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 24.5 | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | | | А | | В | С | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 0.4 | | 24.2 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | С | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 8.5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 55.3% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | - | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | † 1> | ٢ | ^ | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | ٦ | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 471 | 455 | 11 | 528 | 224 | 85 | 136 | 214 | 112 | 412 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 471 | 455 | 11 | 528 | 224 | 85 | 136 | 214 | 112 | 412 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | pm+ov | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 60.9 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 29.3 | 15.0 | 29.3 | 29.3 | |
| Total Split (%) | 26.6% | 57.9% | 31.3% | 31.3% | 14.3% | 14.3% | 27.9% | 14.3% | 27.9% | 27.9% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 53.9 | 49.0 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 40.3 | 27.9 | 14.6 | 32.7 | 19.4 | 19.4 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.21 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.98 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.80 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 0.34 | 0.73 | |
| Control Delay | 56.5 | 13.6 | 31.8 | 44.0 | 4.1 | 23.1 | 52.3 | 31.8 | 37.4 | 11.7 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 56.5 | 13.6 | 31.8 | 44.0 | 4.1 | 23.1 | 52.3 | 31.8 | 37.4 | 11.7 | |
| LOS | E | В | С | D | А | С | D | С | D | В | |
| Approach Delay | | 33.9 | | 32.1 | | | 41.5 | | 21.5 | | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | С | | | D | | С | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 105.2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 93.6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoc | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.98 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 30.7 | 7 | | | l | ntersectio | n LOS: C | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 88.0% | | | l | CU Level | of Service | εE | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 9: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø1 | ₫ ø2 | | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------|--|
| 15 s | 29.3 s | 60.9 s | | |
| ₹ø5 | ↓ Ø6 | ▲ Ø7 | Ø8 | |
| 15 s | 29.3 s | 28 s | 32.9 s | |

| | ٠ | → | 7 | 4 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | 4 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------|------|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 2 | † 1 ₂ | | 7 | ^ | 1 | 7 | ĥ | | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 471 | 455 | 67 | 11 | 528 | 224 | 85 | 136 | 7 | 214 | 112 | 412 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 471 | 455 | 67 | 11 | 528 | 224 | 85 | 136 | 7 | 214 | 112 | 412 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3208 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1477 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.25 | 1.00 | | 0.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.68 | 1.00 | | 0.54 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 395 | 3208 | | 686 | 3271 | 1234 | 1062 | 1477 | | 852 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 512 | 495 | 73 | 12 | 574 | 243 | 92 | 148 | 8 | 233 | 122 | 448 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 356 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 512 | 557 | 0 | 12 | 574 | 83 | 92 | 154 | 0 | 233 | 122 | 92 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | pm+ov | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 48.9 | 48.9 | | 20.6 | 20.6 | 32.2 | 23.0 | 15.4 | | 30.0 | 19.4 | 19.4 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 48.9 | 48.9 | | 20.6 | 20.6 | 32.2 | 23.0 | 15.4 | | 30.0 | 19.4 | 19.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.52 | | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.16 | | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 498 | 1667 | | 150 | 716 | 422 | 293 | 241 | | 349 | 355 | 254 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.28 | 0.17 | | | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.10 | | c0.08 | 0.07 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.26 | | | 0.02 | | 0.04 | 0.05 | | | c0.13 | | 0.07 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.03 | 0.33 | | 0.08 | 0.80 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.64 | | 0.67 | 0.34 | 0.36 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 21.5 | 13.1 | | 29.2 | 34.8 | 21.8 | 28.6 | 36.8 | | 26.0 | 31.9 | 32.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 47.7 | 0.1 | | 0.2 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.1 | | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Delay (s) | 69.2 | 13.2 | | 29.4 | 41.1 | 22.0 | 29.1 | 41.8 | | 30.4 | 32.3 | 32.7 |
| Level of Service | E | В | | С | D | С | С | D | | С | С | С |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 39.8 | | | 35.3 | | | 37.1 | | | 32.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | D | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 36.2 | H | CM 2000 |) Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.96 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 94.1 | Si | um of los | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | tion | | 88.0% | IC | U Level | of Service | 9 | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Existing (2024) Traffic Conditions – Queueing Analysis Results



| Existing (2024) Conditions Model – No Train – Microsimulation Results | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Intersection | | Max Queue | | | | | | | | |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 19 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBT | 36 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 34 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBL | 24 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 19 | | | | | | | | |
| | I | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 13 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 17 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 14 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| | Hour | | WBT | 38 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBR | 13 | | | | | | | | |
| our | | | EBR | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| H | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 11 | | | | | | | | |
| eak | 2 AM Peak | | SBL | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| l Pe | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| AM | | | WBT | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBT | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBL | 24 | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | | NBT | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBR | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 56 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBT | 43 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 42 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBL | 28 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 29 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 29 | | | | | | | | |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 41 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 29 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 32 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 11 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 52 | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | WBR | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| no | | | EBR | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| т Т | - | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 11 | | | | | | | | |
| ea | 2 | 106 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 13 | | | | | | | | |

| d V | | | WBL | 0 |
|------|---|-----------------------------|-----|-----|
| ЧЧ | | | WBT | 0 |
| | | | EBL | 0 |
| | | | EBT | 2 |
| | | | EBR | - |
| | | | NBL | 32 |
| | | | NBT | 11 |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 11 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 6 |
| | | | SBT | 0 |
| | | | SBR | 0 |
| | | | WBL | 0 |
| | | | WBT | 0 |
| | | | WBR | 0 |
| | | | EBL | 109 |
| | | | EBT | 44 |
| | | | EBR | 43 |
| | | | NBL | 30 |
| | | | NBT | 51 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 51 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 56 |
| | | | SBT | 43 |
| | | | SBR | 53 |
| | | | WBL | 9 |
| | | | WBT | 65 |
| | | | WBR | 29 |
| our | | | EBR | 0 |
| Η | | | EBT | 13 |
| eak | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | SBL | 10 |
| а | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 0 |
| , AT | | | WBT | 0 |
| 0) | | | EBL | 0 |
| | | | EBT | 0 |
| | | | EBR | - |
| | | | NBL | 31 |
| | | | NBT | 10 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 10 |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 4 |
| | | | SBT | 0 |
| | | | SBR | 0 |
| | | | WRI | 0 |
| | | | WRT | 0 |
| | | | WRR | 0 |
| | | | | 0 |

| Existing (202 | 4) Condi | tions Model – With Train – | Microsimula | ation Results |
|---------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Devied | | Intersection | | Max Queue |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres |
| | | | EBL | 19 |
| | | | EBT | 36 |
| | | | EBR | 34 |
| | | | NBL | 24 |
| | | | NBT | 20 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 19 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 13 |
| | | | SBT | 17 |
| | | | SBR | 14 |
| | | | WBL | 9 |
| | | | WBT | 38 |
| | | | WBR | 13 |
| | | | EBR | 1 |
| our | | Woodlown Road and Highway | EBT | 11 |
| н | 2 | 406 SP Rome Terminal | SBL | 9 |
| ea Xea | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 0 |
| AM Pe | | | WBT | 0 |
| | | | EBL | 0 |
| | | | EBT | 0 |
| | | | EBR | - |
| | | | NBL | 24 |
| | | | NBT | 10 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 10 |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 |
| | | | SBT | 0 |
| | | | SBR | 0 |
| | | | WBL | 0 |
| | | | WBT | 0 |
| | | | WBR | 0 |
| | | Rail Crossing | EBT | 8 |
| | | J | WBT | 15 |
| | | | EBL | 56 |
| | | | EBI | 43 |
| | | | EBR | 42 |
| | | | NBL | 28 |
| | | | NBI | 29 |
| | 1 | woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 29 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 41 |
| | | | SBT | 29 |
| | | | SBR | 32 |
| | | | WBL | 11 |
| | | | WBI | 52 |
| | | | WBR | 20 |

| | | | EBR | 2 |
|------|---|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| our | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 11 |
| Ĕ | 2 | 406 SP Domp Torminal | SBL | 13 |
| eak | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 0 |
| I Pe | | | WBT | 0 |
| PP | | | EBL | 0 |
| | | | EBT | 2 |
| | | | EBR | - |
| | | | NBL | 32 |
| | | | NBT | 11 |
| | З | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 11 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 6 |
| | | | SBT | 0 |
| | | | SBR | 0 |
| - | | | WBL | 0 |
| | | | WBT | 0 |
| | | | WBR | 0 |
| | | Rail Crossing | EBT | 34 |
| | | | WBT | 19 |

Future (2051) Total Traffic Conditions – Synchro Analysis Results



| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | † | Ŧ | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|------------|-------|------------|------------|----------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | + | 1 | 3 | ħ | 5 | ħ | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 220 | 669 | 271 | 555 | 233 | 1 | 1 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 220 | 669 | 271 | 555 | 233 | 1 | 1 | |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | 52.0 | 52.0 | | 18.0 | 70.0 | 21.0 | 40.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 47.3% | 47.3% | | 16.4% | 63.6% | 19.1% | 36.4% | 17.3% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 46.2 | 46.2 | 110.0 | 67.0 | 63.0 | 37.0 | 31.0 | 10.6 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.69 | 0.59 | 0.23 | 0.01 | |
| Control Delay | 4.0 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 13.2 | 21.9 | 35.2 | 7.4 | 39.0 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 4.0 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 13.2 | 21.9 | 35.2 | 7.4 | 39.0 | |
| LOS | А | А | А | В | С | D | А | D | |
| Approach Delay | | 8.7 | | | 19.0 | | 27.0 | 39.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | С | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |) | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 48 (44%), Reference | ed to phase | 2:EBTL, | Start of G | Green | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 75 | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coc | ordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 1 | 5.8 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation 89.3% |) | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | εE | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| 1 Ø1 | → Ø2 (R) | ₹¶Ø4 | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| 18 s | 52 s | 40 s | | | | | |
| ₹Ø6 | | Ø 7 | Ø8 | | | | |
| 70 s | | 21 s | 19 s | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | ← | 1 | 1 | Ŧ |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 239 | 727 | 295 | 603 | 253 | 105 | 2 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.69 | 0.59 | 0.23 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 4.0 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 13.2 | 21.9 | 35.2 | 7.4 | 39.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.0 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 13.2 | 21.9 | 35.2 | 7.4 | 39.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.1 | 11.2 | 60.4 | 28.4 | 86.5 | 42.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.1 | m16.0 | 68.7 | 43.7 | 128.3 | 66.4 | 12.6 | 2.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 300 | 739 | 1262 | 628 | 871 | 435 | 451 | 153 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.69 | 0.58 | 0.23 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | 1 |
|-------------------------------|------------|------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 1 | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ٢ | ţ, | | ٦ | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 220 | 669 | 271 | 555 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 220 | 669 | 271 | 555 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | 0.93 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1522 | | 1606 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.54 | 1.00 | | 0.59 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 714 | 1761 | 1262 | 881 | 1522 | | 997 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 239 | 727 | 295 | 603 | 0 | 253 | 1 | 104 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 239 | 727 | 295 | 603 | 0 | 253 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 46.2 | 46.2 | 110.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | | 31.0 | 31.0 | | | 10.6 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 46.2 | 46.2 | 110.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | | 31.0 | 31.0 | | | 10.6 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 0.57 | 0.57 | | 0.28 | 0.28 | | | 0.10 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 299 | 739 | 1262 | 589 | 871 | | 377 | 377 | | | 152 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.14 | | 0.06 | c0.40 | | c0.11 | 0.02 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.00 | | c0.58 | 0.22 | | | 0.08 | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.69 | | 0.67 | 0.08 | | | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.5 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 16.6 | | 33.7 | 29.0 | | | 44.9 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.22 | 0.27 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.4 | | 4.6 | 0.1 | | | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 4.2 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 13.3 | 19.0 | | 38.4 | 29.1 | | | 45.0 | |
| Level of Service | А | А | А | В | В | | D | С | | | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 2.7 | | | 17.1 | | | 35.7 | | | 45.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 13.9 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.76 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 89.3% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | Э | | Е | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 4 | + | 4 | ~ | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | l |
| Lane Configurations | ≜t ≽ | 5 | † | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 790 | 225 | 557 | 100 | 634 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 790 | 225 | 557 | 100 | 634 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 57.0 | 24.0 | 81.0 | 29.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 51.8% | 21.8% | 73.6% | 26.4% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.7 | 65.9 | 62.1 | 31.9 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.22 | 0.49 | |
| Control Delay | 33.6 | 42.9 | 13.0 | 34.6 | 1.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 33.6 | 42.9 | 13.0 | 34.6 | 1.2 | |
| LOS | C | D | В | С | A | |
| Approach Delay | 33.6 | | 21.6 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | С | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%) Referenced t | o nhase 8. | SBL Sta | rt of Gree | n | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | o pridoo 0. | 002, 014 | | 11 | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | rdinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 21 | 1.9 | | | Ir | tersection | LOS: C |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 64.2% | | | 10 | CU Level o | f Service C |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: Wo | odlawn Ro | ad/Daimle | er Pkwy 8 | Highway | 406 SB O | ff-Ramp |
| | | | | | | - |



| | - | 1 | - | 4 | ~ |
|------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1107 | 245 | 605 | 109 | 689 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.22 | 0.49 |
| Control Delay | 33.6 | 42.9 | 13.0 | 34.6 | 1.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 33.6 | 42.9 | 13.0 | 34.6 | 1.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 104.7 | 29.3 | 53.9 | 17.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 121.4 | 49.3 | 54.8 | 36.7 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1498 | 400 | 1161 | 505 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.61 | 0.52 | 0.22 | 0.49 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | ۲ | + | | | | | ň | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 790 | 228 | 225 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 634 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 790 | 228 | 225 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 634 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3233 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.14 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3233 | | 221 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 859 | 248 | 245 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 689 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1080 | 0 | 245 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 689 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 44.7 | | 65.9 | 62.1 | | | | | 31.9 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 44.7 | | 65.9 | 62.1 | | | | | 31.9 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.41 | | 0.60 | 0.56 | | | | | 0.29 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1313 | | 307 | 972 | | | | | 505 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.33 | | c0.10 | 0.35 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.37 | | | | | | 0.06 | | c0.49 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.82 | | 0.80 | 0.62 | | | | | 0.22 | | 0.49 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 29.1 | | 32.0 | 16.1 | | | | | 29.6 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.87 | 0.70 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.3 | | 10.3 | 0.9 | | | | | 1.0 | | 1.2 |
| Delay (s) | | 33.4 | | 38.2 | 12.2 | | | | | 30.6 | | 1.2 |
| Level of Service | | С | | D | В | | | | | С | | Α |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 33.4 | | | 19.7 | | | 0.0 | | | 5.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | А | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 21.0 | H | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.78 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | Si | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 64.2% | IC | U Level o | of Service | | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ٠ | - | * | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | Ŧ | ~ | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | ≜t ≽ | ۲ | ^ | 1 | 7 | ţ, | 5 | • | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 853 | 16 | 1074 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 853 | 16 | 1074 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 71.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 9.0 | 18.5 | 10.5 | 20.0 | 20.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 15.0% | 71.0% | 56.0% | 56.0% | 56.0% | 9.0% | 18.5% | 10.5% | 20.0% | 20.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 72.3 | 67.4 | 55.5 | 55.5 | 55.5 | 19.1 | 11.2 | 20.1 | 10.2 | 10.2 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.07 | 0.64 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | 11.8 | 9.1 | 14.2 | 18.8 | 3.2 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 11.8 | 9.1 | 14.2 | 18.8 | 3.2 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| LOS | В | А | В | В | A | D | D | С | D | В | |
| Approach Delay | | 9.5 | | 17.5 | | | 39.6 | | 26.2 | | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | В | | | D | | С | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2: | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 75 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 16. | 2 | | | lr | ntersection | n LOS: B | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizati | on 69.2% | | | IC | CU Level | of Service | с | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø2 (R) | 22.492 | Ø3 | 1 Ø4 |
|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| 71 s | | 10.5 s | 18.5 s |
| ▲ Ø5 | Ø6 | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 15 s | 56 s | 9s 2 | 10 s |

Synchro 11 Report

| | ۶ | → | 4 | + | * | 1 | t | 4 | ţ | ~ | |
|------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 1030 | 17 | 1167 | 110 | 97 | 74 | 35 | 61 | 138 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.07 | 0.64 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | 11.8 | 9.1 | 14.2 | 18.8 | 3.2 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 11.8 | 9.1 | 14.2 | 18.8 | 3.2 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.5 | 46.0 | 1.5 | 80.3 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 11.2 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 16.5 | 65.2 | 5.7 | 117.8 | 8.4 | 28.5 | 24.6 | 12.8 | 22.9 | 17.0 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 332 | 2179 | 241 | 1816 | 735 | 231 | 189 | 255 | 218 | 277 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.07 | 0.64 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.50 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٦ | - | 7 | * | ← | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|-------|------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | † Ъ | | ٦ | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | 5 | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 853 | 95 | 16 | 1074 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 853 | 95 | 16 | 1074 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3222 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1428 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.16 | 1.00 | | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.00 | | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 255 | 3222 | | 435 | 3271 | 1234 | 1082 | 1428 | | 1109 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 155 | 927 | 103 | 17 | 1167 | 110 | 97 | 54 | 20 | 35 | 61 | 138 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 1022 | 0 | 17 | 1167 | 60 | 97 | 61 | 0 | 35 | 61 | 15 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 66.2 | 66.2 | | 54.3 | 54.3 | 54.3 | 16.0 | 11.2 | | 15.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 66.2 | 66.2 | | 54.3 | 54.3 | 54.3 | 16.0 | 11.2 | | 15.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.66 | 0.66 | | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.11 | | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 278 | 2132 | | 236 | 1776 | 670 | 192 | 159 | | 185 | 185 | 133 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.05 | 0.32 | | | c0.36 | | c0.02 | 0.04 | | 0.01 | 0.04 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.32 | | | 0.04 | | 0.05 | c0.06 | | | 0.02 | | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.48 | | 0.07 | 0.66 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.38 | | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.11 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 9.4 | 8.4 | | 10.9 | 16.2 | 11.0 | 37.8 | 41.2 | | 36.8 | 41.3 | 40.3 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.2 | 0.8 | | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 11.6 | 9.1 | | 11.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 39.5 | 42.3 | | 37.2 | 42.0 | 40.5 |
| Level of Service | В | A | | В | В | В | D | D | | D | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 9.5 | | | 16.4 | | | 40.7 | | | 40.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 17.0 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.62 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 100.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 69.2% | IC | CU Level | of Service | Э | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | † | 1 | ŧ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | * | 1 | ۲ | ţ, | 5 | ţ, | ٢ | ţ, |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 661 | 618 | 177 | 419 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 661 | 618 | 177 | 419 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 54.0 | 54.0 | | 13.0 | 67.0 | 24.0 | 43.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 49.1% | 49.1% | | 11.8% | 60.9% | 21.8% | 39.1% | 17.3% | 17.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 34.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.95 | 0.53 | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.84 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 13.3 | 37.6 | 1.2 | 58.5 | 19.4 | 47.7 | 6.3 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 13.3 | 37.6 | 1.2 | 58.5 | 19.4 | 47.7 | 6.3 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| LOS | В | D | A | E | В | D | A | D | A |
| Approach Delay | | 20.0 | | | 31.0 | | 30.3 | | 9.3 |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | С | | A |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 15 (14%), Reference | ed to phase | 2:EBTL a | and 6:WB | BTL, Start | of Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coc | ordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 2 | 5.1 | | | lı | ntersectio | n LOS: C | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 95.3% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | ə F | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| 1 Ø1 | ₩Ø2 (R) | ™ ø4 | |
|-------------|---------|-------------|------|
| 13 s | 54 s | 43 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 67 s | | 24 s | 19 s |

| Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL NBT SBL SBT Lane Group Flow (vph) 7 718 672 192 456 400 291 2 8 v/c Ratio 0.02 0.95 0.53 0.88 0.55 0.84 0.48 0.02 0.02 Control Delay 13.3 37.6 1.2 58.5 19.4 47.7 6.3 46.0 0.1 Queue Delay 0.0 <td< th=""><th></th><th>٠</th><th>-</th><th>7</th><th>1</th><th>-</th><th>1</th><th>†</th><th>1</th><th>+</th></td<> | | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | † | 1 | + |
|---|------------------------|--------|---------|------|-------|-------|--------|----------|------|------|
| Lane Group Flow (vph) 7 718 672 192 456 400 291 2 8 v/c Ratio 0.02 0.95 0.53 0.88 0.55 0.84 0.48 0.02 0.02 Control Delay 13.3 37.6 1.2 58.5 19.4 47.7 6.3 46.0 0.1 Queue Delay 0.0< | Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| v/c Ratio 0.02 0.95 0.53 0.88 0.55 0.84 0.48 0.02 0.02 Control Delay 13.3 37.6 1.2 58.5 19.4 47.7 6.3 46.0 0.1 Queue Delay 0.0 | Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 718 | 672 | 192 | 456 | 400 | 291 | 2 | 8 |
| Control Delay 13.3 37.6 1.2 58.5 19.4 47.7 6.3 46.0 0.1 Queue Delay 0.0 | v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.95 | 0.53 | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.84 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Queue Delay 0.0 <th< td=""><td>Control Delay</td><td>13.3</td><td>37.6</td><td>1.2</td><td>58.5</td><td>19.4</td><td>47.7</td><td>6.3</td><td>46.0</td><td>0.1</td></th<> | Control Delay | 13.3 | 37.6 | 1.2 | 58.5 | 19.4 | 47.7 | 6.3 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay 13.3 37.6 1.2 58.5 19.4 47.7 6.3 46.0 0.1 Queue Length 50th (m) 0.4 125.2 0.0 23.1 60.5 72.1 0.3 0.4 0.0 Queue Length 95th (m) m0.5 m#208.1 m0.0 #63.7 89.8 #123.5 19.9 2.8 0.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 194.3 158.2 78.8 37.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 55.0 50.0 15.0 Base Capacity (vph) 349 752 1262 218 830 475 612 94 423 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 </td <td>Queue Delay</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> | Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) 0.4 125.2 0.0 23.1 60.5 72.1 0.3 0.4 0.0 Queue Length 95th (m) m0.5 m#208.1 m0.0 #63.7 89.8 #123.5 19.9 2.8 0.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 194.3 158.2 78.8 37.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 55.0 50.0 15.0 Base Capacity (vph) 349 752 1262 218 830 475 612 94 423 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 <td>Total Delay</td> <td>13.3</td> <td>37.6</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>58.5</td> <td>19.4</td> <td>47.7</td> <td>6.3</td> <td>46.0</td> <td>0.1</td> | Total Delay | 13.3 | 37.6 | 1.2 | 58.5 | 19.4 | 47.7 | 6.3 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) m0.5 m#208.1 m0.0 #63.7 89.8 #123.5 19.9 2.8 0.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 194.3 158.2 78.8 37.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 55.0 50.0 15.0 Base Capacity (vph) 349 752 1262 218 830 475 612 94 423 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 | Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | 125.2 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 60.5 | 72.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) 194.3 158.2 78.8 37.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 55.0 50.0 15.0 Base Capacity (vph) 349 752 1262 218 830 475 612 94 423 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 <td>Queue Length 95th (m)</td> <td>m0.5 r</td> <td>n#208.1</td> <td>m0.0</td> <td>#63.7</td> <td>89.8</td> <td>#123.5</td> <td>19.9</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>0.0</td> | Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.5 r | n#208.1 | m0.0 | #63.7 | 89.8 | #123.5 | 19.9 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) 55.0 50.0 15.0 Base Capacity (vph) 349 752 1262 218 830 475 612 94 423 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 | Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 |
| Base Capacity (vph) 349 752 1262 218 830 475 612 94 423 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 | Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn 0 | Base Capacity (vph) | 349 | 752 | 1262 | 218 | 830 | 475 | 612 | 94 | 423 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn 0 | Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn 0 | Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced V/C Ratio 0.02 0.95 0.53 0.88 0.55 0.84 0.48 0.02 0.02 | Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.95 | 0.53 | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.84 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.02 |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٨ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | 1 |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | 1 | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | | ٢ | ţ, | | ۲ | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 661 | 618 | 177 | 419 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 268 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 661 | 618 | 177 | 419 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 268 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1336 | | 1691 | 1450 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 818 | 1761 | 1262 | 157 | 1521 | | 978 | 1336 | | 1036 | 1450 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 718 | 672 | 192 | 455 | 1 | 400 | 0 | 291 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 718 | 672 | 192 | 456 | 0 | 400 | 91 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | | 0.31 | 0.31 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 349 | 752 | 1262 | 212 | 829 | | 422 | 412 | | 94 | 131 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.41 | | c0.08 | 0.30 | | c0.18 | 0.07 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.53 | 0.41 | | | c0.11 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.95 | 0.53 | 0.91 | 0.55 | | 0.95 | 0.22 | | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.2 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 16.2 | | 35.6 | 28.2 | | 45.5 | 45.5 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.72 | 0.69 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 14.9 | 0.8 | 36.7 | 2.6 | | 30.5 | 0.3 | | 0.4 | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 13.1 | 35.8 | 0.8 | 63.6 | 18.9 | | 66.1 | 28.5 | | 46.0 | 45.6 | |
| Level of Service | В | D | A | E | В | | E | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 18.9 | | | 32.1 | | | 50.3 | | | 45.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 30.0 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.98 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | Si | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 95.3% | IC | U Level o | of Service | Э | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | * | - | 1 | ~ | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | † Ъ | ۲ | + | 7 | 1 | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 980 | 175 | 602 | 305 | 725 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 980 | 175 | 602 | 305 | 725 | | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | | |
| Total Split (s) | 59.6 | 15.0 | 74.6 | 35.4 | | | |
| Total Split (%) | 54.2% | 13.6% | 67.8% | 32.2% | | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 55.3 | 73.7 | 69.9 | 24.1 | 110.0 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.22 | 1.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.60 | 0.87 | 0.56 | | |
| Control Delay | 30.2 | 42.5 | 10.5 | 64.4 | 1.6 | | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Delay | 30.2 | 42.5 | 10.7 | 64.4 | 1.6 | | |
| LOS | С | D | В | E | A | | |
| Approach Delay | 30.2 | | 17.9 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | В | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | o phase 2: | EBT and | 6:WBTL, | Start of C | Green | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Cool | rdinated | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 23 | 3.8 | | | In | Itersection | LOS: C | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion 82.8% | | | IC | CU Level o | f Service E | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2. Woo | odlawn Ro | ad/Daimle | er Pkwv 8 | Highway | 406 SB 0 | ff-Ramp | |



Synchro 11 Report

| | - | 1 | - | 1 | ~ |
|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1410 | 190 | 654 | 332 | 788 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.60 | 0.87 | 0.56 |
| Control Delay | 30.2 | 42.5 | 10.5 | 64.4 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.2 | 42.5 | 10.7 | 64.4 | 1.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 136.3 | 22.9 | 80.6 | 67.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #174.2 | m#47.4 | m95.0 | #109.9 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1645 | 240 | 1094 | 415 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.79 | 0.65 | 0.80 | 0.56 |
| Interspection Summary | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | 7 | • | | | | | ٦ | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 980 | 317 | 175 | 602 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 725 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 980 | 317 | 175 | 602 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 725 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3222 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.08 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3222 | | 127 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1065 | 345 | 190 | 654 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 788 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1383 | 0 | 190 | 654 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 788 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 55.3 | | 69.9 | 69.9 | | | | | 24.1 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 55.3 | | 69.9 | 69.9 | | | | | 24.1 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.50 | | 0.64 | 0.64 | | | | | 0.22 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1619 | | 231 | 1094 | | | | | 381 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.43 | | c0.09 | 0.38 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.44 | | | | | | c0.19 | | 0.56 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.85 | | 0.82 | 0.60 | | | | | 0.87 | | 0.56 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 23.8 | | 27.9 | 11.8 | | | | | 41.5 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.98 | 0.69 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 6.0 | | 15.6 | 1.8 | | | | | 19.1 | | 1.6 |
| Delay (s) | | 29.8 | | 43.0 | 9.8 | | | | | 60.5 | | 1.6 |
| Level of Service | | С | | D | А | | | | | E | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 29.8 | | | 17.3 | | | 0.0 | | | 19.1 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 23.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.86 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | I | | 82.8% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | * | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | Ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | ≜t ₀ | 5 | ** | 1 | 5 | ţ, | 5 | • | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 1060 | 28 | 1014 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 1060 | 28 | 1014 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 34.2 | 83.3 | 49.1 | 49.1 | 49.1 | 9.0 | 21.2 | 15.5 | 27.7 | 27.7 | |
| Total Split (%) | 28.5% | 69.4% | 40.9% | 40.9% | 40.9% | 7.5% | 17.7% | 12.9% | 23.1% | 23.1% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 81.1 | 76.2 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 23.4 | 13.1 | 32.9 | 19.6 | 19.6 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 0.98 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.87 | |
| Control Delay | 88.7 | 14.8 | 35.6 | 62.1 | 6.9 | 51.7 | 86.5 | 73.4 | 54.0 | 23.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 88.7 | 14.8 | 35.6 | 62.1 | 6.9 | 51.7 | 86.5 | 73.4 | 54.0 | 23.2 | |
| LOS | F | В | D | E | A | D | F | E | D | С | |
| Approach Delay | | 34.8 | | 49.7 | | | 69.1 | | 42.1 | | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | D | | | E | | D | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2: | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | linated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.05 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 43.4 | 4 | | | lr | ntersection | n LOS: D | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 100.69 | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | e G | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| →Ø2 (R) | | - 243 | Ø3 | - | 1 Ø4 | |
|-----------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------------|--|
| 83.3 s | | | 15.5 s | | 21.2 s | |
| ▶ _{Ø5} | Ø6 | | 07 | 1 | 8 | |
| 34.2 s | 49.1 s | | 9 s 📃 | 27.7 s | 1 | |

Synchro 11 Report

| | ٠ | - | + | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | Ŧ | 1 | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1273 | 30 | 1102 | 310 | 139 | 139 | 253 | 155 | 515 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 0.98 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.87 | |
| Control Delay | 88.7 | 14.8 | 35.6 | 62.1 | 6.9 | 51.7 | 86.5 | 73.4 | 54.0 | 23.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 88.7 | 14.8 | 35.6 | 62.1 | 6.9 | 51.7 | 86.5 | 73.4 | 54.0 | 23.2 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~109.1 | 88.7 | 5.1 | 134.5 | 1.9 | 26.0 | 30.3 | 51.7 | 33.7 | 9.9 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #173.4 | 109.2 | 13.8 | #180.3 | 23.3 | 44.0 | #63.7 | #101.3 | 55.1 | #72.9 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 453 | 2053 | 117 | 1123 | 619 | 224 | 175 | 281 | 292 | 597 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 0.98 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 0.90 | 0.53 | 0.86 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | • | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|--------|------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | † Ъ | | 5 | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | 5 | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 1060 | 111 | 28 | 1014 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 1060 | 111 | 28 | 1014 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3225 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1443 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.09 | 1.00 | | 0.22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 1.00 | | 0.48 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 142 | 3225 | | 341 | 3271 | 1234 | 1031 | 1443 | | 744 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 474 | 1152 | 121 | 30 | 1102 | 310 | 139 | 111 | 28 | 253 | 155 | 515 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1266 | 0 | 30 | 1102 | 114 | 139 | 131 | 0 | 253 | 155 | 124 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 76.2 | 76.2 | | 41.2 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 19.1 | 13.1 | | 28.6 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 76.2 | 76.2 | | 41.2 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 19.1 | 13.1 | | 28.6 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.64 | | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.16 | 0.11 | | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 448 | 2047 | | 117 | 1123 | 423 | 186 | 157 | | 254 | 281 | 201 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.28 | 0.39 | | | 0.34 | | 0.04 | 0.09 | | c0.10 | 0.09 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.39 | | | 0.09 | | 0.09 | 0.08 | | | c0.13 | | 0.10 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.06 | 0.62 | | 0.26 | 0.98 | 0.27 | 0.75 | 0.83 | | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.62 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 36.3 | 13.2 | | 28.4 | 39.0 | 28.5 | 47.2 | 52.4 | | 44.1 | 46.2 | 46.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 58.7 | 1.4 | | 0.8 | 22.3 | 0.3 | 14.5 | 29.5 | | 55.0 | 1.9 | 4.8 |
| Delay (s) | 95.0 | 14.6 | | 29.2 | 61.3 | 28.8 | 61.7 | 81.9 | | 99.1 | 48.0 | 51.5 |
| Level of Service | F | В | | С | E | С | E | F | | F | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 36.4 | | | 53.7 | | | 71.8 | | | 64.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | E | | | E | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 50.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 1.10 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 120.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 100.6% | IC | CU Level | of Service | 9 | | G | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | • | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | † | 1 | ŧ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | + | 1 | ۲ | ţ, | 5 | ţ, | 5 | Ţ. |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 568 | 640 | 231 | 512 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 568 | 640 | 231 | 512 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 52.0 | 52.0 | | 16.0 | 68.0 | 23.0 | 42.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 47.3% | 47.3% | | 14.5% | 61.8% | 20.9% | 38.2% | 17.3% | 17.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 45.4 | 45.4 | 110.0 | 65.0 | 61.0 | 39.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.82 | 0.66 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 10.1 | 24.8 | 3.9 | 36.0 | 22.0 | 49.6 | 6.3 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.1 | 24.8 | 3.9 | 36.0 | 22.0 | 49.6 | 6.3 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| LOS | В | С | А | D | С | D | А | D | D |
| Approach Delay | | 13.7 | | | 26.4 | | 32.7 | | 37.2 |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | С | | D |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |) | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 8 (7%), Referenced | to phase 2 | EBTL and | d 6:WBTL | , Start of | Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | • | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Cod | ordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 2 | 21.9 | | | lı | ntersectio | n LOS: C | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation 94.7% | | |](| CU Level | of Service | e F | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 1: Hig | ghway 406 l | NB Off-Ra | mp & Da | imler Pkv | vy | | | | |

| Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL NBT SBL SBT Lane Group Flow (vph) 8 617 696 251 558 391 250 1 12 v/c Ratio 0.03 0.85 0.55 0.82 0.66 0.85 0.43 0.01 0.08 Control Delay 10.1 24.8 3.9 36.0 22.0 49.6 6.3 46.0 36.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 10.1 24.8 3.9 36.0 22.0 49.6 6.3 46.0 36.5 |
|---|
| Lane Group Flow (vph)8617696251558391250112v/c Ratio0.030.850.550.820.660.850.430.010.08Control Delay10.124.83.936.022.049.66.346.036.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay10.124.83.936.022.049.66.346.036.5 |
| v/c Ratio 0.03 0.85 0.55 0.82 0.66 0.85 0.43 0.01 0.08 Control Delay 10.1 24.8 3.9 36.0 22.0 49.6 6.3 46.0 36.5 Queue Delay 0.0 |
| Control Delay10.124.83.936.022.049.66.346.036.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay10.124.83.936.022.049.66.346.036.5 |
| Queue Delay 0.0 <th< td=""></th<> |
| Total Delay 10.1 24.8 3.9 36.0 22.0 49.6 6.3 46.0 36.5 |
| |
| Queue Length 50th (m) 0.0 96.1 0.1 24.7 80.1 71.0 0.2 0.2 1.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) m0.4 m39.1 m32.7 #61.6 118.4 #123.8 18.2 1.8 7.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) 194.3 158.2 78.8 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) 55.0 50.0 15.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) 307 726 1262 312 844 460 575 97 149 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.03 0.85 0.55 0.80 0.66 0.85 0.43 0.01 0.08 |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------------|------------|------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 1 | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ٢ | ţ, | | 5 | Ţ. | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 568 | 640 | 231 | 512 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 229 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 568 | 640 | 231 | 512 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 229 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.94 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1337 | | 1691 | 1599 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.46 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.60 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 745 | 1761 | 1262 | 273 | 1521 | | 975 | 1337 | | 1075 | 1599 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 617 | 696 | 251 | 557 | 1 | 391 | 1 | 249 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 617 | 696 | 251 | 558 | 0 | 391 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 45.4 | 45.4 | 110.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | | 33.0 | 33.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 45.4 | 45.4 | 110.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | | 33.0 | 33.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | | 0.30 | 0.30 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 307 | 726 | 1262 | 298 | 843 | | 407 | 401 | | 97 | 145 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.35 | | c0.10 | 0.37 | | c0.17 | 0.06 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.55 | c0.37 | | | c0.11 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.84 | 0.66 | | 0.96 | 0.19 | | 0.01 | 0.05 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 19.2 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 17.2 | | 36.4 | 28.6 | | 45.5 | 45.7 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.51 | 0.57 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 18.9 | 4.1 | | 34.4 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | 9.8 | 23.5 | 1.0 | 38.2 | 21.3 | | 70.8 | 28.8 | | 45.7 | 46.3 | |
| Level of Service | Α | С | А | D | С | | E | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 11.5 | | | 26.5 | | | 54.4 | | | 46.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 25.9 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.94 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 94.7% | IC | U Level o | of Service | Э | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 1 | + | 1 | ~ | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜t ≽ | 5 | + | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 983 | 207 | 637 | 232 | 791 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 983 | 207 | 637 | 232 | 791 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 48.8 | 18.0 | 66.8 | 43.2 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 44.4% | 16.4% | 60.7% | 39.3% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 53.6 | 76.3 | 72.5 | 21.5 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.49 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.20 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.90 | 0.78 | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.61 | |
| Control Delay | 35.9 | 38.0 | 9.7 | 54.5 | 1.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 35.9 | 38.0 | 10.0 | 54.5 | 1.9 | |
| LOS | D | D | В | D | А | |
| Approach Delay | 35.9 | | 16.9 | | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | В | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offset: 108 (98%), Reference | ed to phas | e 2:EBT a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | , | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coor | dinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 23 | 8.8 | | | In | tersection | LOS: C |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion 81.0% | | | IC | U Level c | f Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 100.05.0 | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: Woo | odlawn Ro | ad/Daimle | er Pkwy 8 | Highway | 406 SB C | htt-Ramp |
| √ Ø1 → | Ø2 (R) | | | | | |
| 18 8 48.8 | S CO | | | | | |

.

Ø6 (R)

Synchro 11 Report

Ø8

| | - | 1 | + | 1 | - |
|------------------------|--------|-------|--------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1436 | 225 | 692 | 252 | 860 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.90 | 0.78 | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay | 35.9 | 38.0 | 9.7 | 54.5 | 1.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 35.9 | 38.0 | 10.0 | 54.5 | 1.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 140.2 | 26.2 | 57.4 | 51.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #229.7 | m52.7 | m115.7 | 72.6 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1592 | 307 | 1135 | 538 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.90 | 0.73 | 0.67 | 0.47 | 0.61 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | 4 |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 4 1> | | 5 | + | | | | | 5 | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 983 | 339 | 207 | 637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 791 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 983 | 339 | 207 | 637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 791 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3216 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.07 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3216 | | 116 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1068 | 368 | 225 | 692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 860 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1410 | 0 | 225 | 692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 860 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 53.6 | | 72.5 | 72.5 | | | | | 21.5 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 53.6 | | 72.5 | 72.5 | | | | | 21.5 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.49 | | 0.66 | 0.66 | | | | | 0.20 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1567 | | 284 | 1134 | | | | | 340 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.44 | | c0.11 | 0.40 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | 0.41 | | | | | | 0.14 | | c0.61 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.90 | | 0.79 | 0.61 | | | | | 0.74 | | 0.61 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 25.8 | | 31.0 | 10.7 | | | | | 41.6 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.67 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 8.7 | | 9.6 | 1.6 | | | | | 8.4 | | 1.9 |
| Delay (s) | | 34.4 | | 40.7 | 8.7 | | | | | 50.1 | | 1.9 |
| Level of Service | | С | | D | A | | | | | D | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 34.4 | | | 16.6 | | | 0.0 | | | 12.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | A | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 22.8 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.87 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 81.0% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | D | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | * | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | † Ъ | 7 | ^ | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | 5 | * | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 962 | 18 | 1053 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 962 | 18 | 1053 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 44.0 | 86.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 9.0 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 33.8% | 66.2% | 32.3% | 32.3% | 32.3% | 6.9% | 17.7% | 16.2% | 26.9% | 26.9% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 83.0 | 78.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 26.0 | 15.7 | 41.0 | 27.7 | 27.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.21 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 1.33 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |
| Control Delay | 289.6 | 17.5 | 43.6 | 196.6 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| l otal Delay | 289.6 | 17.5 | 43.6 | 196.6 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| LOS | F | 8 400.0 | D | | C | E | | F | D | D | |
| Approach Delay | | 129.8 | | 151.1 | | | 1/4./ | | 99.6 | | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | F | | | F | | F | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2 | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coorc | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.56 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 132 | 2.2 | | | lr | ntersection | n LOS: F | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 135.19 | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | Η | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| | | Ø3 | ₫ Ø4 |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| 86 s | | 21 s | 23 s |
| ▶ _{Ø5} | ₩ Ø6 | ▲ Ø7 ● Ø8 | |
| 44 s | 42 s | 9 s 35 s | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | 1 | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|--------|------|--------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1162 | 20 | 1145 | 388 | 147 | 249 | 370 | 193 | 714 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 1.33 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |
| Control Delay | 289.6 | 17.5 | 43.6 | 196.6 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 289.6 | 17.5 | 43.6 | 196.6 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~282.5 | 91.3 | 4.0 | ~200.5 | 26.6 | 28.6 | ~84.7 | ~111.0 | 44.2 | 50.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #358.7 | 111.3 | 11.7 | #242.3 | 67.6 | #48.6 | #137.7 | #172.1 | 68.6 | #139.5 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 523 | 1942 | 100 | 858 | 525 | 221 | 180 | 265 | 366 | 715 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 1.33 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|--------|------|-----------|------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | † Ъ | | 7 | 44 | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | 7 | * | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 962 | 107 | 18 | 1053 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 962 | 107 | 18 | 1053 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3222 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1477 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.11 | 1.00 | | 0.24 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 169 | 3222 | | 381 | 3271 | 1234 | 995 | 1477 | | 335 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 816 | 1046 | 116 | 20 | 1145 | 388 | 147 | 236 | 13 | 370 | 193 | 714 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 452 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1156 | 0 | 20 | 1145 | 186 | 147 | 247 | 0 | 370 | 193 | 262 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 78.1 | 78.1 | | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 21.7 | 15.7 | | 36.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 78.1 | 78.1 | | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 21.7 | 15.7 | | 36.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.60 | | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.12 | | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 516 | 1935 | | 99 | 858 | 323 | 188 | 178 | | 253 | 366 | 262 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.50 | 0.36 | | | 0.35 | | 0.04 | 0.17 | | c0.20 | 0.11 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.45 | | | 0.05 | | 0.15 | 0.09 | | | c0.21 | | 0.21 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.58 | 0.60 | | 0.20 | 1.33 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 1.39 | | 1.46 | 0.53 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 37.5 | 16.2 | | 37.4 | 48.0 | 41.7 | 50.8 | 57.1 | | 42.0 | 45.3 | 51.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 270.8 | 1.4 | | 0.7 | 158.5 | 2.0 | 18.3 | 205.8 | | 228.6 | 1.0 | 54.7 |
| Delay (s) | 308.3 | 17.5 | | 38.1 | 206.4 | 43.7 | 69.1 | 262.9 | | 270.6 | 46.4 | 105.8 |
| Level of Service | F | В | | D | F | D | E | F | | F | D | F |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 137.5 | | | 163.6 | | | 191.0 | | | 144.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | | F | | | F | | | F | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 151.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | F | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 1.61 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 130.0 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 135.1% | IC | CU Level | of Service | Э | | Н | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX 7

Future (2051) Total Traffic Conditions – Queueing Analysis Results



Page 166 of 497

| Future To | tal (2051 |) Conditions Model – No T | rain – Micros | imulation | |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|---|-----------|--|
| | | Results | | | |
| Period | | Intersection | | Max Queue | |
| renou | No. | Location | Movement | Metres | |
| | | | EBL | 35 | |
| | | | EBT | 55 | |
| | | | EBR | 54 | |
| | | | NBL | 29 | |
| | | | NBT | 27 | |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 27 | |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 18 | |
| | | | SBT | 22 | |
| | | | SBR | 17 | |
| | | | WBL | 11 | |
| | | | WBT | 74 | |
| | | | WBR | 14 | |
| | | | EBR | 130 | |
| | | Woodlown Road and Highway | EBT | 130 | |
| | 2 | 406 SB Domo Torminal | SBL | 33 | |
| | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | vn Road and Highway SB Ramp Terminal WBL 35 WBT 68 EBL 1 EBT 58 FBR - | 35 | |
| our | | | WBT | 68 | |
| й | | | EBL EBT EBR | 1 | |
| beak | | | EBT | 58 | |
| I Pe | | | EBR | - | |
| AM | | | NBL | 49 | |
| | | | NBT | 14 | |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 14 | |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 | |
| | | | SBT | 7 | |
| | | | SBR | 7 | |
| | | | WBL | 52 | |
| | | | v and Highway p Terminal NBR 14 SBL 0 SBT 7 SBR 7 WBL 52 WBT 69 | | |
| | | ĺ | WBR | 69 | |
| | 4 | Dail Creasing | EBT | - | |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | WBT | - | |
| | | | EBL | 39 | |
| | | | EBT | 39 | |
| | F | Deimler Derkweisend Street (A) | EBR | 39 | |
| | 5 | Daimier Parkway and Street A | NBL | 30 | |
| | | | SBR | 15 | |
| | | | WBT | 27 | |
| | | | EBL | 121 | |
| | | | EBT | 146 | |
| | | | EBR | 146 | |
| | | | NBL | 44 | |
| | | | NBT | 53 | |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 53 | |

| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 54 |
|----------|--|--|-----|-----|
| | | Bit of Brown Road SBL 34 SBT 51 SBR 68 WBL 19 Wasser 130 WBR 41 BBR 240 Woodlawn Road and Highway EBR 406 SB Ramp Terminal WBL WBL 47 WBT 132 EBR 66 EBT 242 SBL 93 WBL 47 WBT 132 EBR 6 EBT 192 EBR 5 Daimler Parkway and Highway NBR 406 NB Ramp Terminal 8BL WBT 68 WBT 68 WBT 68 WBT 68 BBL 50 WBT 68 BBT 68 WBT 68 BBT 54 SBR 90 SBR 10 <td>51</td> | 51 | |
| | | | 68 | |
| | | | WBL | 19 |
| | | | WBT | 130 |
| | | | WBR | 41 |
| | | | EBR | 240 |
| | 2 | Weedlown Deed and Highway | EBT | 242 |
| | | | SBL | 93 |
| | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 47 |
| our | 2 Woodlawn Roa 406 SB Rai 3 Daimler Parkwa 406 NB Rai 4 Rail C 5 Daimler Parkwa | | WBT | 132 |
| Η̈́ | | | EBL | 6 |
| eak | | | EBT | 192 |
| Pe | | | EBR | - |
| M | | | NBL | 107 |
| _ | | | NBT | 52 |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 52 |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 9 |
| | | | SBR | 9 |
| | | | WBL | 50 |
| | | | WBT | 68 |
| | | | WBR | 68 |
| | Λ | Pail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | WBT | - |
| | 5 | | EBL | 54 |
| | | | EBT | 54 |
| | | Deimler Derkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 54 |
| | | Daimier Parkway and Street A | NBL | 74 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 24 |
| | | | EBL | 270 |
| | | | EBT | 280 |
| | | | EBR | 280 |
| | | | NBL | 45 |
| | | | NBT | 77 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 77 |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 99 |
| | | | SBT | 96 |
| | | | SBR | 98 |
| | | | WBL | 12 |
| | | | WBT | 141 |
| | | | WBR | 43 |
| | | | EBR | 188 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 189 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramo Terminal | SBL | 67 |
| <u> </u> | | | WBL | 39 |
| no | | | WBT | 106 |
| Т Т | | | EBL | 8 |

| ea | | | EBT | 130 |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Ч | | | EBR | - |
| -AS | | | NBL | 79 |
| 0, | | | NBT | 26 |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 26 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 4 |
| | | | SBT | 9 |
| | | | SBR | 9 |
| | | | WBL | 49 |
| | | | WBT | 68 |
| | | | WBR | 68 |
| | Л | Rail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | Ŧ | Tail Crossing | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 123 |
| | | | EBT | 123 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 123 |
| | 0 | Danniel i antway and offeet A | NBL | 55 |
| | | | SBR | 12 |
| | | | WBT | 23 |

| Future Tota | l (2051) | Conditions Model – With 7 | Train – Micro | simulation |
|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|---|------------|
| | | Results | | |
| Period | | Intersection | | Max Queue |
| T CHOU | No. | Location | Movement | Metres |
| | | | EBL | 35 |
| | | | EBT | 55 |
| | | | EBR | 54 |
| | | | NBL | 29 |
| | | | NBT | 27 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 27 |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 18 |
| | | | SBT | 22 |
| | | | SBR | 17 |
| | | | WBL | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 75 |
| | | | WBR | 15 |
| | | | EBR | 131 |
| | | | FBT | 131 |
| | 2 | Woodlawn Road and Highway | SBI | 33 |
| Hour | _ | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WRI | 37 |
| | | | WBT | 91 |
| | | | FRI | 1 |
| ak | | | EBE | 65 |
| Ье | | | EBR | |
| N, | | | NRI | - 10 |
| 4 | | | | 17 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBD | 17 |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBI | 0 |
| | | | | 7 |
| | | | | 7 |
| | | | | 62 |
| | | | | 60 |
| | | | er Parkway and Highway)6 NB Ramp Terminal BR Ramp Terminal BR - NBL NBT SBL O SBT 7 SBR 7 WBL 62 WBT 69 WBR 69 EBT 60 | 60 |
| | | | | 60 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | | 120 |
| | | | FRI | 130 |
| | | | | 40 |
| | | | | 40 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | | 40 |
| | | | | 15 |
| | | | | 10 |
| | | | | 20 |
| | | | EBL | 140 |
| | | | EBI | 140 |
| | | | EBK | 146 |
| | | | NBL | 46 |
| | | | NBT | 53 |
| | 1 | woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 53 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 55 |

| | | | SBT | 52 |
|------|---|---|-----|-----|
| | | | SBR | 67 |
| | | | WBL | 17 |
| | | | WBT | 132 |
| | | | WBR | 42 |
| | | | EBR | 241 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 245 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Pamp Terminal | SBL | 125 |
| L_ | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 46 |
| our | | SB1 52 SBR 67 WBL 17 WBT 132 WBR 42 EBR 241 EBT 245 SBL 125 WBL 46 WBT 168 EBT 217 EBR 6 EBT 217 EBR 6 EBT 217 EBR 6 BBL 107 NBL 107 NBT 98 406 NB Ramp Terminal SBL Daimler Parkway and Highway SBL 8 SBT 9 SBR SBR 9 WBL 58 WBT 68 SBT 9 SBR 9 WBR 68 WBT 68 EBT 59 Bail Crossing EBT 59 EBR 59 59 EBR 59 5 | 168 | |
| H | | | EBL | 6 |
| eak | | | EBT | 217 |
| 1 P. | | | EBR | - |
| PP | 3 | | NBL | 107 |
| | | | NBT | 98 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 98 |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 9 |
| | | | SBR | 9 |
| | | | WBL | 58 |
| | | | WBT | 68 |
| | | | WBR | 68 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | 59 |
| | 7 | Tail Crossing | WBT | 123 |
| | | | EBL | 59 |
| | | | EBT | 59 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 59 |
| | 5 | Daimier Farkway and Stielt A | NBL | 76 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 23 |
| | | | | |

APPENDIX 8

Sensitivity Analyses Volume Diagrams











APPENDIX 9

Sensitivity Analysis #1 – 10% Increase to Residential Density – Synchro Analysis Results



Page 177 of 497

| | ٦ | → | 7 | 4 | + | 1 | t | Ŧ |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ۲ | 1 | 1 | ٢ | ¢Î, | 7 | f, | ef. |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 233 | 669 | 290 | 594 | 233 | 1 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 233 | 669 | 290 | 594 | 233 | 1 | 1 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 52.0 | 52.0 | | 19.0 | 71.0 | 20.0 | 39.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 47.3% | 47.3% | | 17.3% | 64.5% | 18.2% | 35.5% | 17.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 46.4 | 46.4 | 110.0 | 68.0 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 30.0 | 10.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 5.0 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 22.8 | 36.8 | 7.6 | 39.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.0 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 22.8 | 36.8 | 7.6 | 39.0 |
| LOS | А | А | А | В | С | D | А | D |
| Approach Delay | | 8.7 | | | 19.7 | | 27.8 | 39.0 |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | С | D |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 49 (45%), Referenced | d to phase | 2:EBTL, | Start of G | Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 80 | · · · · | , | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coor | dinated | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73 | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 16 | 5.3 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion 91.9% | | | (| CU Level | of Service | e F | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| √ Ø1 | ■ → Ø2 (R) | [≪] √ Ø4 | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|------|--|--|
| 19 s | 52 s | | 39 s | | | |
| € Ø6 | | | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 | | |
| 71s | | | 20 s | 19 s | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | ŧ | |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 253 | 727 | 315 | 646 | 253 | 112 | 2 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.01 | |
| Control Delay | 5.0 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 22.8 | 36.8 | 7.6 | 39.0 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 5.0 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 22.8 | 36.8 | 7.6 | 39.0 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.1 | 16.9 | 60.1 | 30.0 | 94.9 | 43.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.1 | m23.1 | 67.0 | 45.9 | 141.3 | 67.4 | 13.3 | 2.6 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | 37.3 | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 281 | 742 | 1262 | 631 | 885 | 419 | 445 | 150 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.01 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ^ | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | | ٦ | ţ, | | ۲ | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 233 | 669 | 290 | 594 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 102 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 233 | 669 | 290 | 594 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 102 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | 0.93 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1522 | | 1606 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.41 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.52 | 1.00 | | 0.59 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 669 | 1761 | 1262 | 858 | 1522 | | 993 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 253 | 727 | 315 | 646 | 0 | 253 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 253 | 727 | 315 | 646 | 0 | 253 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 46.4 | 46.4 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | | 30.0 | 30.0 | | | 10.4 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 46.4 | 46.4 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | | 30.0 | 30.0 | | | 10.4 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.58 | | 0.27 | 0.27 | | | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 282 | 742 | 1262 | 591 | 885 | | 363 | 364 | | | 149 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.14 | | 0.07 | c0.42 | | c0.11 | 0.02 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.00 | | c0.58 | 0.24 | | | 0.08 | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.73 | | 0.70 | 0.09 | | | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.4 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 16.7 | | 34.6 | 29.8 | | | 45.1 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.28 | 0.31 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 3.0 | | 5.7 | 0.1 | | | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 5.1 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 13.3 | 19.8 | | 40.3 | 29.9 | | | 45.2 | |
| Level of Service | Α | А | Α | В | В | | D | С | | | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 3.0 | | | 17.6 | | | 37.1 | | | 45.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 14.5 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.79 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 91.9% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | 9 | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | 4 | + | 1 | 4 | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------|-------|---------------|-----------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | † Ъ | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 796 | 243 | 578 | 107 | 634 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 796 | 243 | 578 | 107 | 634 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 57.0 | 25.0 | 82.0 | 28.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 51.8% | 22.7% | 74.5% | 25.5% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 45.0 | 67.4 | 63.6 | 30.4 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.28 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.63 | 0.24 | 0.49 | |
| Control Delay | 33.3 | 41.8 | 12.2 | 36.2 | 1.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 33.3 | 41.8 | 12.4 | 36.2 | 1.2 | |
| LOS | С | D | В | D | А | |
| Approach Delay | 33.3 | | 21.1 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | С | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%) Referenced to | n nhase 8 [.] | SBL Sta | rt of Gree | n | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | | 002, 010 | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coor | dinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83 | aniatou | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 21 | 7 | | | In | tersection L | OS' C |
| Intersection Canacity Utilizat | ion 66 0% | | | IC | CU Level of S | Service C |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Splits and Dhasas: 2: Mas | dlown Do | ad/Daiml | | | , 106 CD Off | Domo |



| | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1113 | 264 | 628 | 116 | 689 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.63 | 0.24 | 0.49 |
| Control Delay | 33.3 | 41.8 | 12.2 | 36.2 | 1.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 33.3 | 41.8 | 12.4 | 36.2 | 1.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 104.4 | 32.3 | 53.8 | 19.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 122.5 | m48.8 | 54.1 | 39.5 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1500 | 415 | 1177 | 482 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.64 | 0.58 | 0.24 | 0.49 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | • | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | 5 | * | | | | | ۲ | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 796 | 228 | 243 | 578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 634 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 796 | 228 | 243 | 578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 634 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3233 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.13 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3233 | | 220 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 865 | 248 | 264 | 628 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 689 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1087 | 0 | 264 | 628 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 689 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 45.0 | | 67.4 | 63.6 | | | | | 30.4 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 45.0 | | 67.4 | 63.6 | | | | | 30.4 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.41 | | 0.61 | 0.58 | | | | | 0.28 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1322 | | 324 | 995 | | | | | 481 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.34 | | c0.12 | 0.36 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.38 | | | | | | 0.07 | | c0.49 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.82 | | 0.81 | 0.63 | | | | | 0.24 | | 0.49 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 28.9 | | 31.4 | 15.4 | | | | | 30.9 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.84 | 0.68 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.3 | | 10.7 | 0.9 | | | | | 1.2 | | 1.2 |
| Delay (s) | | 33.2 | | 37.2 | 11.5 | | | | | 32.0 | | 1.2 |
| Level of Service | | C | | D | В | | | | | С | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 33.2 | | | 19.1 | | | 0.0 | | | 5.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | A | | | A | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 20.8 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit | y ratio | | 0.80 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | n | | 66.0% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ٢ | ≜ †} | ٢ | ^ | 1 | ۲ | ¢Î, | ٦ | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 859 | 16 | 1095 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 859 | 16 | 1095 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 14.0 | 71.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 9.0 | 18.5 | 10.5 | 20.0 | 20.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 14.0% | 71.0% | 57.0% | 57.0% | 57.0% | 9.0% | 18.5% | 10.5% | 20.0% | 20.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 72.3 | 67.4 | 55.7 | 55.7 | 55.7 | 19.1 | 11.2 | 20.1 | 10.2 | 10.2 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | 12.7 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 18.9 | 3.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 12.7 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 18.9 | 3.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| LOS | В | А | В | В | А | D | D | С | D | В | |
| Approach Delay | | 9.6 | | 17.5 | | | 39.6 | | 26.2 | | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | В | | | D | | С | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2 | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 75 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 16. | 3 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 69.8% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | с | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø2 (R) | 22.52 | Ø3 | 1 Ø4 |
|---------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 71 s | | 10.5 s | 18.5 s |
| ▶ Ø5 | Ø6 | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 14 s | 57 s | 9s 2 | 0 s |

| | ٠ | → | 4 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | ţ | 1 | |
|------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 1037 | 17 | 1190 | 110 | 97 | 74 | 35 | 61 | 138 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | 12.7 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 18.9 | 3.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 12.7 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 18.9 | 3.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.5 | 46.5 | 1.5 | 82.7 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 11.2 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 17.1 | 65.9 | 5.6 | 118.7 | 8.2 | 28.5 | 24.6 | 12.8 | 22.9 | 17.0 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 314 | 2179 | 240 | 1823 | 737 | 231 | 189 | 255 | 218 | 277 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.50 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | ≜ î∌ | | 7 | ^ | 1 | 7 | ţ, | | ٢ | 1 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 859 | 95 | 16 | 1095 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 859 | 95 | 16 | 1095 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3223 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1428 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.16 | 1.00 | | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.00 | | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 246 | 3223 | | 432 | 3271 | 1234 | 1082 | 1428 | | 1109 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 155 | 934 | 103 | 17 | 1190 | 110 | 97 | 54 | 20 | 35 | 61 | 138 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 1029 | 0 | 17 | 1190 | 60 | 97 | 61 | 0 | 35 | 61 | 15 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 66.2 | 66.2 | | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 16.0 | 11.2 | | 15.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 66.2 | 66.2 | | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 16.0 | 11.2 | | 15.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.66 | 0.66 | | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.11 | | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 270 | 2133 | | 235 | 1782 | 672 | 192 | 159 | | 185 | 185 | 133 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.05 | 0.32 | | | c0.36 | | c0.02 | 0.04 | | 0.01 | 0.04 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.33 | | | 0.04 | | 0.05 | c0.06 | | | 0.02 | | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.48 | | 0.07 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.38 | | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.11 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 9.6 | 8.4 | | 10.8 | 16.3 | 10.9 | 37.8 | 41.2 | | 36.8 | 41.3 | 40.3 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.7 | 0.8 | | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 12.3 | 9.2 | | 10.9 | 17.1 | 10.9 | 39.5 | 42.3 | | 37.2 | 42.0 | 40.5 |
| Level of Service | В | Α | | В | В | В | D | D | | D | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 9.6 | | | 16.5 | | | 40.7 | | | 40.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 17.0 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.63 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 100.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 69.8% | IC | U Level | of Service | Э | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | † | 1 | Ŧ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | * | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | 5 | ţ, | ٢ | ţ, |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 706 | 618 | 190 | 445 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 706 | 618 | 190 | 445 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 54.0 | 54.0 | | 13.0 | 67.0 | 24.0 | 43.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 49.1% | 49.1% | | 11.8% | 60.9% | 21.8% | 39.1% | 17.3% | 17.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 34.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 1.02 | 0.53 | 1.01 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 11.8 | 51.3 | 1.0 | 93.2 | 20.3 | 47.7 | 8.9 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 11.8 | 51.3 | 1.0 | 93.2 | 20.3 | 47.7 | 8.9 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| LOS | В | D | A | F | С | D | A | D | А |
| Approach Delay | | 27.7 | | | 42.1 | | 30.7 | | 9.3 |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | D | | С | | A |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 17 (15%), Reference | ed to phase | 2:EBTL a | and 6:WB | STL, Start | of Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coc | ordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.02 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 3 | 1.9 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: C | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 98.7% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | ə F | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| 1 Ø1 | → Ø2 (R) | 1 ₀₄ | |
|-------------|----------|-----------------|------|
| 13 s | 54 s | 43 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 67 s | | 24 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | t | 1 | ↓ I |
|------------------------|--------|---------|------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 767 | 672 | 207 | 485 | 400 | 313 | 2 | 8 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 1.02 | 0.53 | 1.01 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 11.8 | 51.3 | 1.0 | 93.2 | 20.3 | 47.7 | 8.9 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 11.8 | 51.3 | 1.0 | 93.2 | 20.3 | 47.7 | 8.9 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | ~176.6 | 0.0 | ~30.6 | 66.1 | 72.1 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.4 ı | m#220.3 | m0.0 | #77.8 | 98.1 | #123.5 | 29.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 340 | 752 | 1262 | 205 | 830 | 475 | 602 | 92 | 406 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 1.02 | 0.53 | 1.01 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.02 |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ^ | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | | ۲ | ţ, | | 5 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 706 | 618 | 190 | 445 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 288 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 706 | 618 | 190 | 445 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 288 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1336 | | 1691 | 1450 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.49 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.57 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 797 | 1761 | 1262 | 131 | 1521 | | 978 | 1336 | | 1015 | 1450 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 767 | 672 | 207 | 484 | 1 | 400 | 0 | 313 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 767 | 672 | 207 | 485 | 0 | 400 | 123 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | | 0.31 | 0.31 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 340 | 752 | 1262 | 200 | 829 | | 422 | 412 | | 92 | 131 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.44 | | c0.09 | 0.32 | | c0.18 | 0.09 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.53 | c0.47 | | | c0.11 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 1.02 | 0.53 | 1.03 | 0.59 | | 0.95 | 0.30 | | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.2 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 16.7 | | 35.6 | 28.9 | | 45.5 | 45.5 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.64 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 27.3 | 0.7 | 73.1 | 3.0 | | 30.5 | 0.4 | | 0.4 | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 11.6 | 49.2 | 0.7 | 105.6 | 19.7 | | 66.1 | 29.3 | | 46.0 | 45.6 | |
| Level of Service | В | D | A | F | В | | E | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 26.5 | | | 45.4 | | | 50.0 | | | 45.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | D | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 37.0 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.06 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 98.7% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | 9 | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | → | 1 | + | 4 | 4 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜ t≽ | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1003 | 186 | 617 | 326 | 725 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1003 | 186 | 617 | 326 | 725 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 60.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 35.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 54.5% | 13.6% | 68.2% | 31.8% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 54.6 | 73.1 | 69.3 | 24.7 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.22 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.62 | 0.91 | 0.56 | |
| Control Delay | 32.1 | 54.7 | 10.4 | 68.9 | 1.6 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 32.1 | 54.7 | 10.7 | 68.9 | 1.6 | |
| LOS | С | D | В | E | А | |
| Approach Delay | 32.1 | | 20.9 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | С | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offset: 108 (98%), Reference | ed to phas | e 2:EBT a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | ordinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 20 | 6.1 | | | In | tersection L | OS: C |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 85.5% | | | IC | CU Level of | Service E |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| Solits and Phases: 2: Wo | odlawn Ro | ad/Daiml | ar Pkwy 8 | Highway | 406 SB Off | f-Ramn |



| | - | 1 | + | * | - |
|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1435 | 202 | 671 | 354 | 788 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.62 | 0.91 | 0.56 |
| Control Delay | 32.1 | 54.7 | 10.4 | 68.9 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 32.1 | 54.7 | 10.7 | 68.9 | 1.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 139.9 | 24.9 | 80.9 | 73.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #181.5 | m#56.1 | m96.5 | #122.5 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1628 | 233 | 1084 | 408 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.67 | 0.87 | 0.56 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | ٦ | + | | | | | ň | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1003 | 317 | 186 | 617 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 725 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1003 | 317 | 186 | 617 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 725 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3224 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.07 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3224 | | 114 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1090 | 345 | 202 | 671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 | 0 | 788 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1408 | 0 | 202 | 671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 | 0 | 788 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 54.6 | | 69.3 | 69.3 | | | | | 24.7 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 54.6 | | 69.3 | 69.3 | | | | | 24.7 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.50 | | 0.63 | 0.63 | | | | | 0.22 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1600 | | 225 | 1084 | | | | | 391 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.44 | | c0.10 | 0.39 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.47 | | | | | | c0.20 | | 0.56 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.88 | | 0.90 | 0.62 | | | | | 0.91 | | 0.56 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 24.8 | | 31.7 | 12.3 | | | | | 41.5 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.96 | 0.65 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 7.3 | | 26.1 | 1.9 | | | | | 23.8 | | 1.6 |
| Delay (s) | | 32.1 | | 56.6 | 9.9 | | | | | 65.3 | | 1.6 |
| Level of Service | | С | | E | A | | | | | E | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 32.1 | | | 20.7 | | | 0.0 | | | 21.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | С | | | A | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 25.6 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit | y ratio | | 0.92 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizatio | n | | 85.5% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | Ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | 朴 | ٢ | † † | ۲ | 7 | ţ, | ٦ | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 1084 | 28 | 1029 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 1084 | 28 | 1029 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 34.2 | 83.6 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 9.0 | 20.4 | 16.0 | 27.4 | 27.4 | |
| Total Split (%) | 28.5% | 69.7% | 41.2% | 41.2% | 41.2% | 7.5% | 17.0% | 13.3% | 22.8% | 22.8% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 81.1 | 76.2 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 22.9 | 12.6 | 32.9 | 19.6 | 19.6 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.63 | 0.26 | 0.99 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.87 | |
| Control Delay | 91.1 | 15.0 | 35.9 | 63.5 | 7.0 | 53.0 | 95.2 | 73.0 | 54.2 | 23.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 91.1 | 15.0 | 35.9 | 63.5 | 7.0 | 53.0 | 95.2 | 73.0 | 54.2 | 23.9 | |
| LOS | F | В | D | E | А | D | F | E | D | С | |
| Approach Delay | | 35.3 | | 50.9 | | | 74.1 | | 42.5 | | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | D | | | E | | D | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2: | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.05 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 44. | .4 | | | lr | ntersectio | n LOS: D | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 101.09 | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | G | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø2 (R) | | Ø | 3 1 Ø4 |
|--------|----------------|------|---------------|
| 83.6 s | | 16 s | 20.4 s |
| ▶ Ø5 | ₫ Ø6 | 10 | 7 08 |
| 34.2 s | 49.4 s | 9 s | 27.4 s |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | Ŧ | 1 | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1299 | 30 | 1118 | 310 | 139 | 139 | 253 | 155 | 515 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.63 | 0.26 | 0.99 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.87 | |
| Control Delay | 91.1 | 15.0 | 35.9 | 63.5 | 7.0 | 53.0 | 95.2 | 73.0 | 54.2 | 23.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 91.1 | 15.0 | 35.9 | 63.5 | 7.0 | 53.0 | 95.2 | 73.0 | 54.2 | 23.9 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~108.9 | 91.1 | 5.1 | 136.8 | 2.3 | 26.1 | 30.8 | 51.9 | 33.8 | 10.6 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #173.2 | 112.1 | 13.8 | #183.4 | 23.9 | 44.2 | #66.6 | #101.9 | 55.3 | #74.3 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 450 | 2054 | 114 | 1131 | 619 | 219 | 164 | 282 | 288 | 592 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.63 | 0.26 | 0.99 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.54 | 0.87 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------|------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | † 1 ₂ | | 5 | ** | 1 | 7 | ţ, | | 7 | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 1084 | 111 | 28 | 1029 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 1084 | 111 | 28 | 1029 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3226 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1443 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.09 | 1.00 | | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 1.00 | | 0.47 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 141 | 3226 | | 332 | 3271 | 1234 | 1031 | 1443 | | 731 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 474 | 1178 | 121 | 30 | 1118 | 310 | 139 | 111 | 28 | 253 | 155 | 515 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 388 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1293 | 0 | 30 | 1118 | 117 | 139 | 132 | 0 | 253 | 155 | 127 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 76.2 | 76.2 | | 41.5 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 18.6 | 12.6 | | 28.6 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 76.2 | 76.2 | | 41.5 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 18.6 | 12.6 | | 28.6 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.64 | | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.10 | | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 444 | 2048 | | 114 | 1131 | 426 | 182 | 151 | | 256 | 281 | 201 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.28 | 0.40 | | | 0.34 | | 0.04 | 0.09 | | c0.11 | 0.09 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.40 | | | 0.09 | | 0.09 | 0.08 | | | c0.13 | | 0.10 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.07 | 0.63 | | 0.26 | 0.99 | 0.27 | 0.76 | 0.87 | | 0.99 | 0.55 | 0.63 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 36.5 | 13.3 | | 28.2 | 39.0 | 28.4 | 47.7 | 52.9 | | 43.9 | 46.2 | 46.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 61.9 | 1.5 | | 0.9 | 23.7 | 0.3 | 16.6 | 38.5 | | 52.4 | 1.9 | 5.5 |
| Delay (s) | 98.3 | 14.8 | | 29.1 | 62.7 | 28.6 | 64.3 | 91.4 | | 96.3 | 48.0 | 52.4 |
| Level of Service | F | B | | С | E | С | E | | | F | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 37.2 | | | 54.8 | | | //.9 | | | 63.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | E | | | E | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 51.0 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.10 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 120.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 101.0% | IC | U Level o | of Service | e | | G | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | † | 1 | Ŧ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | + | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | 7 | ţ, | 7 | ţ, |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 605 | 640 | 247 | 545 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 605 | 640 | 247 | 545 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 55.0 | 55.0 | | 16.0 | 71.0 | 20.0 | 39.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 50.0% | 50.0% | | 14.5% | 64.5% | 18.2% | 35.5% | 17.3% | 17.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 48.2 | 48.2 | 110.0 | 68.0 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.44 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.94 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 14.3 | 25.4 | 1.8 | 41.8 | 20.5 | 66.6 | 7.0 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.3 | 25.4 | 1.8 | 41.8 | 20.5 | 66.6 | 7.0 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| LOS | В | С | А | D | С | Е | А | D | D |
| Approach Delay | | 13.3 | | | 27.1 | | 42.4 | | 37.2 |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | D | | D |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 8 (7%), Referenced to | o phase 2 | :EBTL and | 6:WBTL | , Start of | Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | • | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Cool | rdinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 24 | l.1 | | | li | ntersectio | n LOS: C | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion 96.9% |) | |](| CU Level | of Service | e F | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| Onlite and Diseases (4,111) | 400 | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| 🕈 Ø1 | 🛡 🗖 Ø2 (R) | Ø4 | |
|--------|------------|------|------|
| 16 s | 55 s | 39 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | | Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 71 s | | 20 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | -+ | > | 1 | - | 1 | † | 1 | 1 - |
|------------------------|------|--------|------|-------|-------|--------|----------|------|----------|
| | | EDT | | | | ND | NDT | | • 0DT |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBK | WBL | WRI | NBL | NRT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 658 | 696 | 268 | 593 | 391 | 268 | 1 | 12 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.94 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 14.3 | 25.4 | 1.8 | 41.8 | 20.5 | 66.6 | 7.0 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.3 | 25.4 | 1.8 | 41.8 | 20.5 | 66.6 | 7.0 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.5 | 60.3 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 82.1 | 74.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.6 | m115.3 | m6.4 | #70.3 | 121.8 | #139.1 | 20.0 | 1.8 | 7.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 316 | 771 | 1262 | 313 | 885 | 416 | 559 | 96 | 149 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.94 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | 1 |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | 7 | ţ, | | 7 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 605 | 640 | 247 | 545 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 246 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 605 | 640 | 247 | 545 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 246 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.94 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1337 | | 1691 | 1599 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.59 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 721 | 1761 | 1262 | 260 | 1521 | | 975 | 1337 | | 1058 | 1599 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 658 | 696 | 268 | 592 | 1 | 391 | 1 | 267 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 658 | 696 | 268 | 593 | 0 | 391 | 74 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 48.2 | 48.2 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | | 30.0 | 30.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 48.2 | 48.2 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | | 30.0 | 30.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.44 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.58 | | 0.27 | 0.27 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 315 | 771 | 1262 | 301 | 884 | | 363 | 364 | | 96 | 145 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.37 | | c0.10 | 0.39 | | c0.17 | 0.06 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.55 | c0.41 | | | c0.13 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.89 | 0.67 | | 1.08 | 0.20 | | 0.01 | 0.05 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.6 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 15.8 | | 38.7 | 30.8 | | 45.5 | 45.7 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.79 | 0.65 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 26.2 | 4.0 | | 69.4 | 0.3 | | 0.2 | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | 13.9 | 24.2 | 0.9 | 46.1 | 19.8 | | 108.1 | 31.1 | | 45.7 | 46.3 | |
| Level of Service | В | С | Α | D | В | | F | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 12.2 | | | 28.0 | | | 76.8 | | | 46.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | | E | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 31.7 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.01 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | Si | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 96.9% | IC | U Level o | of Service | e | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 4 | + | 4 | 1 | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜t ≽ | 7 | † | ٦ | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1003 | 223 | 655 | 250 | 791 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1003 | 223 | 655 | 250 | 791 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 59.0 | 18.0 | 77.0 | 33.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 53.6% | 16.4% | 70.0% | 30.0% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 55.4 | 76.9 | 73.1 | 20.9 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.66 | 0.19 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.62 | 0.82 | 0.61 | |
| Control Delay | 32.3 | 53.8 | 9.7 | 62.9 | 1.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 32.3 | 53.8 | 10.1 | 62.9 | 1.9 | |
| LOS | С | D | В | E | A | |
| Approach Delay | 32.3 | | 21.2 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | С | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: | 110 | | | | | |
| Offset: 108 (98%), Refe | renced to phas | e 2:EBT a | and 6:WB | TL. Start | of Green | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | , | | |
| Control Type: Actuated- | Coordinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.9 | 0 | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Dela | v: 24.3 | | | In | tersection L | OS: C |
| Intersection Capacity Ut | ilization 83.7% | | | IC | CU Level of | Service E |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: | Woodlawn Ro | ad/Daimle | er Pkwy 8 | Highway | 406 SB Off | -Ramp |



| | - | 1 | - | * | - |
|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1458 | 242 | 712 | 272 | 860 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.62 | 0.82 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay | 32.3 | 53.8 | 9.7 | 62.9 | 1.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 32.3 | 53.8 | 10.1 | 62.9 | 1.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 146.9 | 36.9 | 73.8 | 55.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #198.5 | m#66.3 | m95.4 | #85.4 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1649 | 281 | 1144 | 377 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.61 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

.

.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | • | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | ٦ | + | | | | | 7 | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1003 | 339 | 223 | 655 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 791 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1003 | 339 | 223 | 655 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 791 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3218 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.07 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3218 | | 112 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1090 | 368 | 242 | 712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 0 | 860 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1430 | 0 | 242 | 712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 0 | 860 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 55.4 | | 73.1 | 73.1 | | | | | 20.9 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 55.4 | | 73.1 | 73.1 | | | | | 20.9 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.50 | | 0.66 | 0.66 | | | | | 0.19 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1620 | | 267 | 1144 | | | | | 331 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.44 | | c0.12 | 0.41 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.48 | | | | | | 0.16 | | c0.61 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.88 | | 0.91 | 0.62 | | | | | 0.82 | | 0.61 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 24.4 | | 33.5 | 10.6 | | | | | 42.8 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.04 | 0.70 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 7.3 | | 22.0 | 1.6 | | | | | 15.0 | | 1.9 |
| Delay (s) | | 31.7 | | 57.0 | 9.0 | | | | | 57.8 | | 1.9 |
| Level of Service | | С | | E | A | | | | | E | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 31.7 | | | 21.2 | | | 0.0 | | | 15.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | С | | | A | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 23.7 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit | y ratio | | 0.92 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizatio | n | | 83.7% | IC | U Level o | of Service | | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | → | 1 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | ŧ | 1 | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ľ | ≜ ↑₽ | ٢ | † † | 1 | 7 | ¢Î, | 7 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 981 | 18 | 1071 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 981 | 18 | 1071 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 44.0 | 86.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 9.0 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 33.8% | 66.2% | 32.3% | 32.3% | 32.3% | 6.9% | 17.7% | 16.2% | 26.9% | 26.9% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 83.0 | 78.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 26.0 | 15.7 | 41.0 | 27.7 | 27.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.21 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.61 | 0.20 | 1.36 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |
| Control Delay | 289.6 | 17.8 | 43.8 | 205.8 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 289.6 | 17.8 | 43.8 | 205.8 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| LOS | F | В | D | F | С | Е | F | F | D | D | |
| Approach Delay | | 128.8 | | 158.4 | | | 174.7 | | 99.6 | | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | F | | | F | | F | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2: | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 130 | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | linated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.56 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 134 | .0 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: F | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 135.69 | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | Η | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| ø2 (R) | | Ø3 | 1 ø4 |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| 86 s | | 21 s | 23 s |
| ▶ _{Ø5} | ₩ Ø6 | ▲ Ø7 | |
| 44 s | 42 s | 9 s 35 s | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | • | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|--------|------|--------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1182 | 20 | 1164 | 388 | 147 | 249 | 370 | 193 | 714 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.61 | 0.20 | 1.36 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |
| Control Delay | 289.6 | 17.8 | 43.8 | 205.8 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 289.6 | 17.8 | 43.8 | 205.8 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~282.5 | 93.8 | 4.0 | ~205.8 | 26.6 | 28.6 | ~84.7 | ~111.0 | 44.2 | 50.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #358.7 | 114.3 | 11.7 | #247.6 | 67.6 | #48.6 | #137.7 | #172.1 | 68.6 | #139.5 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 523 | 1942 | 98 | 858 | 525 | 221 | 180 | 265 | 366 | 715 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.61 | 0.20 | 1.36 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | → | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ţ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|--------|------|------------|------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | ≜t ≽ | | ٦ | ^ | 1 | 7 | ţ, | | ۲ | + | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 981 | 107 | 18 | 1071 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 981 | 107 | 18 | 1071 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3223 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1477 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.11 | 1.00 | | 0.24 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 169 | 3223 | | 374 | 3271 | 1234 | 995 | 1477 | | 335 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 816 | 1066 | 116 | 20 | 1164 | 388 | 147 | 236 | 13 | 370 | 193 | 714 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 452 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1176 | 0 | 20 | 1164 | 186 | 147 | 247 | 0 | 370 | 193 | 262 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 78.1 | 78.1 | | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 21.7 | 15.7 | | 36.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 78.1 | 78.1 | | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 21.7 | 15.7 | | 36.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.60 | | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.12 | | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 516 | 1936 | | 98 | 858 | 323 | 188 | 178 | | 253 | 366 | 262 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.50 | 0.36 | | | 0.36 | | 0.04 | 0.17 | | c0.20 | 0.11 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.45 | | | 0.05 | | 0.15 | 0.09 | | | c0.21 | | 0.21 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.58 | 0.61 | | 0.20 | 1.36 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 1.39 | | 1.46 | 0.53 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 37.5 | 16.3 | | 37.4 | 48.0 | 41.7 | 50.8 | 57.1 | | 42.0 | 45.3 | 51.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 270.8 | 1.4 | | 0.8 | 168.1 | 2.0 | 18.3 | 205.8 | | 228.6 | 1.0 | 54.7 |
| Delay (s) | 308.3 | 17.7 | | 38.1 | 216.1 | 43.7 | 69.1 | 262.9 | | 270.6 | 46.4 | 105.8 |
| Level of Service | F | В | | D | F | D | E | F | | F | D | F |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 136.4 | | | 171.3 | | | 191.0 | | | 144.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | | F | | | F | | | F | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 153.0 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | F | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.61 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 130.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | tion | | 135.6% | IC | CU Level | of Service | 9 | | Н | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX 10

Sensitivity Analysis #1 – 10% Increase to Residential Density – Queueing Analysis Results



Page 205 of 497

| Future Total | (2051) C T | onditions Model – Bridge rain – Microsimulation Re | Adjustment sults | (+10%) – No |
|--------------|---------------|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | | Intersection | | Max Queue |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres |
| | | | EBL | 38 |
| | | | EBT | 54 |
| | | | EBR | 53 |
| | | | 28 | |
| | | | NBT | 26 |
| | 4 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 18 |
| | | | SBT | 26 |
| | | | SBR | 16 |
| | | | WBL | 9 |
| | | | WBT | 76 |
| | | | WBR | 15 |
| | | | EBR | 128 |
| | | Meedleure Deedlend Lister | EBT | 129 |
| | 2 | | SBL | 35 |
| | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 36 |
| Peak Hour | | | WBT | 70 |
| | | | EBL | 2 |
| | | | EBT | 64 |
| | | | EBR | - |
| AN | | | NBL | 47 |
| | | | NBT | 17 |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 17 |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 |
| | | | SBT | 7 |
| | | | SBR | 7 |
| | | | WBL | 49 |
| | | | WBT | 70 |
| | | | WBR | 70 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | <u> </u> | | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 53 |
| | | | EBT | 53 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 53 |
| | 0 | Dumier r antway and offoot 71 | NBL | 35 |
| | | | SBR | 16 |
| | | | WBT | 27 |
| | | | EBL | 114 |
| | | | EBT | 161 |
| | | | EBR | 161 |
| | | | NBL | 44 |
| | | | NBT | 55 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 55 |

| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 60 |
|--------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | | SBT | 57 |
| | | | SBR | 65 |
| | | | WBL | 15 |
| | | | WBT | 145 |
| | | | WBR | 47 |
| | | | EBR | 261 |
| | | Woodlown Road and Highway | EBT | 264 |
| | 2 | 406 SP Dome Terminal | SBL | 118 |
| | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 54 |
| our | | | WBT | 159 |
| H | | | EBL | 7 |
| eak | | | EBT | 208 |
| I Pe | | | EBR | - |
| ΡM | | | NBL | 104 |
| | | | NBT | 66 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 66 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 8 |
| | | | SBR | 8 |
| | | | WBL | 57 |
| | | | WBT | 70 |
| | | | WBR | 70 |
| | Λ | Pail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 64 |
| | 5 | | EBT | 64 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 64 |
| | | Daimier raikway and Street A | NBL | 86 |
| | | | SBR | 14 |
| | | | WBT | 26 |
| | | | EBL | 276 |
| | | | EBT | 315 |
| | | | EBR | 315 |
| | | | NBL | 44 |
| | | | NBT | 76 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 75 |
| | · | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 96 |
| | | | SBT | 92 |
| | | | SBR | 100 |
| | | | WBL | 12 |
| | | | WBT | 150 |
| | | | WBR | 47 |
| | | | EBR | 220 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 233 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 60 |
| 5 | | | WBL | 41 |
| Jou | | | WBT | 105 |
| х Т | | | EBL | 8 |

| ea | | | EBT | 137 |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| <u>а</u> Г | | | EBR | - |
| .AS | | | NBL | 84 |
| 0, | | | NBT | 32 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 32 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 4 |
| | | | SBT | 10 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBL | 52 |
| | | | WBT | 69 |
| | | | WBR | 69 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | | | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 132 |
| | | | EBT | 132 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 132 |
| | 5 | Daimier i antway and offeet A | NBL | 55 |
| | | | SBR | 17 |
| | | | WBT | 30 |

| Future Total (2051) Conditions Model – Bridge Adjustment (+10%) – With Train – Microsimulation Results | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--------------------------------|----------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Intersection | | | | | | | | | |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres | | | | | | | |
| | | | FBI | 38 | | | | | | | |
| | | | FBT | 55 | | | | | | | |
| | | | FBR | 54 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBL | 28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 26 | | | | | | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 26 | | | | | | | |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 18 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 26 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 16 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 10 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 83 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBR | 17 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 132 | | | | | | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 132 | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 406 SB Pamp Terminal | SBL | 35 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 39 | | | | | | | |
| no | | | WBT | 94 | | | | | | | |
| Ĭ | | | EBL | 1 | | | | | | | |
| eal | | | EBT | 64 | | | | | | | |
| d V | | | EBR | - | | | | | | | |
| AN | | | NBL | 51 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | Ŭ | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 59 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 70 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBR | 70 | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBI | 57 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 130 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 51 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 51 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | | 24 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 16 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WRT | 28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | FRI | 116 | | | | | | | |
| | | | FRT | 150 | | | | | | | |
| | | | FRR | 159 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NRI | 133 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NRT | 55 | | | | | | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 55 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 60 | | | | | | | |

| | | | SBT | 56 |
|--------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | | SBR | 67 |
| | | | WBL | 14 |
| | | | WBT | 145 |
| | | | WBR | 44 |
| | | | EBR | 271 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 273 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Pamp Terminal | SBL | 205 |
| | | | WBL | 55 |
| no | | | WBT | 176 |
| Ĭ | | | EBL | 6 |
| e ar | | | EBT | 218 |
| ۲ ۲ | | | EBR | - |
| 24 | | | NBL | 108 |
| | 3 | | NBT | 102 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 102 |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 8 |
| | | | SBR | 8 |
| | | | WBL | 62 |
| | | | WBT | 70 |
| | | | WBR | 70 |
| | 1 | Rail Crossing | EBT | 66 |
| | 4 | Itali Crossing | WBT | 118 |
| | | | EBL | 66 |
| | | | EBT | 66 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 66 |
| | 5 | Daimier Farkway and Street A | NBL | 90 |
| | | | SBR | 15 |
| | | | WBT | 26 |
| | - | | | |

APPENDIX 11

Sensitivity Analysis #2 – 25% Increase to Residential Density – Synchro Analysis Results



Page 211 of 497

| | • | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | T. | Ŧ | |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | ^ | 1 | 7 | ţ, | ٢ | ţ, | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 254 | 669 | 319 | 652 | 233 | 1 | 1 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 254 | 669 | 319 | 652 | 233 | 1 | 1 | |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | 50.0 | 50.0 | | 23.0 | 73.0 | 18.0 | 37.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 45.5% | 45.5% | | 20.9% | 66.4% | 16.4% | 33.6% | 17.3% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 46.5 | 46.5 | 110.0 | 70.0 | 66.0 | 34.0 | 28.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.09 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.78 | 0.65 | 0.28 | 0.01 | |
| Control Delay | 5.0 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 23.9 | 40.4 | 7.9 | 39.0 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 5.0 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 23.9 | 40.4 | 7.9 | 39.0 | |
| LOS | А | А | A | В | С | D | А | D | |
| Approach Delay | | 8.4 | | | 20.3 | | 29.8 | 39.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | С | | С | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 47 (43%), Reference | d to phase | 2:EBTL, | Start of G | Green | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | , | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | rdinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 10 | 5.9 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 95.7% |) | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | ϶F | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| Ø1 | ↓ → Ø2 (R) | ₫ Ø4 | |
|------|------------|-------------|------|
| 23 s | 50 s | 37 s | |
| ₹Ø6 | | 1 07 | Ø8 |
| 73 s | | 18 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | ← | 1 | 1 | Ŧ |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 276 | 727 | 347 | 709 | 253 | 122 | 2 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.78 | 0.65 | 0.28 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 5.0 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 23.9 | 40.4 | 7.9 | 39.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.0 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 23.9 | 40.4 | 7.9 | 39.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.1 | 14.7 | 57.3 | 32.1 | 106.5 | 44.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.1 | m28.8 | 61.4 | 48.4 | 160.6 | 69.3 | 14.0 | 2.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 261 | 743 | 1262 | 654 | 913 | 388 | 430 | 144 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.78 | 0.65 | 0.28 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | 1 | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ٢ | ţ, | | ٦ | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 254 | 669 | 319 | 652 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 254 | 669 | 319 | 652 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | 0.93 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1522 | | 1606 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.38 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 618 | 1761 | 1262 | 819 | 1522 | | 984 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 276 | 727 | 347 | 709 | 0 | 253 | 1 | 121 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 276 | 727 | 347 | 709 | 0 | 253 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 46.5 | 46.5 | 110.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | | 28.0 | 28.0 | | | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 46.5 | 46.5 | 110.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | | 28.0 | 28.0 | | | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.60 | | 0.25 | 0.25 | | | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 261 | 744 | 1262 | 601 | 913 | | 335 | 340 | | | 143 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.16 | | 0.09 | c0.47 | | c0.10 | 0.02 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.00 | | c0.58 | 0.26 | | | 0.09 | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.78 | | 0.76 | 0.09 | | | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.4 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 16.5 | | 36.4 | 31.3 | | | 45.5 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.25 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 4.2 | | 9.3 | 0.1 | | | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 4.7 | 7.5 | 1.3 | 13.1 | 20.7 | | 45.7 | 31.4 | | | 45.6 | |
| Level of Service | А | А | A | В | С | | D | С | | | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 3.0 | | | 18.2 | | | 41.1 | | | 45.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 15.5 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.8 | | 0.84 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 95.7% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | 9 | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 1 | + | 4 | ~ | |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜ ⊅ | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 807 | 270 | 609 | 116 | 634 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 807 | 270 | 609 | 116 | 634 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 56.0 | 27.0 | 83.0 | 27.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 50.9% | 24.5% | 75.5% | 24.5% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.9 | 69.1 | 65.3 | 28.7 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.26 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.28 | 0.49 | |
| Control Delay | 34.2 | 43.2 | 11.3 | 38.2 | 1.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 34.2 | 43.2 | 11.5 | 38.2 | 1.2 | |
| LOS | С | D | В | D | А | |
| Approach Delay | 34.2 | | 21.2 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | С | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Longthy 110 | | | _ | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | م م الم م | - 0.CDI | |) | | |
| Uffset: 108 (98%), Reference | ed to phas | e 8:5BL, | Start of G | breen | | |
| Natural Cycle: 75 | -1: | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coor | unated | | | | | |
| Intersection Circle Delaw 00 | 0 | | | - I | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 22 | .2 | | | In | | LUS: U |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizati | 00 08.6% | | | IC | U Level o | Service C |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| Splite and Dhasas: 2: M/aa | dlawn Pa | ad/Daimk | or Dlaws 0 | | 406 CD 0 | ff Domo |



| | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1125 | 293 | 662 | 126 | 689 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.28 | 0.49 |
| Control Delay | 34.2 | 43.2 | 11.3 | 38.2 | 1.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.2 | 43.2 | 11.5 | 38.2 | 1.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 106.3 | 38.8 | 51.8 | 22.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 127.0 | m52.9 | 52.1 | 43.2 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1470 | 439 | 1192 | 454 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.28 | 0.49 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |
| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | ۲ | + | | | | | ٦ | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 807 | 228 | 270 | 609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 634 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 807 | 228 | 270 | 609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 634 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3234 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.13 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3234 | | 213 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 877 | 248 | 293 | 662 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 689 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1100 | 0 | 293 | 662 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 689 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 44.9 | | 69.2 | 65.4 | | | | | 28.6 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 44.9 | | 69.2 | 65.4 | | | | | 28.6 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.41 | | 0.63 | 0.59 | | | | | 0.26 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1320 | | 347 | 1023 | | | | | 453 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.34 | | c0.13 | 0.38 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.40 | | | | | | 0.07 | | c0.49 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.83 | | 0.84 | 0.65 | | | | | 0.28 | | 0.49 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 29.2 | | 31.0 | 14.7 | | | | | 32.5 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.86 | 0.66 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.7 | | 11.7 | 0.9 | | | | | 1.5 | | 1.2 |
| Delay (s) | | 33.9 | | 38.3 | 10.6 | | | | | 34.0 | | 1.2 |
| Level of Service | | С | | D | В | | | | | С | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 33.9 | | | 19.1 | | | 0.0 | | | 6.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | А | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 21.2 | H | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.82 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | Si | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 1 | | 68.6% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | Ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | ≜ †} | 7 | ^ | 1 | 7 | f, | 5 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 870 | 16 | 1126 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 870 | 16 | 1126 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 14.0 | 71.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 9.0 | 18.5 | 10.5 | 20.0 | 20.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 14.0% | 71.0% | 57.0% | 57.0% | 57.0% | 9.0% | 18.5% | 10.5% | 20.0% | 20.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 72.3 | 67.4 | 55.7 | 55.7 | 55.7 | 19.1 | 11.2 | 20.1 | 10.2 | 10.2 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.67 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | 14.1 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 14.1 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| LOS | В | А | В | В | А | D | D | С | D | В | |
| Approach Delay | | 9.9 | | 18.0 | | | 39.6 | | 26.2 | | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | В | | | D | | С | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2 | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 75 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 16. | 6 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 70.8% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | e C | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Ø2 (R) | 22.52 | Ø3 | 1 Ø4 |
|---------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 71 s | | 10.5 s | 18.5 s |
| ▶ Ø5 | Ø6 | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 14 s | 57 s | 9s 2 | 0 s |

| | ٠ | → | 4 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | ţ | 1 | |
|------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 1049 | 17 | 1224 | 110 | 97 | 74 | 35 | 61 | 138 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.67 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | 14.1 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 14.1 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 | 30.5 | 46.7 | 16.1 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.5 | 47.3 | 1.5 | 86.5 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 11.2 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 19.1 | 67.0 | 5.6 | 124.0 | 8.2 | 28.5 | 24.6 | 12.8 | 22.9 | 17.0 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 305 | 2178 | 238 | 1823 | 737 | 231 | 189 | 255 | 218 | 277 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.67 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.50 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|------|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ≜t ≽ | | 7 | ** | 1 | 7 | ţ, | | ٦ | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 870 | 95 | 16 | 1126 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 870 | 95 | 16 | 1126 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3223 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1428 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.15 | 1.00 | | 0.27 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.00 | | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 231 | 3223 | | 427 | 3271 | 1234 | 1082 | 1428 | | 1109 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 155 | 946 | 103 | 17 | 1224 | 110 | 97 | 54 | 20 | 35 | 61 | 138 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 1042 | 0 | 17 | 1224 | 60 | 97 | 61 | 0 | 35 | 61 | 15 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 66.2 | 66.2 | | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 16.0 | 11.2 | | 15.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 66.2 | 66.2 | | 54.5 | 54.5 | 54.5 | 16.0 | 11.2 | | 15.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.66 | 0.66 | | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.11 | | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 262 | 2133 | | 232 | 1782 | 672 | 192 | 159 | | 185 | 185 | 133 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.05 | 0.32 | | | c0.37 | | c0.02 | 0.04 | | 0.01 | 0.04 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.34 | | | 0.04 | | 0.05 | c0.06 | | | 0.02 | | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.49 | | 0.07 | 0.69 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.38 | | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.11 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 10.1 | 8.4 | | 10.8 | 16.5 | 10.9 | 37.8 | 41.2 | | 36.8 | 41.3 | 40.3 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.3 | 0.8 | | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 13.4 | 9.2 | | 10.9 | 17.6 | 10.9 | 39.5 | 42.3 | | 37.2 | 42.0 | 40.5 |
| Level of Service | В | А | | В | В | В | D | D | | D | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 9.8 | | | 16.9 | | | 40.7 | | | 40.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 17.3 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.64 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 100.0 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 70.8% | IC | CU Level | of Service | 9 | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | • | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | Ť | 1 | Ŧ |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | + | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | 5 | ţ, | ٢ | 1÷ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 771 | 618 | 210 | 485 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 771 | 618 | 210 | 485 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 54.0 | 54.0 | | 13.0 | 67.0 | 24.0 | 43.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 49.1% | 49.1% | | 11.8% | 60.9% | 21.8% | 39.1% | 17.3% | 17.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 34.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 1.11 | 0.53 | 1.11 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.59 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 12.5 | 84.1 | 0.8 | 123.3 | 21.8 | 47.7 | 13.1 | 46.5 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 12.5 | 84.1 | 0.8 | 123.3 | 21.8 | 47.7 | 13.1 | 46.5 | 0.1 |
| LOS | В | F | A | F | С | D | В | D | A |
| Approach Delay | | 46.9 | | | 52.4 | | 31.7 | | 9.4 |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | С | | A |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 40 (36%), Reference | d to phase | e 2:EBTL a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | • | | | , | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | rdinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.11 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 44 | 4.4 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: D | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion 103.6° | % | | | CU Level | of Service | G | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| 1 Ø1 | ▲ Ø2 (R) | ₼ ø4 | |
|-------------|----------|-------------|------|
| 13 s | 54 s | 43 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | | ▲ Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 67 s | | 24 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | † | 1 | + | |
|------------------------|--------|---------|------|-------|-------|--------|----------|------|------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 838 | 672 | 228 | 528 | 400 | 346 | 2 | 8 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 1.11 | 0.53 | 1.11 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.59 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| Control Delay | 12.5 | 84.1 | 0.8 | 123.3 | 21.8 | 47.7 | 13.1 | 46.5 | 0.1 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 12.5 | 84.1 | 0.8 | 123.3 | 21.8 | 47.7 | 13.1 | 46.5 | 0.1 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.7 | ~206.5 | 0.0 | ~41.3 | 75.2 | 72.1 | 14.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.8 ı | m#234.0 | m0.0 | #89.2 | 111.3 | #123.5 | 43.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 321 | 752 | 1262 | 205 | 830 | 475 | 590 | 89 | 384 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 1.11 | 0.53 | 1.11 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.59 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|------|--------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | • | 1 | 7 | ţ, | | ٦ | ţ, | | 5 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 771 | 618 | 210 | 485 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 318 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 771 | 618 | 210 | 485 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 318 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1336 | | 1691 | 1450 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.46 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.55 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 753 | 1761 | 1262 | 131 | 1521 | | 978 | 1336 | | 985 | 1450 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 838 | 672 | 228 | 527 | 1 | 400 | 0 | 346 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 838 | 672 | 228 | 528 | 0 | 400 | 168 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | | 0.31 | 0.31 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 321 | 752 | 1262 | 200 | 829 | | 422 | 412 | | 89 | 131 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.48 | | c0.10 | 0.35 | | c0.18 | 0.13 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.53 | c0.52 | | | c0.11 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 1.11 | 0.53 | 1.14 | 0.64 | | 0.95 | 0.41 | | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.2 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 33.0 | 17.4 | | 35.6 | 30.1 | | 45.5 | 45.5 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.67 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 58.7 | 0.6 | 106.4 | 3.7 | | 30.5 | 0.7 | | 0.5 | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 12.3 | 82.6 | 0.6 | 139.4 | 21.1 | | 66.1 | 30.7 | | 46.0 | 45.6 | |
| Level of Service | В | F | A | F | С | | E | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 45.9 | | | 56.8 | | | 49.7 | | | 45.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | E | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 49.6 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.13 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | Si | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 103.6% | IC | U Level o | of Service | 9 | | G | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 1 | + | 4 | ~ | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜ 1₽ | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1038 | 205 | 638 | 358 | 725 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1038 | 205 | 638 | 358 | 725 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 59.0 | 15.0 | 74.0 | 36.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 53.6% | 13.6% | 67.3% | 32.7% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 52.4 | 71.6 | 67.8 | 26.2 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.65 | 0.94 | 0.56 | |
| Control Delay | 39.6 | 72.1 | 16.9 | 73.2 | 1.6 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 39.6 | 72.1 | 17.6 | 73.2 | 1.6 | |
| LOS | D | E | В | Е | А | |
| Approach Delay | 39.6 | | 30.8 | | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | С | | | |
| Internetion Commonly | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offset: 108 (98%), Referenc | ed to phas | e 2:EBT a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | rdinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94 | | | | | | • |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 32 | 2.6 | | | In | ntersection LOS: | С |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion 89.8% | | | IC | CU Level of Servi | ice E |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| Online and Discourse On Mar | adlau - D | a d/D = : ' | | 1.1 | | |

Splits and Phases: 2: Woodlawn Road/Daimler Pkwy & Highway 406 SB Off-Ramp



| | - | 1 | + | 1 | - |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1473 | 223 | 693 | 389 | 788 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.65 | 0.94 | 0.56 |
| Control Delay | 39.6 | 72.1 | 16.9 | 73.2 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 39.6 | 72.1 | 17.6 | 73.2 | 1.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 150.0 | 37.2 | 67.4 | 81.7 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #202.6 | m#71.7 | m126.4 | #136.9 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1565 | 238 | 1061 | 424 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.73 | 0.92 | 0.56 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | • | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ≜t ≽ | | 5 | • | | | | | 7 | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1038 | 317 | 205 | 638 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 0 | 725 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1038 | 317 | 205 | 638 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 0 | 725 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3227 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.07 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3227 | | 118 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1128 | 345 | 223 | 693 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 788 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1447 | 0 | 223 | 693 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 788 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 52.4 | | 67.8 | 67.8 | | | | | 26.2 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 52.4 | | 67.8 | 67.8 | | | | | 26.2 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.48 | | 0.62 | 0.62 | | | | | 0.24 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1537 | | 234 | 1061 | | | | | 415 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.45 | | c0.11 | 0.40 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.48 | | | | | | c0.22 | | 0.56 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.94 | | 0.95 | 0.65 | | | | | 0.94 | | 0.56 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 27.3 | | 33.5 | 13.5 | | | | | 41.1 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.40 | 1.04 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 12.7 | | 36.1 | 2.1 | | | | | 28.6 | | 1.6 |
| Delay (s) | | 40.0 | | 83.0 | 16.2 | | | | | 69.7 | | 1.6 |
| Level of Service | | D | | F | В | | | | | E | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 40.0 | | | 32.4 | | | 0.0 | | | 24.1 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | С | | | A | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 32.8 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.97 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | I | | 89.8% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | - | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | † Ъ | 5 | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | 7 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 1118 | 28 | 1050 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 1118 | 28 | 1050 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 34.2 | 83.6 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 9.0 | 20.4 | 16.0 | 27.4 | 27.4 | |
| Total Split (%) | 28.5% | 69.7% | 41.2% | 41.2% | 41.2% | 7.5% | 17.0% | 13.3% | 22.8% | 22.8% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 81.1 | 76.2 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 22.9 | 12.6 | 32.9 | 19.6 | 19.6 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.65 | 0.27 | 1.01 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.87 | |
| Control Delay | 91.1 | 15.4 | 36.4 | 68.3 | 7.5 | 53.0 | 95.2 | 73.0 | 54.2 | 23.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 91.1 | 15.4 | 36.4 | 68.3 | 7.5 | 53.0 | 95.2 | 73.0 | 54.2 | 23.9 | |
| LOS | F | В | D | E | A | D | F | E | D | С | |
| Approach Delay | | 35.2 | | 54.9 | | | 74.1 | | 42.5 | | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | D | | | E | | D | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2: | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.05 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 45. | 6 | | | lr | ntersectio | n LOS: D | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 101.69 | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | G | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Ø2 (R) | | Ø | 3 1 Ø4 |
|--------|----------------|------|---------------|
| 83.6 s | | 16 s | 20.4 s |
| ▶ Ø5 | ₫ Ø6 | 10 | 7 08 |
| 34.2 s | 49.4 s | 9 s | 27.4 s |

| | ٠ | - | * | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | 1 | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1336 | 30 | 1141 | 310 | 139 | 139 | 253 | 155 | 515 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.65 | 0.27 | 1.01 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.87 | |
| Control Delay | 91.1 | 15.4 | 36.4 | 68.3 | 7.5 | 53.0 | 95.2 | 73.0 | 54.2 | 23.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 91.1 | 15.4 | 36.4 | 68.3 | 7.5 | 53.0 | 95.2 | 73.0 | 54.2 | 23.9 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~108.9 | 95.7 | 5.1 | ~143.1 | 3.4 | 26.1 | 30.8 | 51.9 | 33.8 | 10.6 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #173.2 | 117.8 | 14.0 | #189.6 | 25.7 | 44.2 | #66.6 | #101.9 | 55.3 | #74.3 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 450 | 2054 | 111 | 1131 | 615 | 219 | 164 | 282 | 288 | 592 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.65 | 0.27 | 1.01 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.54 | 0.87 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------|------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | † 1 ₂ | | 5 | ** | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ۲ | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 1118 | 111 | 28 | 1050 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 1118 | 111 | 28 | 1050 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3227 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1443 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.09 | 1.00 | | 0.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 1.00 | | 0.47 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 141 | 3227 | | 320 | 3271 | 1234 | 1031 | 1443 | | 731 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 474 | 1215 | 121 | 30 | 1141 | 310 | 139 | 111 | 28 | 253 | 155 | 515 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 388 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1330 | 0 | 30 | 1141 | 122 | 139 | 132 | 0 | 253 | 155 | 127 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 76.2 | 76.2 | | 41.5 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 18.6 | 12.6 | | 28.6 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 76.2 | 76.2 | | 41.5 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 18.6 | 12.6 | | 28.6 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.64 | | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.10 | | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 444 | 2049 | | 110 | 1131 | 426 | 182 | 151 | | 256 | 281 | 201 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.28 | 0.41 | | | 0.35 | | 0.04 | 0.09 | | c0.11 | 0.09 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.40 | | | 0.09 | | 0.10 | 0.08 | | | c0.13 | | 0.10 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.07 | 0.65 | | 0.27 | 1.01 | 0.29 | 0.76 | 0.87 | | 0.99 | 0.55 | 0.63 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 36.5 | 13.6 | | 28.3 | 39.2 | 28.5 | 47.7 | 52.9 | | 43.9 | 46.2 | 46.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 61.9 | 1.6 | | 1.0 | 28.9 | 0.3 | 16.6 | 38.5 | | 52.4 | 1.9 | 5.5 |
| Delay (s) | 98.4 | 15.2 | | 29.3 | 68.2 | 28.8 | 64.3 | 91.4 | | 96.3 | 48.0 | 52.4 |
| Level of Service | F | В | | С | E | С | E | F | | F | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 37.0 | | | 59.2 | | | 77.9 | | | 63.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | E | | | E | | | E | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 52.3 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.10 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 120.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 101.6% | IC | U Level o | of Service | 9 | | G | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | → | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | t | 1 | ţ |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | 4 | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | 7 | Ţ. | 7 | ţ, |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 662 | 640 | 271 | 594 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 662 | 640 | 271 | 594 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 57.0 | 57.0 | | 15.0 | 72.0 | 19.0 | 38.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 51.8% | 51.8% | | 13.6% | 65.5% | 17.3% | 34.5% | 17.3% | 17.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 50.0 | 50.0 | 110.0 | 69.0 | 65.0 | 35.0 | 29.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.45 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 1.07 | 0.72 | 0.97 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 10.1 | 24.6 | 1.4 | 96.2 | 21.8 | 74.9 | 7.4 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.1 | 24.6 | 1.4 | 96.2 | 21.8 | 74.9 | 7.4 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| LOS | В | С | А | F | С | Е | А | D | D |
| Approach Delay | | 13.2 | | | 45.1 | | 45.8 | | 37.2 |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | D | | D | | D |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 16 (15%), Reference | d to phase | 2:EBTL a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | rdinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.07 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 30 |).4 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: C | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion 100.8° | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | e G | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| 1 Ø1 | ■ Ø2 (R) | 1 Ø4 | |
|-------------|----------|-------------|------|
| 15 s | 57 s | 38 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | • | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 72 s | | 19 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | † | 1 | + |
|------------------------|------|--------|------|-------|-------|--------|----------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 720 | 696 | 295 | 647 | 391 | 297 | 1 | 12 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 1.07 | 0.72 | 0.97 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 10.1 | 24.6 | 1.4 | 96.2 | 21.8 | 74.9 | 7.4 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.1 | 24.6 | 1.4 | 96.2 | 21.8 | 74.9 | 7.4 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | 100.8 | 0.0 | ~45.6 | 92.7 | 75.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.5 | m123.1 | m0.0 | #98.5 | 137.7 | #142.4 | 21.4 | 1.8 | 7.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 291 | 800 | 1262 | 276 | 899 | 402 | 570 | 93 | 149 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 1.07 | 0.72 | 0.97 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.08 |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | → | 7 | * | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | ^ | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | | ٢ | ţ, | | 5 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 662 | 640 | 271 | 594 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 272 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 662 | 640 | 271 | 594 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 272 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.94 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1337 | | 1691 | 1599 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.39 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 641 | 1761 | 1262 | 206 | 1521 | | 975 | 1337 | | 1030 | 1599 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 720 | 696 | 295 | 646 | 1 | 391 | 1 | 296 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 720 | 696 | 295 | 647 | 0 | 391 | 79 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 50.0 | 50.0 | 110.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | | 29.0 | 29.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 50.0 | 50.0 | 110.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | | 29.0 | 29.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.45 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 0.59 | | 0.26 | 0.26 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 291 | 800 | 1262 | 268 | 898 | | 348 | 352 | | 93 | 145 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.41 | | c0.12 | 0.43 | | c0.16 | 0.06 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.55 | c0.53 | | | c0.13 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 1.10 | 0.72 | | 1.12 | 0.22 | | 0.01 | 0.05 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 16.6 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 16.0 | | 39.2 | 31.7 | | 45.5 | 45.7 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.59 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 0.7 | 84.6 | 5.0 | | 86.0 | 0.3 | | 0.2 | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | 9.9 | 23.2 | 0.7 | 111.6 | 21.0 | | 125.3 | 32.0 | | 45.7 | 46.3 | |
| Level of Service | А | С | Α | F | С | | F | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 12.1 | | | 49.4 | | | 85.0 | | | 46.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | D | | | F | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 40.1 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | ity ratio | | 1.17 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 100.8% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | 9 | | G | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 4 | + | 1 | 1 | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜ t} | 5 | † | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1032 | 245 | 681 | 277 | 791 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1032 | 245 | 681 | 277 | 791 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 60.0 | 19.0 | 79.0 | 31.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 54.5% | 17.3% | 71.8% | 28.2% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 54.4 | 76.7 | 72.9 | 21.1 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.49 | 0.70 | 0.66 | 0.19 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.65 | 0.90 | 0.61 | |
| Control Delay | 35.8 | 58.2 | 9.8 | 74.0 | 1.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 37.0 | 58.2 | 10.2 | 74.0 | 1.9 | |
| LOS | D | Е | В | Е | А | |
| Approach Delay | 37.0 | | 22.9 | | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | С | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%). Referenced to | o phase 2: | EBT and | 6:WBTL | Start of G | Green | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | • p =. | | ••••=•=, | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Cool | rdinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio 0.94 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 27 | 79 | | | In | tersection | OS' C |
| Intersection Canacity Utilizat | tion 87 7% | | | IC | CU Level of | Service F |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: Woo | odlawn Ro | ad/Daimle | er Pkwy 8 | Highway | 406 SB Of | f-Ramp |



| | → | * | + | 1 | ~ |
|------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1490 | 266 | 740 | 301 | 860 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.65 | 0.90 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay | 35.8 | 58.2 | 9.8 | 74.0 | 1.9 |
| Queue Delay | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 37.0 | 58.2 | 10.2 | 74.0 | 1.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 149.8 | 40.5 | 65.6 | 63.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #202.9 | m#71.2 | m105.9 | #109.8 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1619 | 289 | 1142 | 345 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 0.87 | 0.61 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. #

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | 7 | + | | | | | ۲ | | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1032 | 339 | 245 | 681 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 0 | 791 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1032 | 339 | 245 | 681 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 0 | 791 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3221 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.07 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3221 | | 114 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1122 | 368 | 266 | 740 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 301 | 0 | 860 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1462 | 0 | 266 | 740 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 301 | 0 | 860 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 54.3 | | 72.9 | 72.9 | | | | | 21.1 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 54.3 | | 72.9 | 72.9 | | | | | 21.1 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.49 | | 0.66 | 0.66 | | | | | 0.19 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1590 | | 279 | 1141 | | | | | 334 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.45 | | c0.13 | 0.43 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.49 | | | | | | c0.17 | | 0.61 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.92 | | 0.95 | 0.65 | | | | | 0.90 | | 0.61 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 25.8 | | 34.8 | 11.0 | | | | | 43.4 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.02 | 0.70 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 10.1 | | 28.6 | 1.6 | | | | | 26.0 | | 1.9 |
| Delay (s) | | 35.9 | | 64.0 | 9.3 | | | | | 69.5 | | 1.9 |
| Level of Service | | D | | E | А | | | | | E | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 35.9 | | | 23.7 | | | 0.0 | | | 19.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | С | | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 27.3 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.97 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 87.7% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | → | 4 | + | • | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ≜ 1₽ | 7 | ^ | 1 | 7 | ħ | 7 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 1011 | 18 | 1097 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 1011 | 18 | 1097 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 43.0 | 86.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 9.0 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 33.1% | 66.2% | 33.1% | 33.1% | 33.1% | 6.9% | 17.7% | 16.2% | 26.9% | 26.9% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 83.0 | 78.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 26.0 | 15.7 | 41.0 | 27.7 | 27.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.21 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.60 | 0.62 | 0.21 | 1.35 | 0.73 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.01 | |
| Control Delay | 305.7 | 18.1 | 43.3 | 202.5 | 21.4 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 49.3 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 305.7 | 18.1 | 43.3 | 202.5 | 21.4 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 49.3 | |
| LOS | F | В | D | F | С | E | F | F | D | D | |
| Approach Delay | | 133.7 | | 156.6 | | | 174.7 | | 102.3 | | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | F | | | F | | F | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2: | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | linated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.60 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 136 | 5.1 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: F | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 136.49 | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | θH | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| ø2 (R) | Ø3 | 1 Ø4 | |
|-----------------|------|-------------|------|
| 86 s | | 21 s | 23 s |
| ▶ _{Ø5} | Ø6 | ▲ Ø7 | |
| 43 s | 43 s | 9 s 35 s | |

| | ٠ | - | * | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | Ŧ | ~ | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|--------|------|--------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1215 | 20 | 1192 | 388 | 147 | 249 | 370 | 193 | 714 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.60 | 0.62 | 0.21 | 1.35 | 0.73 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.01 | |
| Control Delay | 305.7 | 18.1 | 43.3 | 202.5 | 21.4 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 49.3 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 305.7 | 18.1 | 43.3 | 202.5 | 21.4 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 49.3 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~285.8 | 98.0 | 4.0 | ~210.2 | 25.9 | 28.6 | ~84.7 | ~111.0 | 44.2 | ~63.3 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #362.0 | 119.3 | 11.7 | #252.2 | 66.3 | #48.6 | #137.7 | #172.1 | 68.6 | #145.5 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 511 | 1944 | 97 | 883 | 533 | 221 | 180 | 265 | 366 | 704 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.60 | 0.63 | 0.21 | 1.35 | 0.73 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.01 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | → | 7 | 1 | • | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------|------|-------------|------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | † 1 ₂ | | ٦ | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | 5 | * | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 1011 | 107 | 18 | 1097 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 1011 | 107 | 18 | 1097 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3225 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1477 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.10 | 1.00 | | 0.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 164 | 3225 | | 362 | 3271 | 1234 | 995 | 1477 | | 335 | 1722 | 1234 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 816 | 1099 | 116 | 20 | 1192 | 388 | 147 | 236 | 13 | 370 | 193 | 714 | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 441 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1209 | 0 | 20 | 1192 | 187 | 147 | 247 | 0 | 370 | 193 | 273 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 78.1 | 78.1 | | 35.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 21.7 | 15.7 | | 36.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 78.1 | 78.1 | | 35.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 21.7 | 15.7 | | 36.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.60 | | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.12 | | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.21 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 505 | 1937 | | 97 | 883 | 333 | 188 | 178 | | 253 | 366 | 262 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.50 | 0.37 | | | 0.36 | | 0.04 | 0.17 | | c0.20 | 0.11 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.47 | | | 0.06 | | 0.15 | 0.09 | | | c0.21 | | 0.22 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.62 | 0.62 | | 0.21 | 1.35 | 0.56 | 0.78 | 1.39 | | 1.46 | 0.53 | 1.04 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 37.9 | 16.6 | | 36.7 | 47.5 | 40.8 | 50.8 | 57.1 | | 42.0 | 45.3 | 51.1 | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 286.2 | 1.5 | | 0.8 | 165.0 | 1.8 | 18.3 | 205.8 | | 228.6 | 1.0 | 66.5 | |
| Delay (s) | 324.1 | 18.1 | | 37.5 | 212.4 | 42.6 | 69.1 | 262.9 | | 270.6 | 46.4 | 117.6 | |
| Level of Service | F | В | | D | F | D | E | F | | F | D | F | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 141.1 | | | 169.1 | | | 191.0 | | | 151.2 | | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | | F | | | F | | | F | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 155.7 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | F | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.64 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 130.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 136.4% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | 9 | | Н | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX 12

Sensitivity Analysis #2 – 25% Increase to Residential Density – Queueing Analysis Results



Page 239 of 497

| Future Total (2051) Conditions Model – Bridge Adjustment (+25%) – No Train – Microsimulation Results | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Intersection | | Max Queue | | | | | | | |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 37 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBT | 55 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 53 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBL | 28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 28 | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 28 | | | | | | | |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 26 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 16 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 13 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 87 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBR | 15 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 132 | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | Woodlawa Road and Highway | EBT | 132 | | | | | | | |
| | | 406 SP Rome Terminal | SBL | 35 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 40 | | | | | | | |
| JINC | | | WBT | 82 | | | | | | | |
| Н , | | | EBL | 2 | | | | | | | |
| eak | | | EBT | 62 | | | | | | | |
| A P | | | EBR | - | | | | | | | |
| AN | | | NBL | 51 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 60 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 69 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBR | 69 | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 34 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 58 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBT | 58 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 58 | | | | | | | |
| | - | | NBL | 40 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 18 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 31 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 124 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBT | 222 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 222 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBL | 43 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 61 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | vvoodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 61 | | | | | | | |

| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 69 |
|--------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | | SBT | 67 |
| | | | SBR | 78 |
| | | | WBL | 16 |
| | | | WBT | 159 |
| | | | WBR | 38 |
| | | | EBR | 450 |
| | | Woodlown Road and Highway | EBT | 453 |
| | 2 | | SBL | 298 |
| | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 54 |
| our | | | WBT | 193 |
| H | | | EBL | 7 |
| eak | | | EBT | 219 |
| I Pe | | | EBR | - |
| ΡM | | | NBL | 122 |
| | | | NBT | 107 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 107 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 8 |
| | | | SBR | 8 |
| | | | WBL | 68 |
| | | | WBT | 70 |
| | | | WBR | 70 |
| | 1 | Rail Crossing | EBT | 75 |
| | | Tail 01033ing | WBT | 39 |
| | | | EBL | 75 |
| | 5 | | EBT | 75 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 75 |
| | | Daimier Fantway and Offeet A | NBL | 123 |
| | | | SBR | 14 |
| | | | WBT | 36 |
| | | | EBL | 275 |
| | | | EBT | 323 |
| | | | EBR | 323 |
| | | | NBL | 44 |
| | | | NBT | 81 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 80 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 95 |
| | | | SBT | 99 |
| | | | SBR | 103 |
| | | | WBL | 13 |
| | | | WBT | 157 |
| | | | WBR | 49 |
| | | | EBR | 202 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 203 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 78 |
| 5 | | | WBL | 54 |
| Jou | | | WBT | 111 |
| х Т | | | EBL | 9 |

| ea | | | EBT | 158 |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| <u>с</u> Г | | | EBR | - |
| -AS | | | NBL | 80 |
| 0, | | | NBT | 43 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 43 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 4 |
| | | | SBT | 10 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBL | 60 |
| | | | WBT | 70 |
| | | | WBR | 70 |
| | ٨ | Rail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | | | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 156 |
| | | | EBT | 156 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 156 |
| | 5 | Daimier r arkway and Offeet A | NBL | 71 |
| | | | SBR | 16 |
| | | | WBT | 37 |

| Future Total (2051) Conditions Model – Bridge Adjustment (+25%) – With Train – Microsimulation Results | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--------------------------------|----------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Intersection | | Max Queue | | | | | | | |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 36 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBT | 55 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 53 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBL | 28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 28 | | | | | | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 28 | | | | | | | |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 26 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 16 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 14 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 89 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBR | 17 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBR | 130 | | | | | | | |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highwoy | EBT | 130 | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 106 SB Ramp Torminal | SBL | 34 | | | | | | | |
| <u>ر</u> | | | WBL | 41 | | | | | | | |
| our | | | WBT | 91 | | | | | | | |
| Ĭ | | | EBL | 2 | | | | | | | |
| eat | 3 | | EBT | 63 | | | | | | | |
| A N | | | EBR | - | | | | | | | |
| AN | | | NBL | 53 | | | | | | | |
| | | | NBT | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBT | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBR | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBL | 62 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBT | 70 | | | | | | | |
| | | | WBR | 70 | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | 55 | | | | | | | |
| | | Ŭ T | WBI | 147 | | | | | | | |
| | | | EBL | 63 | | | | | | | |
| | | | ER1 | 63 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBK | 63 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 44 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SBK | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <u>ک</u> 4 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 129 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 200 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 200 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 40 | | | | | | | |
| | | Woodlawn Pood and Primowov | | 71 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Bood | | 70 | | | | | | | |
| | | | SDL | 10 | | | | | | | |

| | | | SBT | 76 |
|----------|-----|---|-----|-----|
| | | | SBR | 82 |
| | | | WBL | 15 |
| | | | WBT | 171 |
| | | | WBR | 37 |
| | | | EBR | 483 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 484 |
| aak Hour | 2 | 406 SB Pamp Terminal | SBL | 368 |
| | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 57 |
| | | | WBT | 202 |
| | | | EBL | 6 |
| | | | EBT | 220 |
| 1 Pe | | | EBR | - |
| ZA | | | NBL | 132 |
| | | | NBT | 131 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 131 |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 8 |
| | | | SBR | 8 |
| | | | WBL | 68 |
| | | | WBT | 70 |
| | | | WBR | 70 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | 75 |
| | - T | | WBT | 130 |
| | | | EBL | 75 |
| | | | EBT | 75 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street ' Δ ' | EBR | 75 |
| | 5 | Daimier i artway and Street A | NBL | 122 |
| | | | SBR | 13 |
| | | | WBT | 35 |
| | | | | |

APPENDIX 13

Sensitivity Analysis #3 – 10% Decrease to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Synchro Analysis Results



Page 245 of 497

| | ٠ | → | 7 | 4 | + | 1 | t | ŧ | |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | 1 | 1 | ሻ | ħ | 7 | ħ | ef 👔 | _ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 198 | 669 | 271 | 499 | 233 | 1 | 1 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 198 | 669 | 271 | 499 | 233 | 1 | 1 | |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | 50.0 | 50.0 | | 19.0 | 69.0 | 22.0 | 41.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 45.5% | 45.5% | | 17.3% | 62.7% | 20.0% | 37.3% | 17.3% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.6 | 44.6 | 110.0 | 66.0 | 62.0 | 38.0 | 32.0 | 10.9 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.23 | 0.01 | |
| Control Delay | 5.0 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 13.6 | 20.5 | 33.8 | 7.2 | 39.0 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 5.0 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 13.6 | 20.5 | 33.8 | 7.2 | 39.0 | |
| LOS | A | A | A | В | С | С | A | D | |
| Approach Delay | | 9.1 | | | 18.0 | | 26.0 | 39.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | С | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 49 (45%), Reference | d to phase | 2:EBTL, | Start of G | Green | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 75 | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coor | rdinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 15 | 5.5 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion 85.7% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | θE | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| √ Ø1 | ■ → 102 (R) | ₫ ø4 | ↑ Ø4 | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 19 s | 50 s | 41 s | | | | | | |
| ₹ø6 | | 1 07 | Ø8 | | | | | |
| 69 s | | 22 s | 19 s | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | ← | 1 | t. | Ŧ |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 215 | 727 | 295 | 542 | 253 | 105 | 2 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.23 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 5.0 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 13.6 | 20.5 | 33.8 | 7.2 | 39.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.0 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 13.6 | 20.5 | 33.8 | 7.2 | 39.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.1 | 13.1 | 61.9 | 29.3 | 74.7 | 41.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.1 | m18.7 | 72.1 | 44.9 | 110.4 | 65.4 | 12.4 | 2.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 306 | 713 | 1262 | 643 | 857 | 450 | 462 | 157 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0.63 | 0.56 | 0.23 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ٢ | ţ, | | 5 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 198 | 669 | 271 | 499 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 198 | 669 | 271 | 499 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | 0.93 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1522 | | 1606 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.46 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.56 | 1.00 | | 0.59 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 756 | 1761 | 1262 | 916 | 1522 | | 1003 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 215 | 727 | 295 | 542 | 0 | 253 | 1 | 104 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 215 | 727 | 295 | 542 | 0 | 253 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 44.6 | 44.6 | 110.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | | 32.0 | 32.0 | | | 10.9 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 44.6 | 44.6 | 110.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | | 32.0 | 32.0 | | | 10.9 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 0.56 | 0.56 | | 0.29 | 0.29 | | | 0.10 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 306 | 714 | 1262 | 599 | 857 | | 391 | 389 | | | 156 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.12 | | 0.06 | c0.36 | | 0.11 | 0.02 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.00 | | c0.58 | 0.21 | | | 0.08 | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.49 | 0.63 | | 0.65 | 0.08 | | | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 19.5 | 22.1 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 16.3 | | 32.9 | 28.3 | | | 44.7 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.24 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.5 | | 3.7 | 0.1 | | | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 4.7 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 13.7 | 17.8 | | 36.5 | 28.4 | | | 44.8 | |
| Level of Service | A | A | A | В | В | | D | С | | | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 2.8 | | | 16.4 | | | 34.2 | | | 44.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | С | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 13.4 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | ity ratio | | 0.72 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | 22.0 | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizati | ion | | 85.7% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | 9 | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 1 | + | 4 | 4 | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|-------|--------------|-----------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜ ⊅ | 5 | ↑ | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 768 | 225 | 501 | 100 | 634 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 768 | 225 | 501 | 100 | 634 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 57.0 | 25.0 | 82.0 | 28.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 51.8% | 22.7% | 74.5% | 25.5% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 43.9 | 64.9 | 61.1 | 32.9 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 0.30 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.49 | |
| Control Delay | 33.8 | 45.0 | 13.2 | 33.9 | 1.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 33.8 | 45.0 | 13.2 | 33.9 | 1.2 | |
| LOS | С | D | В | С | А | |
| Approach Delay | 33.8 | | 23.1 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | С | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offect: 0 (0%) Referenced to | nhaca 8. | CDI Cta | rt of Groo | 'n | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | phase o. | SDL, Stai | | 11 | | |
| Control Type: Actuated Coor | dinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Patio: 0.82 | unaleu | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 22 | 2 | | | In | torsoction I | 08.0 |
| Intersection Canacity Litilizati | .2 on 63 6% | | | | | Sorvico P |
| Analysis Deriod (min) 15 | 011 00.0 % | | | IC. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Splits and Dhasas 2: Mas | dlawa Da | od/Doimle | | | | Domo |



| | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|------|
| L | EDT | | | | 000 |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WRI | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1083 | 245 | 545 | 109 | 689 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.49 |
| Control Delay | 33.8 | 45.0 | 13.2 | 33.9 | 1.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 33.8 | 45.0 | 13.2 | 33.9 | 1.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 102.3 | 29.4 | 51.1 | 17.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 117.5 | 48.5 | 53.3 | 36.6 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1499 | 416 | 1177 | 521 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.72 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.49 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 4 1> | | 5 | + | | | | | ۲ | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 768 | 228 | 225 | 501 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 634 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 768 | 228 | 225 | 501 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 634 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3230 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.14 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3230 | | 229 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 835 | 248 | 245 | 545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 689 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1055 | 0 | 245 | 545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 689 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 43.9 | | 64.9 | 61.1 | | | | | 32.9 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 43.9 | | 64.9 | 61.1 | | | | | 32.9 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.40 | | 0.59 | 0.56 | | | | | 0.30 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1289 | | 306 | 956 | | | | | 521 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.33 | | c0.10 | 0.32 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.37 | | | | | | 0.06 | | c0.49 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.82 | | 0.80 | 0.57 | | | | | 0.21 | | 0.49 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 29.5 | | 32.1 | 15.9 | | | | | 28.8 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.91 | 0.74 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.2 | | 11.3 | 0.7 | | | | | 0.9 | | 1.2 |
| Delay (s) | | 33.7 | | 40.4 | 12.5 | | | | | 29.7 | | 1.2 |
| Level of Service | | С | | D | В | | | | | С | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 33.7 | | | 21.2 | | | 0.0 | | | 5.1 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | С | | | A | | | A | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 21.4 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.78 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 1 | | 63.6% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|--|---|-------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ≜ †} | 7 | ^ | 1 | ۲ | ¢Î, | 7 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 831 | 16 | 1018 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 831 | 16 | 1018 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 16.0 | 70.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 9.0 | 19.5 | 10.5 | 21.0 | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 16.0% | 70.0% | 54.0% | 54.0% | 54.0% | 9.0% | 19.5% | 10.5% | 21.0% | 21.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 72.1 | 67.2 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 19.3 | 11.4 | 20.3 | 10.4 | 10.4 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.07 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | 10.8 | 9.1 | 14.6 | 18.6 | 3.4 | 37.4 | 41.3 | 30.1 | 46.1 | 15.8 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 10.8 | 9.1 | 14.6 | 18.6 | 3.4 | 37.4 | 41.3 | 30.1 | 46.1 | 15.8 | |
| LOS | В | А | В | В | А | D | D | С | D | В | |
| Approach Delay | | 9.4 | | 17.2 | | | 39.1 | | 25.8 | | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | В | | | D | | С | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 16. | Itersection Signal Delay: 16.0 Intersection LOS: B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | section Capacity Utilization 67.7% ICU Level of Service C | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Ø2 (R) | | Ø3 | 1 Ø4 |
|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| 70 s | | 10.5 s | 19.5 s |
| <u>→</u> Ø5 | | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 16 s | 54 s | 9s 2 | 1s |
| | ۶ | - | 1 | ← | * | 1 | Ť | 4 | ţ | 4 | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 1006 | 17 | 1107 | 110 | 97 | 74 | 35 | 61 | 138 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.07 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | 10.8 | 9.1 | 14.6 | 18.6 | 3.4 | 37.4 | 41.3 | 30.1 | 46.1 | 15.8 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 10.8 | 9.1 | 14.6 | 18.6 | 3.4 | 37.4 | 41.3 | 30.1 | 46.1 | 15.8 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.5 | 44.4 | 1.5 | 74.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 11.2 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 17.1 | 65.0 | 5.9 | 113.3 | 8.7 | 28.1 | 24.3 | 12.6 | 22.7 | 16.8 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 359 | 2172 | 246 | 1804 | 731 | 233 | 201 | 257 | 235 | 288 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.07 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.48 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|------|-------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ≜t ≽ | | 7 | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ٦ | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 831 | 95 | 16 | 1018 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 831 | 95 | 16 | 1018 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3221 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1428 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.18 | 1.00 | | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.00 | | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 282 | 3221 | | 446 | 3271 | 1234 | 1083 | 1428 | | 1109 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 155 | 903 | 103 | 17 | 1107 | 110 | 97 | 54 | 20 | 35 | 61 | 138 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 998 | 0 | 17 | 1107 | 59 | 97 | 61 | 0 | 35 | 61 | 15 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 66.0 | 66.0 | | 54.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 16.2 | 11.4 | | 15.4 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 66.0 | 66.0 | | 54.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 16.2 | 11.4 | | 15.4 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.66 | 0.66 | | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.11 | | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 294 | 2125 | | 240 | 1766 | 666 | 194 | 162 | | 187 | 189 | 135 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.05 | 0.31 | | | c0.34 | | c0.02 | 0.04 | | 0.01 | 0.04 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.30 | | | 0.04 | | 0.05 | c0.06 | | | 0.02 | | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.47 | | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.50 | 0.37 | | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.11 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 8.8 | 8.4 | | 11.0 | 16.0 | 11.1 | 37.7 | 41.0 | | 36.6 | 41.1 | 40.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 10.4 | 9.1 | | 11.1 | 16.6 | 11.2 | 39.2 | 42.1 | | 37.0 | 41.8 | 40.4 |
| Level of Service | В | А | | В | В | В | D | D | | D | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 9.3 | | | 16.0 | | | 40.5 | | | 40.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 16.8 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.59 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 100.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 67.7% | IC | CU Level of | of Service | e | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | † | 1 | Ŧ | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | • | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | 5 | ţ, | 5 | ţ, | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 595 | 618 | 177 | 377 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 595 | 618 | 177 | 377 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 54.0 | 54.0 | | 13.0 | 67.0 | 24.0 | 43.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 49.1% | 49.1% | | 11.8% | 60.9% | 21.8% | 39.1% | 17.3% | 17.3% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 34.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.09 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.86 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 0.50 | 0.84 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | |
| Control Delay | 11.7 | 27.4 | 2.5 | 28.6 | 18.2 | 47.7 | 5.0 | 46.0 | 0.1 | | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Delay | 11.7 | 27.4 | 2.5 | 28.6 | 18.2 | 47.7 | 5.0 | 46.0 | 0.1 | | |
| LOS | В | С | A | С | В | D | A | D | A | | |
| Approach Delay | | 14.7 | | | 21.5 | | 29.7 | | 9.3 | | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | С | | A | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 8 (7%), Referenced | to phase 2 | EBTL and | d 6:WBTL | , Start of | Green | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coc | oordinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 2 | y: 20.2 Intersection LOS: C | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation 91.6% | 1 | | [(| CU Level | of Service | e F | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| 1 Ø1 | ▲ Ø2 (R) | ₼ ø4 | |
|-------------|----------|-------------|------|
| 13 s | 54 s | 43 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | | ▲ Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 67 s | | 24 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | - | > | 1 | - | 1 | | 1 | Ļ |
|------------------------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|
| Lane Group | FBI | FBT | FBR | WRI | WBT | NBI | NBT | SBI | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 647 | 672 | 192 | 411 | 400 | 291 | 2 | 8 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.86 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 0.50 | 0.84 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 11.7 | 27.4 | 2.5 | 28.6 | 18.2 | 47.7 | 5.0 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 11.7 | 27.4 | 2.5 | 28.6 | 18.2 | 47.7 | 5.0 | 46.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.0 | 108.2 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 52.3 | 72.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.0 | m#91.7 | m22.2 | #35.9 | 78.2 | #123.5 | 14.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 364 | 752 | 1262 | 266 | 830 | 475 | 629 | 94 | 451 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.86 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 0.50 | 0.84 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | • | * | 1 | t | 1 | 4 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 3 | • | 1 | ۲ | î, | | ۲ | ţ, | | 5 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 595 | 618 | 177 | 377 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 268 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 595 | 618 | 177 | 377 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 268 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1336 | | 1691 | 1450 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.52 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 853 | 1761 | 1262 | 256 | 1521 | | 978 | 1336 | | 1036 | 1450 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 647 | 672 | 192 | 410 | 1 | 400 | 0 | 291 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 647 | 672 | 192 | 411 | 0 | 400 | 90 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | | 0.31 | 0.31 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 364 | 752 | 1262 | 257 | 829 | | 422 | 412 | | 94 | 131 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.37 | | c0.07 | 0.27 | | c0.18 | 0.07 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.53 | 0.34 | | | c0.11 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.86 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.50 | | 0.95 | 0.22 | | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.2 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 15.6 | | 35.6 | 28.2 | | 45.5 | 45.5 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.63 | 0.65 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 7.5 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 2.1 | | 30.5 | 0.3 | | 0.4 | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 11.5 | 26.2 | 0.9 | 30.4 | 17.7 | | 66.1 | 28.4 | | 46.0 | 45.6 | |
| Level of Service | В | С | A | С | В | | E | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 13.3 | | | 21.7 | | | 50.2 | | | 45.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 25.1 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | ity ratio | | 0.91 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 91.6% | IC | U Level o | of Service | e | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 1 | + | 4 | ~ | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | † Ъ | 5 | ^ | ٢ | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 914 | 175 | 560 | 305 | 725 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 914 | 175 | 560 | 305 | 725 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 48.8 | 18.0 | 66.8 | 43.2 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 44.4% | 16.4% | 60.7% | 39.3% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 51.9 | 71.7 | 67.9 | 26.1 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.87 | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.80 | 0.56 | |
| Control Delay | 34.0 | 35.5 | 10.9 | 53.9 | 1.6 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 34.0 | 35.5 | 11.1 | 53.9 | 1.6 | |
| LOS | С | D | В | D | А | |
| Approach Delay | 34.0 | | 16.9 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | В | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |) | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | to phase 2: | EBT and | 6:WBTL | Start of G | Green | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | , | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 2 | 24.0 | | | In | itersection | LOS: C |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation 80.8% | | | IC | CULevelo | f Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | , _, | |
| , , , , | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: Wo | odlawn Ro | ad/Daimle | er Pkwy 8 | k Highway | 406 SB C | ff-Ramp |
| 6 | 222 (2) | | | | | |

| €ø1 | ♥ → Ø2 (R) | 12.0 | |
|--------|------------|------|--------|
| 18 s | 48.8 s | | |
| Ø6 (R) | • | 35 | 08 |
| 66.8 s | | | 43.2 s |

| | → | 4 | + | 4 | ~ |
|------------------------|----------|-------|--------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1338 | 190 | 609 | 332 | 788 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.87 | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.80 | 0.56 |
| Control Delay | 34.0 | 35.5 | 10.9 | 53.9 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.0 | 35.5 | 11.1 | 53.9 | 1.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 127.8 | 19.1 | 75.2 | 67.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #205.6 | m42.2 | m105.9 | 90.4 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1545 | 283 | 1062 | 538 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.87 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.56 |
| Interpretion Cummon | | | | | |

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. #

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | • | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 4 1> | | 5 | • | | | | | ٦ | | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 914 | 317 | 175 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 725 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 914 | 317 | 175 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 725 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3216 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.08 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3216 | | 131 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 993 | 345 | 190 | 609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 788 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1311 | 0 | 190 | 609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 788 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 52.0 | | 67.9 | 67.9 | | | | | 26.1 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 52.0 | | 67.9 | 67.9 | | | | | 26.1 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.47 | | 0.62 | 0.62 | | | | | 0.24 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1520 | | 247 | 1062 | | | | | 413 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.41 | | c0.09 | 0.35 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | 0.38 | | | | | | c0.19 | | 0.56 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.86 | | 0.77 | 0.57 | | | | | 0.80 | | 0.56 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 25.8 | | 26.9 | 12.5 | | | | | 39.5 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.94 | 0.65 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 6.7 | | 10.3 | 1.7 | | | | | 10.8 | | 1.6 |
| Delay (s) | | 32.5 | | 35.7 | 9.8 | | | | | 50.4 | | 1.6 |
| Level of Service | | С | | D | А | | | | | D | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 32.5 | | | 15.9 | | | 0.0 | | | 16.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | A | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 22.8 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.83 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | า | | 80.8% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | D | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ≜ †₽ | 7 | ^ | 1 | 7 | ¢Î, | 5 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 994 | 28 | 972 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 994 | 28 | 972 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 34.3 | 83.0 | 48.7 | 48.7 | 48.7 | 9.0 | 21.6 | 15.4 | 28.0 | 28.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 28.6% | 69.2% | 40.6% | 40.6% | 40.6% | 7.5% | 18.0% | 12.8% | 23.3% | 23.3% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 81.0 | 76.1 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 23.6 | 13.3 | 33.0 | 19.7 | 19.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.24 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.86 | |
| Control Delay | 86.1 | 14.2 | 34.9 | 56.4 | 6.2 | 51.2 | 84.4 | 72.9 | 53.8 | 22.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 86.1 | 14.2 | 34.9 | 56.4 | 6.2 | 51.2 | 84.4 | 72.9 | 53.8 | 22.2 | |
| LOS | F | В | С | E | А | D | F | E | D | С | |
| Approach Delay | | 34.5 | | 44.8 | | | 67.8 | | 41.4 | | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | D | | | E | | D | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2: | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.04 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 41. | 5 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: D | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 99.3% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | • F | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø2 (R) | | | | Ø3 | | TØ4 | 22 |
|--------|--------|----|------|----|------|--------|----|
| 83 s | | 15 | .4 s | | | 21.6 s | |
| ▶ Ø5 | Ø6 | • | 1 | 07 | 40 | 8 | |
| 34.3 s | 48.7 s | 9 | ; | | 28 s | - 222 | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | Ŧ | 1 | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1201 | 30 | 1057 | 310 | 139 | 139 | 253 | 155 | 515 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.24 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.86 | |
| Control Delay | 86.1 | 14.2 | 34.9 | 56.4 | 6.2 | 51.2 | 84.4 | 72.9 | 53.8 | 22.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 86.1 | 14.2 | 34.9 | 56.4 | 6.2 | 51.2 | 84.4 | 72.9 | 53.8 | 22.2 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~108.8 | 81.3 | 5.1 | 127.1 | 0.2 | 25.9 | 30.2 | 51.5 | 33.6 | 8.8 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #173.1 | 100.5 | 13.6 | #169.9 | 20.6 | 43.9 | #62.5 | #100.2 | 54.9 | #70.6 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 457 | 2050 | 124 | 1112 | 623 | 225 | 180 | 282 | 297 | 603 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.24 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.90 | 0.52 | 0.85 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------|------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | † 1 ₂ | | 7 | ^ | 1 | ň | ţ, | | ۲ | 1 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 994 | 111 | 28 | 972 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 994 | 111 | 28 | 972 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3222 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1443 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.09 | 1.00 | | 0.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 1.00 | | 0.48 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 143 | 3222 | | 367 | 3271 | 1234 | 1031 | 1443 | | 749 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 474 | 1080 | 121 | 30 | 1057 | 310 | 139 | 111 | 28 | 253 | 155 | 515 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1194 | 0 | 30 | 1057 | 106 | 139 | 131 | 0 | 253 | 155 | 120 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 76.1 | 76.1 | | 40.8 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 19.3 | 13.3 | | 28.7 | 19.7 | 19.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 76.1 | 76.1 | | 40.8 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 19.3 | 13.3 | | 28.7 | 19.7 | 19.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.63 | 0.63 | | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.16 | 0.11 | | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 452 | 2043 | | 124 | 1112 | 419 | 188 | 159 | | 255 | 282 | 202 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.28 | 0.37 | | | 0.32 | | 0.04 | 0.09 | | c0.10 | 0.09 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.38 | | | 0.08 | | 0.09 | 0.08 | | | c0.13 | | 0.10 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.58 | | 0.24 | 0.95 | 0.25 | 0.74 | 0.82 | | 0.99 | 0.55 | 0.60 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 36.1 | 12.8 | | 28.5 | 38.6 | 28.6 | 47.0 | 52.2 | | 44.0 | 46.1 | 46.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 55.7 | 1.2 | | 0.7 | 16.5 | 0.2 | 13.5 | 27.4 | | 54.0 | 1.7 | 3.9 |
| Delay (s) | 91.8 | 14.0 | | 29.2 | 55.1 | 28.8 | 60.5 | 79.6 | | 97.9 | 47.8 | 50.4 |
| Level of Service | F | В | | С | E | С | E | E | | F | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 36.0 | | | 48.7 | | | 70.1 | | | 63.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | E | | | E | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 48.2 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.09 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 120.0 | Si | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 99.3% | IC | U Level o | of Service | 9 | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL NBT SBL SBT Trafic Volume (vph) 7 511 640 231 461 360 1 1 6 Turn Type Perm NA Free pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA Perm NA Protected Phases 2 1 6 7 4 8 8 Detector Phase 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 8 Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 <th></th> <th>٠</th> <th>→</th> <th>7</th> <th>1</th> <th>+</th> <th>1</th> <th>Ť</th> <th>4</th> <th>Ŧ</th> | | ٠ | → | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | Ť | 4 | Ŧ |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Configurations Image: Configuration of the second of th | Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Traffic Volume (vph) 7 511 640 231 461 360 1 1 6 Future Volume (vph) 7 511 640 231 461 360 1 1 6 Future Volume (vph) 7 511 640 231 461 360 1 1 6 Prometed Phases 2 1 6 7 4 8 8 Permitted Phases 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 8 Switch Phase 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 8 Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 Total Split (%) 46.4% 46.4% 14.5% 60.9% 21.8% 39.1% 17.3% 17.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | Lane Configurations | ۲ | + | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | 7 | ħ | 7 | el 🔒 |
| Future Volume (vph) 7 511 640 231 461 360 1 1 6 Turn Type Perm NA Free pm+pt NA pm+pt NA perm NA Protected Phases 2 I 6 7 4 8 Detector Phase 2 2 I 6 7 4 8 Detector Phase 2 2 I 6 7 4 8 Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 | Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 511 | 640 | 231 | 461 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Turn Type Perm NA Free pm+pt NA pm+pt NA Perm NA Protected Phases 2 1 6 7 4 8 Permitted Phases 2 1 6 7 4 8 Switch Phase 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 Minimum Shita (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10 | Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 511 | 640 | 231 | 461 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Protected Phases 2 1 6 7 4 8 Permitted Phases 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 Detector Phase 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 Detector Phase 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 Minimum Split (s) 22.0 22.0 13.0 22.0 18.0 24.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 51.0 51.0 51.0 16.0 67.0 24.0 4.0 40.0 Cotal Split (%) 46.4% 46.4% 14.5% 60.9% 21.8% 39.1% 17.3% 17.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.7 3.7 0.0 3.7 0.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 <th< td=""><td>Turn Type</td><td>Perm</td><td>NA</td><td>Free</td><td>pm+pt</td><td>NA</td><td>pm+pt</td><td>NA</td><td>Perm</td><td>NA</td></th<> | Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA |
| Permitted Phases 2 Free 6 4 8 Detector Phase 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 8 Switch Phase 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 10.0 Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 51.0 51.0 16.0 67.0 24.0 43.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 31.0 51.0 16.0 67.0 24.0 43.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 5.0 6.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 | Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 |
| Detector Phase 2 2 1 6 7 4 8 8 Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 1 | Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Switch Phase Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 10.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 10.0 Minimum Split (s) 22.0 22.0 13.0 22.0 18.0 24.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 51.0 51.0 51.0 60.9% 21.8% 39.1% 17.3% 17.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.7 3.7 0.0 3.7 0.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 | Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 10.0 Minimum Split (s) 22.0 22.0 13.0 22.0 18.0 24.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 51.0 51.0 51.0 16.0 67.0 24.0 43.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 46.4% 46.4% 14.5% 60.9% 21.8% 39.1% 17.3% 17.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.7 3.7 0.0 3.7 0.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 <t< td=""><td>Switch Phase</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Split (s) 22.0 22.0 13.0 22.0 18.0 24.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 51.0 51.0 16.0 67.0 24.0 43.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (s) 3.3 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 5.0 5.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.7 3.7 0.0 3.7 0.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 | Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (s) 51.0 51.0 16.0 67.0 24.0 43.0 19.0 19.0 Total Split (%) 46.4% 46.4% 14.5% 60.9% 21.8% 39.1% 17.3% 17.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.7 3.7 0.0 3.7 0.0 4.0 4.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 7.0 7.0 3.0 7.0 3.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 Lead/Lag Lag Lag< | Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) 46.4% 46.4% 14.5% 60.9% 21.8% 39.1% 17.3% 17.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 5.0 5.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.7 3.7 0.0 3.7 0.0 4.0 4.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 7.0 7.0 3.0 7.0 3.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 Lead/Lag Lag Lag Lead Lead Lag | Total Split (s) | 51.0 | 51.0 | | 16.0 | 67.0 | 24.0 | 43.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.7 3.7 0.0 3.7 0.0 4.0 4.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 7.0 7.0 3.0 7.0 3.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 Lead/Lag Lag Lag Lag Lead Lag | Total Split (%) | 46.4% | 46.4% | | 14.5% | 60.9% | 21.8% | 39.1% | 17.3% | 17.3% |
| All-Red Time (s) 3.7 3.7 0.0 3.7 0.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 7.0 7.0 3.0 7.0 3.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 Lead/Lag Lag Lag Lead Lead Lag Lag </td <td>Yellow Time (s)</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>3.3</td> <td></td> <td>3.0</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>3.0</td> <td>5.0</td> <td>5.0</td> <td>5.0</td> | Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 | All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) 7.0 7.0 3.0 7.0 3.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 Lead/Lag Lag Lag Lag Lead Lead Lag < | Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lead/Lag Lag Lag Lag Lead Lead Lag Lag <thlag< th=""> Lag Lag L</thlag<> | Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes | Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag |
| Recall Mode C-Max C-Max None C-Max None None None Max Max Act Effct Green (s) 44.6 44.6 110.0 64.0 60.0 40.0 34.0 10.0 10.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 1.00 0.58 0.55 0.36 0.31 0.09 0.09 v/c Ratio 0.03 0.78 0.55 0.74 0.60 0.82 0.43 0.01 0.08 Control Delay 12.1 24.7 2.0 25.9 20.8 45.9 6.1 46.0 36.5 Queue Delay 0.0 | Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Act Effct Green (s) 44.6 44.6 110.0 64.0 60.0 40.0 34.0 10.0 10.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 1.00 0.58 0.55 0.36 0.31 0.09 0.09 v/c Ratio 0.03 0.78 0.55 0.74 0.60 0.82 0.43 0.01 0.08 Control Delay 12.1 24.7 2.0 25.9 20.8 45.9 6.1 46.0 36.5 Queue Delay 0.0 <td>Recall Mode</td> <td>C-Max</td> <td>C-Max</td> <td></td> <td>None</td> <td>C-Max</td> <td>None</td> <td>None</td> <td>Max</td> <td>Max</td> | Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max |
| Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 1.00 0.58 0.55 0.36 0.31 0.09 0.09 v/c Ratio 0.03 0.78 0.55 0.74 0.60 0.82 0.43 0.01 0.08 Control Delay 12.1 24.7 2.0 25.9 20.8 45.9 6.1 46.0 36.5 Queue Delay 0.0 | Act Effct Green (s) | 44.6 | 44.6 | 110.0 | 64.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 34.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| v/c Ratio 0.03 0.78 0.55 0.74 0.60 0.82 0.43 0.01 0.08 Control Delay 12.1 24.7 2.0 25.9 20.8 45.9 6.1 46.0 36.5 Queue Delay 0.0 <td< td=""><td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td><td>0.41</td><td>0.41</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.58</td><td>0.55</td><td>0.36</td><td>0.31</td><td>0.09</td><td>0.09</td></td<> | Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Control Delay 12.1 24.7 2.0 25.9 20.8 45.9 6.1 46.0 36.5 Queue Delay 0.0 | v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.78 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Queue Delay 0.0 <th< td=""><td>Control Delay</td><td>12.1</td><td>24.7</td><td>2.0</td><td>25.9</td><td>20.8</td><td>45.9</td><td>6.1</td><td>46.0</td><td>36.5</td></th<> | Control Delay | 12.1 | 24.7 | 2.0 | 25.9 | 20.8 | 45.9 | 6.1 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Total Delay 12.1 24.7 2.0 25.9 20.8 45.9 6.1 46.0 36.5 LOS B C A C C D A D D Approach Delay 12.1 22.5 30.4 37.2 Approach LOS B C C D D Intersection Summary C C D D Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Offset: 21 (19%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 | Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LOS B C A C C D A D D Approach Delay 12.1 22.5 30.4 37.2 Approach LOS B C C D Intersection Summary C C D Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle: 21 (19%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 Colific and Disease: 11 Hinkway 406 NB Off Dame & Daimlar Disease | Total Delay | 12.1 | 24.7 | 2.0 | 25.9 | 20.8 | 45.9 | 6.1 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Approach Delay 12.1 22.5 30.4 37.2 Approach LOS B C C D Intersection Summary C C D Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Offset: 21 (19%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 | LOS | В | С | А | С | С | D | А | D | D |
| Approach LOS B C C D Intersection Summary C C D Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Start of Green Offset: 21 (19%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Start of Green Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 | Approach Delay | | 12.1 | | | 22.5 | | 30.4 | | 37.2 |
| Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Offset: 21 (19%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 Calita and Disage: 11 Hindurey 406 NB Off Dame & Daimlar Disage | Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | С | | D |
| Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Offset: 21 (19%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 Splite and Disease: 1: Wishway 406 ND Off Damp & Daimlas Diverse | Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Offset: 21 (19%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 | Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 21 (19%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 Colite and Decase: 1: Historica 406 NB Off Decase & Deimler Diverse | Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 | Offset: 21 (19%), Reference | d to phase | e 2:EBTL a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Calita and Disease: 1: Histowey 406 ND Off Damp & Daimler Diverse | Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 Service P | Control Type: Actuated-Cool | rdinated | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 Service R | Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.4% ICU Level of Service F Analysis Period (min) 15 | Intersection Signal Delay: 19 | 9.6 | | | I | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion 91.4% |) | | [(| CU Level | of Service | e F | | |
| Splite and Dhases 1. Highway 406 ND Off Damp & Deimler Diver | Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Splits and Dhasas: 1. Link | WOV 406 | | mn 9 Da | imlor Dia | | | | | |

<.≜ 1 .

| 🕈 Ø1 | 🛡 🗝 Ø2 (R) | Ø4 | |
|--------|------------|-------------|------|
| 16 s | 51s | 43 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | • | ↑ Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 67 s | | 24 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | - | > | 1 | - | 1 | t | 1 | Ļ |
|------------------------|------|--------|------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|----------|
| | EDI | EDT | | \\/DI | | NDI | | CDI | • CDT |
| | EDL | EDI | EDK | VVDL | VVDI | INDL | INDI | SDL | SDI |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 555 | 696 | 251 | 502 | 391 | 250 | 1 | 12 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.78 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 12.1 | 24.7 | 2.0 | 25.9 | 20.8 | 45.9 | 6.1 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 12.1 | 24.7 | 2.0 | 25.9 | 20.8 | 45.9 | 6.1 | 46.0 | 36.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.5 | 90.1 | 3.0 | 25.3 | 69.7 | 69.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.6 | m118.9 | 10.7 | #43.0 | 103.2 | #117.5 | 17.9 | 1.8 | 7.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 317 | 713 | 1262 | 346 | 830 | 475 | 585 | 97 | 149 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.78 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | + | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | | ۲ | ţ, | | ۲ | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 511 | 640 | 231 | 461 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 229 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 511 | 640 | 231 | 461 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 229 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.94 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1337 | | 1691 | 1599 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.48 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.22 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.60 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 784 | 1761 | 1262 | 352 | 1521 | | 975 | 1337 | | 1075 | 1599 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 555 | 696 | 251 | 501 | 1 | 391 | 1 | 249 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 555 | 696 | 251 | 502 | 0 | 391 | 78 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 44.6 | 44.6 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 44.6 | 44.6 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | | 34.0 | 34.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | | 0.31 | 0.31 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 317 | 714 | 1262 | 327 | 829 | | 421 | 413 | | 97 | 145 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.32 | | c0.09 | 0.33 | | c0.18 | 0.06 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.55 | c0.33 | | | c0.11 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.78 | 0.55 | 0.77 | 0.61 | | 0.93 | 0.19 | | 0.01 | 0.05 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 19.6 | 28.4 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 17.0 | | 35.3 | 27.9 | | 45.5 | 45.7 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.59 | 0.66 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 10.3 | 3.3 | | 26.6 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | 11.7 | 23.7 | 1.0 | 28.2 | 20.2 | | 61.8 | 28.1 | | 45.7 | 46.3 | |
| Level of Service | В | С | A | С | С | | E | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 11.1 | | | 22.9 | | | 48.7 | | | 46.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 23.6 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.88 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 91.4% | IC | U Level o | of Service | 9 | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 4 | + | 4 | ~ | |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜ ‡ | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 926 | 207 | 586 | 232 | 791 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 926 | 207 | 586 | 232 | 791 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 60.7 | 19.0 | 79.7 | 30.3 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 55.2% | 17.3% | 72.5% | 27.5% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 57.5 | 78.4 | 74.6 | 19.4 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.18 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.55 | 0.82 | 0.61 | |
| Control Delay | 26.0 | 33.7 | 7.0 | 65.3 | 1.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 26.0 | 33.7 | 7.2 | 65.3 | 1.9 | |
| LOS | С | С | А | E | А | |
| Approach Delay | 26.0 | | 14.1 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | В | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offset: 108 (98%), Reference | ed to phas | e 2:EBT a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | ordinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 19 | 9.7 | | | In | Itersection I | LOS: B |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 79.3% | | | IC | CU Level of | Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: Wo | odlawn Ro | ad/Daimle | er Pkwv & | Highway | 406 SB Of | f-Ramp |



| | - | 1 | + | 4 | ~ |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1375 | 225 | 637 | 252 | 860 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.55 | 0.82 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay | 26.0 | 33.7 | 7.0 | 65.3 | 1.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 26.0 | 33.7 | 7.2 | 65.3 | 1.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 126.7 | 20.8 | 44.8 | 51.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 159.4 | m42.7 | m77.5 | #86.8 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1709 | 313 | 1168 | 334 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.61 | 0.75 | 0.61 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. #

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † Ъ | | ٦ | + | | | | | 5 | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 926 | 339 | 207 | 586 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 791 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 926 | 339 | 207 | 586 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 791 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3211 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.09 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3211 | | 154 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1007 | 368 | 225 | 637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 860 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1343 | 0 | 225 | 637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 860 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 57.4 | | 74.6 | 74.6 | | | | | 19.4 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 57.4 | | 74.6 | 74.6 | | | | | 19.4 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.52 | | 0.68 | 0.68 | | | | | 0.18 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1675 | | 285 | 1167 | | | | | 307 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.42 | | c0.10 | 0.37 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.43 | | | | | | 0.14 | | c0.61 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.80 | | 0.79 | 0.55 | | | | | 0.82 | | 0.61 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 21.6 | | 25.3 | 9.0 | | | | | 43.6 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.58 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.2 | | 9.9 | 1.3 | | | | | 16.0 | | 1.9 |
| Delay (s) | | 25.8 | | 35.3 | 6.6 | | | | | 59.6 | | 1.9 |
| Level of Service | | С | | D | А | | | | | E | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 25.8 | | | 14.1 | | | 0.0 | | | 15.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | A | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 19.2 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | y ratio | | 0.83 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizatio | n | | 79.3% | IC | U Level o | of Service | | | D | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | → | 4 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | ≜ 1≽ | ٦ | † † | 1 | ٦ | ħ | 7 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 905 | 18 | 1002 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 905 | 18 | 1002 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 44.0 | 86.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 9.0 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 33.8% | 66.2% | 32.3% | 32.3% | 32.3% | 6.9% | 17.7% | 16.2% | 26.9% | 26.9% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 83.0 | 78.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 26.0 | 15.7 | 41.0 | 27.7 | 27.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.21 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 1.27 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |
| Control Delay | 289.6 | 16.8 | 42.8 | 170.1 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 289.6 | 16.8 | 42.8 | 170.1 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| LOS | F | В | D | F | С | E | F | F | D | D | |
| Approach Delay | | 133.0 | | 130.1 | | | 174.7 | | 99.6 | | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | F | | | F | | F | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2: | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 130 | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | linated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.56 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 127 | .0 | | | lr | ntersectio | n LOS: F | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 133.69 | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | θH | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø2 (R) | | Ø3 | M [®] Ø4 |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------------|
| 86 s | | 21 s | 23 s |
| ▶ Ø5 | Ø6 | ↑ Ø7 ♦ Ø8 | |
| 44 s | 42 s | 9 s 35 s | |

| | ٠ | - | * | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | 1 | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|--------|------|--------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1100 | 20 | 1089 | 388 | 147 | 249 | 370 | 193 | 714 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 1.27 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |
| Control Delay | 289.6 | 16.8 | 42.8 | 170.1 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 289.6 | 16.8 | 42.8 | 170.1 | 22.3 | 56.2 | 244.6 | 231.2 | 51.4 | 44.4 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~282.5 | 83.7 | 4.0 | ~184.8 | 26.6 | 28.6 | ~84.7 | ~111.0 | 44.2 | 50.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #358.7 | 102.5 | 11.6 | #226.6 | 67.6 | #48.6 | #137.7 | #172.1 | 68.6 | #139.5 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 523 | 1940 | 106 | 858 | 525 | 221 | 180 | 265 | 366 | 715 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.56 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 1.27 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 0.53 | 1.00 | |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------|------|------------|------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | † 1 ₂ | | ٦ | ^ | 1 | ٦ | î, | | ۲ | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 905 | 107 | 18 | 1002 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 905 | 107 | 18 | 1002 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3220 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1477 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.11 | 1.00 | | 0.26 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 169 | 3220 | | 406 | 3271 | 1234 | 995 | 1477 | | 335 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 816 | 984 | 116 | 20 | 1089 | 388 | 147 | 236 | 13 | 370 | 193 | 714 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 452 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1093 | 0 | 20 | 1089 | 186 | 147 | 247 | 0 | 370 | 193 | 262 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 78.1 | 78.1 | | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 21.7 | 15.7 | | 36.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 78.1 | 78.1 | | 34.1 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 21.7 | 15.7 | | 36.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.60 | | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.12 | | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 516 | 1934 | | 106 | 858 | 323 | 188 | 178 | | 253 | 366 | 262 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.50 | 0.34 | | | 0.33 | | 0.04 | 0.17 | | c0.20 | 0.11 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.45 | | | 0.05 | | 0.15 | 0.09 | | | c0.21 | | 0.21 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.58 | 0.57 | | 0.19 | 1.27 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 1.39 | | 1.46 | 0.53 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 37.5 | 15.7 | | 37.2 | 48.0 | 41.7 | 50.8 | 57.1 | | 42.0 | 45.3 | 51.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 270.8 | 1.2 | | 0.6 | 130.3 | 2.0 | 18.3 | 205.8 | | 228.6 | 1.0 | 54.7 |
| Delay (s) | 308.3 | 16.9 | | 37.8 | 178.3 | 43.7 | 69.1 | 262.9 | | 270.6 | 46.4 | 105.8 |
| Level of Service | F | В | | D | F | D | E | F | | F | D | F |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 141.0 | | | 141.5 | | | 191.0 | | | 144.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | | F | | | F | | | F | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 145.9 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | F | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.61 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 130.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 133.6% | IC | U Level o | of Service | 9 | | Н | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX 14

Sensitivity Analysis #3 – 10% Decrease to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Queueing Analysis Results



Page 273 of 497

| Future Total | (2051) C T | Conditions Model – Bridge rain – Microsimulation Re | Adjustment sults | (-10%) – No |
|--------------|---------------|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | | Intersection | | Max Queue |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres |
| | | | EBL | 35 |
| | | | EBT | 53 |
| | | | EBR | 51 |
| | | | NBL | 26 |
| | | | NBT | 28 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 27 |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 18 |
| | | | SBT | 25 |
| | | | SBR | 16 |
| | | | WBL | 9 |
| | | | WBT | 72 |
| | | | WBR | 16 |
| | | | EBR | 126 |
| | | Meedleure Deedlend Lister | EBT | 127 |
| | 2 | 400 CD Deren Terreigel | SBL | 32 |
| | | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 38 |
| our | | | WBT | 63 |
| н | | | EBL | 1 |
| eak | | | EBT | 58 |
| Ъ Ч | | | EBR | - |
| AN | | | NBL | 49 |
| | | | NBT | 15 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 15 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 |
| | | | SBT | 6 |
| | | | SBR | 6 |
| | | | WBL | 40 |
| | | | WBT | 66 |
| | | | WBR | 66 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | | | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 31 |
| | | | EBT | 31 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 31 |
| | | | NBL | 26 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 31 |
| | | | EBL | 118 |
| | | | EBT | 126 |
| | | | EBR | 126 |
| | | | NBL | 43 |
| | | | NBT | 56 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 56 |

| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 59 |
|---------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | | SBT | 55 |
| | | | SBR | 67 |
| | | | WBL | 17 |
| | | | WBT | 125 |
| | | | WBR | 41 |
| | | | EBR | 226 |
| | | Woodlown Road and Highway | EBT | 229 |
| | 2 | 406 SP Dome Terminal | SBL | 97 |
| | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 46 |
| our | | | WBT | 98 |
| H | | | EBL | 7 |
| eak | | | EBT | 158 |
| Ре Г | | | EBR | - |
| ΡM | | | NBL | 100 |
| | | | NBT | 44 |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 44 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 8 |
| | | | SBR | 8 |
| | | | WBL | 44 |
| | | | WBT | 69 |
| | | | WBR | 69 |
| | Λ | Pail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 17 |
| | | | EBT | 17 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 17 |
| | 5 | Daimier raikway and Street A | NBL | 45 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 20 |
| | | | EBL | 257 |
| | | | EBT | 233 |
| | | | EBR | 233 |
| | | | NBL | 45 |
| | | | NBT | 76 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 76 |
| | · | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 93 |
| | | | SBT | 93 |
| | | | SBR | 102 |
| | | | WBL | 14 |
| | | | WBT | 132 |
| | | | WBR | 47 |
| | | | EBR | 175 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 175 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 68 |
| 5 | | | WBL | 42 |
| Jou | | | WBT | 85 |
| х Т | | | EBL | 7 |

| ea | | | EBT | 118 |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| <u>а</u> Г | | | EBR | - |
| -AS | | | NBL | 72 |
| 0, | | | NBT | 33 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 33 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 4 |
| | | | SBT | 10 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBL | 42 |
| | | | WBT | 67 |
| | | | WBR | 67 |
| | 1 | Rail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | 7 | Rail Crossing | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 99 |
| | | | EBT | 99 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 99 |
| | 0 | Daimier r arkway and Offeet A | NBL | 38 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 24 |

| Future Total (| (2051) Co | onditions Model – Bridge / | Adjustment (| -10%) – With |
|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | T | rain – Microsimulation Re | sults | |
| Period | | Intersection | | Max Queue |
| | No. | Location | Movement | Metres |
| | | | EBL | 35 |
| | | | EBT | 53 |
| | | | EBR | 51 |
| | | | NBL | 26 |
| | | | NBT | 28 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 27 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 18 |
| | | | SBT | 25 |
| | | | SBR | 16 |
| | | | WBL | 9 |
| | | | WBT | 72 |
| | | | WBR | 15 |
| | | | EBR | 125 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 126 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 34 |
| | | | WBL | 38 |
| Ino | | | WBT | 82 |
| Н | | | EBL | 1 |
| eak | | | EBT | 59 |
| ۲ D | | | EBR | - |
| AN | | | NBL | 49 |
| | | | NBT | 16 |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 16 |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 |
| | | | SBT | 6 |
| | | | SBR | 6 |
| | | | WBL | 61 |
| | | | WBT | 68 |
| | | | WBR | 68 |
| | 1 | Doil Crossing | EBT | 55 |
| | 4 | | WBT | 98 |
| | | | EBL | 33 |
| | | | EBT | 33 |
| | F | Deimler Derkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 33 |
| | 5 | Daimier Parkway and Street A | NBL | 27 |
| | | | SBR | 11 |
| | | | WBT | 29 |
| | | | EBL | 118 |
| | | | EBT | 129 |
| | | | EBR | 129 |
| | | | NBL | 43 |
| | | | NBT | 56 |
| | 4 | Woodlawn Road and Primewav | NBR | 56 |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 59 |

| | | | SBT | 53 |
|------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | | SBR | 67 |
| | | | WBL | 17 |
| | | | WBT | 128 |
| | | | WBR | 39 |
| | | | EBR | 225 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 228 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Pamp Torminal | SBL | 116 |
| _ | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 47 |
| JUL | | | WBT | 120 |
| Н | | | EBL | 6 |
| eak | | | EBT | 207 |
| I Pe | | | EBR | - |
| P∠ | | | NBL | 103 |
| | | | NBT | 75 |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 75 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 8 |
| | | | SBR | 8 |
| | | | WBL | 54 |
| | | | WBT | 69 |
| | | | WBR | 69 |
| | Λ | Pail Crossing | EBT | 61 |
| | 4 | Itali Crossing | WBT | 89 |
| | | | EBL | 61 |
| | | | EBT | 61 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 61 |
| | 5 | Dannier Farkway and Street A | NBL | 53 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 21 |
| | | | | |

APPENDIX 15

Sensitivity Analysis #4 – 25% Decrease to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Synchro Analysis Results



Page 279 of 497

| | ٠ | → | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | t | ŧ | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | + | 1 | ٢ | ¢Î, | 5 | f, | ef. | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 165 | 669 | 271 | 416 | 233 | 1 | 1 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 165 | 669 | 271 | 416 | 233 | 1 | 1 | |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | 44.0 | 44.0 | | 22.0 | 66.0 | 25.0 | 44.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 40.0% | 40.0% | | 20.0% | 60.0% | 22.7% | 40.0% | 17.3% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 | 110.0 | 63.0 | 59.0 | 41.0 | 35.0 | 12.1 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.11 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 0.01 | |
| Control Delay | 10.0 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 20.1 | 30.2 | 6.6 | 39.0 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 10.0 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 20.1 | 30.2 | 6.6 | 39.0 | |
| LOS | A | В | A | В | С | С | A | D | |
| Approach Delay | | 10.4 | | | 18.1 | | 23.3 | 39.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | В | | С | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 85 (77%), Reference | ed to phase | 2:EBTL, | Start of G | Green | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 75 | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | ordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.58 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 1 | 5.6 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 80.3% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | e D | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| √ Ø1 | ■ → Ø2 (R) | 1 Ø4 | |
|-------------|------------|-------------|------|
| 22 s | 44 s | 44 s | |
| ₹Ø6 | | ▲ Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 66 s | | 25 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | ← | 1 | t. | Ŧ |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 179 | 727 | 295 | 452 | 253 | 105 | 2 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 10.0 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 20.1 | 30.2 | 6.6 | 39.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.0 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 20.1 | 30.2 | 6.6 | 39.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.1 | 20.0 | 63.5 | 31.6 | 61.1 | 40.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.1 | m30.9 | 74.4 | 48.4 | 90.9 | 62.4 | 11.9 | 2.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 299 | 640 | 1262 | 653 | 816 | 498 | 496 | 174 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | - | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ۲ | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ٢ | ţ, | | ٦ | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 165 | 669 | 271 | 416 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 165 | 669 | 271 | 416 | 0 | 233 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | 0.93 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1522 | | 1606 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 1.00 | | 0.61 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 821 | 1761 | 1262 | 963 | 1522 | | 1025 | 1338 | | | 1578 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 179 | 727 | 295 | 452 | 0 | 253 | 1 | 104 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 179 | 727 | 295 | 452 | 0 | 253 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 | 110.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | | 35.0 | 35.0 | | | 12.1 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 | 110.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | | 35.0 | 35.0 | | | 12.1 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 0.54 | 0.54 | | 0.32 | 0.32 | | | 0.11 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 298 | 640 | 1262 | 602 | 816 | | 431 | 425 | | | 173 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.10 | | 0.07 | 0.30 | | 0.11 | 0.03 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.00 | | c0.58 | 0.19 | | | 0.08 | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.58 | 0.49 | 0.55 | | 0.59 | 0.08 | | | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 22.3 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 16.8 | | 30.4 | 26.2 | | | 43.6 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.40 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | | 2.0 | 0.1 | | | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 8.9 | 11.7 | 1.5 | 15.3 | 17.6 | | 32.4 | 26.3 | | | 43.7 | |
| Level of Service | А | В | A | В | В | | С | С | | | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 3.5 | | | 16.7 | | | 30.6 | | | 43.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | С | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 13.3 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.72 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 80.3% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | 9 | | D | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 1 | + | 4 | 4 | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜ t≽ | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 735 | 225 | 418 | 100 | 634 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 735 | 225 | 418 | 100 | 634 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 56.0 | 26.0 | 82.0 | 28.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 50.9% | 23.6% | 74.5% | 25.5% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 42.7 | 63.5 | 59.7 | 34.3 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.39 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 0.20 | 0.49 | |
| Control Delay | 34.2 | 45.8 | 13.1 | 32.7 | 1.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 34.2 | 45.8 | 13.1 | 32.7 | 1.2 | |
| LOS | С | D | В | С | А | |
| Approach Delay | 34.2 | | 24.5 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | С | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actualed Cycle Length. 110 | to nhase | 8.SBI S | tart of Gr | oon | | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | i to priase | 0.0DL, 0 | | CCII | | |
| Control Type: Actuated Coor | dinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 | unaleu | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 22 | 6 | | | In | tersection I | 0.5.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Litilizati | .0 ion 62 6% | | | | | Service R |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | 011 02.0 % | | | IC. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: Wee | dlawn Do | od/Doimle | or Dlawy 9 | Lichwoy | | f Domn |



| | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
|------------------------|-------|------|------------|------|------|
| | ГРТ | | | CDI | CDD |
| Lane Group | EBI | WBL | WRI | SBL | SBK |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1047 | 245 | 454 | 109 | 689 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 0.20 | 0.49 |
| Control Delay | 34.2 | 45.8 | 13.1 | 32.7 | 1.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.2 | 45.8 | 13.1 | 32.7 | 1.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 99.2 | 29.2 | 46.5 | 17.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 113.7 | 48.3 | 51.3 | 36.0 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1468 | 433 | 1177 | 543 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.57 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.49 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † 1 ₂ | | 7 | + | | | | | ٦ | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 735 | 228 | 225 | 418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 634 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 735 | 228 | 225 | 418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 634 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3226 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3226 | | 241 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 799 | 248 | 245 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 689 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1018 | 0 | 245 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 689 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 42.7 | | 63.5 | 59.7 | | | | | 34.3 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 42.7 | | 63.5 | 59.7 | | | | | 34.3 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.39 | | 0.58 | 0.54 | | | | | 0.31 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1252 | | 306 | 934 | | | | | 543 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.32 | | c0.10 | 0.26 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.36 | | | | | | 0.06 | | c0.49 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.81 | | 0.80 | 0.49 | | | | | 0.20 | | 0.49 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 30.1 | | 32.3 | 15.6 | | | | | 27.8 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.90 | 0.78 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.1 | | 12.1 | 0.3 | | | | | 0.8 | | 1.2 |
| Delay (s) | | 34.2 | | 41.1 | 12.5 | | | | | 28.6 | | 1.2 |
| Level of Service | | С | | D | В | | | | | С | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 34.2 | | | 22.5 | | | 0.0 | | | 4.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | С | | | A | | | A | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 21.8 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.77 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 62.6% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | В | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ٢ | ≜ †} | ٢ | † † | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | ٦ | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 798 | 16 | 935 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 798 | 16 | 935 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 32 | 56 | 127 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 15.1 | 68.6 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 10.0 | 20.9 | 10.5 | 21.4 | 21.4 | |
| Total Split (%) | 15.1% | 68.6% | 53.5% | 53.5% | 53.5% | 10.0% | 20.9% | 10.5% | 21.4% | 21.4% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 71.6 | 66.7 | 54.8 | 54.8 | 54.8 | 20.4 | 11.9 | 20.0 | 10.1 | 10.1 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.56 | |
| Control Delay | 10.0 | 9.3 | 14.8 | 17.8 | 3.4 | 36.1 | 40.1 | 29.7 | 46.8 | 16.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 10.0 | 9.3 | 14.8 | 17.8 | 3.4 | 36.1 | 40.1 | 29.7 | 46.8 | 16.2 | |
| LOS | А | А | В | В | А | D | D | С | D | В | |
| Approach Delay | | 9.4 | | 16.4 | | | 37.8 | | 26.2 | | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | В | | | D | | С | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2 | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 15. | 7 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 66.8% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | эC | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| Ø2 (R) | | Ø3 | ™ ø4 |
|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 68.6 s | | 10.5 s | 20.9 s |
| ▶ Ø5 | ₩ Ø6 | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 15.1 s | 53.5 s | 10 s | 21.4s |

| | ٠ | → | 4 | + | * | 1 | t | 4 | ţ | - | |
|------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 970 | 17 | 1016 | 110 | 97 | 74 | 35 | 61 | 138 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.56 | |
| Control Delay | 10.0 | 9.3 | 14.8 | 17.8 | 3.4 | 36.1 | 40.1 | 29.7 | 46.8 | 16.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 10.0 | 9.3 | 14.8 | 17.8 | 3.4 | 36.1 | 40.1 | 29.7 | 46.8 | 16.2 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.5 | 42.2 | 1.5 | 65.2 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 11.4 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 18.0 | 64.5 | 6.0 | 101.7 | 8.9 | 27.5 | 23.9 | 12.3 | 22.5 | 16.7 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 374 | 2155 | 253 | 1793 | 727 | 239 | 219 | 254 | 242 | 292 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.47 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------|------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | † 1 ₂ | | 7 | ^ | 1 | 7 | ţ, | | ۲ | 1 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 798 | 95 | 16 | 935 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 798 | 95 | 16 | 935 | 101 | 89 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 127 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3219 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1428 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.21 | 1.00 | | 0.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 327 | 3219 | | 462 | 3271 | 1234 | 1009 | 1428 | | 1109 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 155 | 867 | 103 | 17 | 1016 | 110 | 97 | 54 | 20 | 35 | 61 | 138 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 155 | 962 | 0 | 17 | 1016 | 59 | 97 | 61 | 0 | 35 | 61 | 15 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 65.5 | 65.5 | | 53.6 | 53.6 | 53.6 | 17.5 | 11.9 | | 15.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 65.5 | 65.5 | | 53.6 | 53.6 | 53.6 | 17.5 | 11.9 | | 15.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.66 | 0.66 | | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.18 | 0.12 | | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 317 | 2108 | | 247 | 1753 | 661 | 203 | 169 | | 184 | 184 | 132 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.04 | 0.30 | | | c0.31 | | c0.03 | 0.04 | | 0.01 | 0.04 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.28 | | | 0.04 | | 0.05 | c0.06 | | | 0.02 | | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.46 | | 0.07 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.48 | 0.36 | | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.11 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 8.3 | 8.5 | | 11.2 | 15.6 | 11.3 | 36.4 | 40.5 | | 36.9 | 41.3 | 40.4 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 9.4 | 9.2 | | 11.3 | 16.0 | 11.3 | 37.8 | 41.5 | | 37.3 | 42.1 | 40.6 |
| Level of Service | А | А | | В | В | В | D | D | | D | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 9.2 | | | 15.5 | | | 39.4 | | | 40.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | А | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 16.6 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.56 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 100.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 66.8% | IC | U Level | of Service | Э | | С | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | + | 1 | 3 | ħ | 5 | ţ, | 5 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 496 | 618 | 177 | 314 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 496 | 618 | 177 | 314 | 368 | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | 51.0 | 51.0 | | 13.0 | 64.0 | 27.0 | 46.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 46.4% | 46.4% | | 11.8% | 58.2% | 24.5% | 41.8% | 17.3% | 17.3% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.0 | 44.0 | 110.0 | 61.0 | 57.0 | 43.0 | 37.0 | 10.3 | 10.3 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.43 | 0.77 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| Control Delay | 15.8 | 31.3 | 2.2 | 21.8 | 18.7 | 39.0 | 3.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| l otal Delay | 15.8 | 31.3 | 2.2 | 21.8 | 18.7 | 39.0 | 3.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | |
| LUS Annua a h Dalau | В | | A | C | B | U | A | D | A | |
| Approach Delay | | 15.1 | | | 19.8 | | 23.8 | | 9.2 | |
| Approach LUS | | В | | | В | | C | | A | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |) | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 16 (15%), Reference | ed to phase | 2:EBTL a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | ordinated | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 1 | 8.6 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ation 86.0% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | εE | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| 1 Ø1 | ₩Ø2 (R) | ≜ ₫ø4 | |
|-------------|---------|--------------|------|
| 13 s | 51 s | 46 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 64 s | | 27 s | 19 s |

| | ٠ | - | > | 1 | - | 1 | † | 1 | Ļ | |
|------------------------|------|--------|------|------|-------|--------|----------|------|----------|--|
| | EDI | EDT | | | | NDI | NDT | CDI | • CDT | |
| | EDL | EDI | EDK | VVDL | VVDI | INDL | INDI | SDL | SDI | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 539 | 672 | 192 | 342 | 400 | 291 | 2 | 8 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.43 | 0.77 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| Control Delay | 15.8 | 31.3 | 2.2 | 21.8 | 18.7 | 39.0 | 3.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 15.8 | 31.3 | 2.2 | 21.8 | 18.7 | 39.0 | 3.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.7 | 113.6 | 0.7 | 19.9 | 43.8 | 68.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.6 | m140.7 | 20.3 | 32.4 | 66.3 | #104.2 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 363 | 704 | 1262 | 311 | 788 | 520 | 684 | 96 | 488 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.43 | 0.77 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | • | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ٦ | 1 | 1 | ٢ | ţ, | | ۲ | ţ, | | 5 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 496 | 618 | 177 | 314 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 268 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 496 | 618 | 177 | 314 | 1 | 368 | 0 | 268 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1336 | | 1691 | 1450 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.56 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.22 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 909 | 1761 | 1262 | 368 | 1521 | | 985 | 1336 | | 1036 | 1450 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 539 | 672 | 192 | 341 | 1 | 400 | 0 | 291 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 7 | 539 | 672 | 192 | 342 | 0 | 400 | 98 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 44.0 | 44.0 | 110.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 10.3 | 10.3 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 44.0 | 44.0 | 110.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 10.3 | 10.3 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 0.52 | 0.52 | | 0.34 | 0.34 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 363 | 704 | 1262 | 298 | 788 | | 465 | 449 | | 97 | 135 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.31 | | 0.06 | 0.22 | | c0.19 | 0.07 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | c0.53 | 0.28 | | | c0.10 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.43 | | 0.86 | 0.22 | | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 20.0 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 16.5 | | 32.4 | 26.1 | | 45.3 | 45.2 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.78 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 1.7 | | 14.9 | 0.2 | | 0.4 | 0.1 | |
| Delay (s) | 15.6 | 30.6 | 1.0 | 22.9 | 18.2 | | 47.3 | 26.4 | | 45.7 | 45.3 | |
| Level of Service | В | С | A | С | В | | D | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 14.2 | | | 19.9 | | | 38.5 | | | 45.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | В | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 22.4 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci | ity ratio | | 0.82 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) 110.0 | | | | Sum of lost time (s) 22.0 | | | | | 22.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizati | on | | 86.0% | IC | U Level o | of Service | 9 | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | - | 4 | + | 1 | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 4 16 | 3 | • | 5 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 815 | 175 | 497 | 305 | 725 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 815 | 175 | 497 | 305 | 725 | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | |
| Total Split (s) | 50.0 | 17.0 | 67.0 | 43.0 | | |
| Total Split (%) | 45.5% | 15.5% | 60.9% | 39.1% | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 51.9 | 71.7 | 67.9 | 26.1 | 110.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 1.00 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.80 | 0.56 | |
| Control Delay | 29.8 | 25.4 | 8.2 | 54.0 | 1.6 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 29.8 | 25.4 | 8.2 | 54.0 | 1.6 | |
| LOS | С | С | А | D | А | |
| Approach Delay | 29.8 | | 12.6 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | В | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | |
| Offset: 108 (98%) Reference | ed to phas | e 2'FBT | and 6·WB | STI Start | of Green | |
| Natural Cycle: 80 | | | | , otari | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coo | rdinated | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 21 | 1.1 | | | lr | ntersection | LOS: C |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | tion 77.9% | | | 10 | CU Level o | f Service D |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: Woo | odlawn Ro | ad/Daiml | er Pkwy 8 | k Highway | / 406 SB C | ff-Ramp |
| ✓ Ø1 | 22 (R) | | | | | 8 |

| Ø1 | →Ø2 (R) | |
|--------|---------|------|
| 17 s | 50 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | | 08 |
| 67 s | | 43 s |

Synchro 11 Report

| | - | 1 | + | 1 | 4 |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1231 | 190 | 540 | 332 | 788 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.80 | 0.56 |
| Control Delay | 29.8 | 25.4 | 8.2 | 54.0 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 29.8 | 25.4 | 8.2 | 54.0 | 1.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 110.8 | 10.0 | 33.5 | 67.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #174.3 | m34.8 | 85.6 | 90.7 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1545 | 298 | 1063 | 535 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.64 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.56 |
| Intersection Summony | | | | | |

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. #

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | 1 | | 5 | • | | | | | ٦ | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 815 | 317 | 175 | 497 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 725 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 815 | 317 | 175 | 497 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 725 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3204 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.11 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3204 | | 177 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 886 | 345 | 190 | 540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 788 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1199 | 0 | 190 | 540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 788 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 51.9 | | 67.9 | 67.9 | | | | | 26.1 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 51.9 | | 67.9 | 67.9 | | | | | 26.1 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.47 | | 0.62 | 0.62 | | | | | 0.24 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1511 | | 272 | 1062 | | | | | 413 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.37 | | c0.08 | 0.31 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | 0.35 | | | | | | c0.19 | | 0.56 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.79 | | 0.70 | 0.51 | | | | | 0.80 | | 0.56 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 24.5 | | 18.6 | 11.7 | | | | | 39.5 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.93 | 0.51 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.4 | | 6.1 | 1.4 | | | | | 10.8 | | 1.6 |
| Delay (s) | | 28.9 | | 23.4 | 7.4 | | | | | 50.4 | | 1.6 |
| Level of Service | | С | | С | А | | | | | D | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 28.9 | | | 11.6 | | | 0.0 | | | 16.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | A | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 20.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.78 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 77.9% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | D | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ≜ ↑⊅ | 7 | † † | 1 | 7 | ţ, | 7 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 895 | 28 | 909 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 895 | 28 | 909 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 233 | 143 | 474 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 36.0 | 82.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 9.0 | 22.6 | 15.0 | 28.6 | 28.6 | |
| Total Split (%) | 30.0% | 68.7% | 38.7% | 38.7% | 38.7% | 7.5% | 18.8% | 12.5% | 23.8% | 23.8% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 80.9 | 76.0 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 24.1 | 13.8 | 33.1 | 19.8 | 19.8 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.98 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.94 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.83 | |
| Control Delay | 69.4 | 13.4 | 35.7 | 56.9 | 6.6 | 50.1 | 79.9 | 72.9 | 53.4 | 17.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 69.4 | 13.4 | 35.7 | 56.9 | 6.6 | 50.1 | 79.9 | 72.9 | 53.4 | 17.2 | |
| LOS | E | В | D | E | A | D | E | E | D | В | |
| Approach Delay | | 30.3 | | 44.7 | | | 65.0 | | 38.6 | | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | D | | | E | | D | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2 | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.98 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 39. | 2 | | | lr | ntersection | n LOS: D | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 97.5% | | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | e F | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| →ø2 (R) | | Ø3 | ↑ Ø4 |
|---------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 82.4 s | | 15 s | 22.6 s |
| ▶ Ø5 | ◆ ▼ Ø6 | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 36 s | 46.4 s | 9 s | 28.6 s |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | 1 | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1094 | 30 | 988 | 310 | 139 | 139 | 253 | 155 | 515 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.98 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.94 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.55 | 0.83 | |
| Control Delay | 69.4 | 13.4 | 35.7 | 56.9 | 6.6 | 50.1 | 79.9 | 72.9 | 53.4 | 17.2 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 69.4 | 13.4 | 35.7 | 56.9 | 6.6 | 50.1 | 79.9 | 72.9 | 53.4 | 17.2 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~100.3 | 71.3 | 5.2 | 118.7 | 0.0 | 25.7 | 29.9 | 51.2 | 33.3 | 2.8 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #167.6 | 88.4 | 13.7 | #159.6 | 21.2 | 43.6 | #59.5 | #98.4 | 54.6 | #58.2 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 485 | 2044 | 130 | 1049 | 606 | 229 | 191 | 282 | 305 | 631 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.98 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.94 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.73 | 0.90 | 0.51 | 0.82 | |

Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | * | - | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | † 12 | | 5 | ^ | 1 | ň | ţ, | | ٦ | + | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 436 | 895 | 111 | 28 | 909 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 436 | 895 | 111 | 28 | 909 | 285 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 233 | 143 | 474 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.97 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3217 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1443 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.10 | 1.00 | | 0.26 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 1.00 | | 0.49 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 151 | 3217 | | 408 | 3271 | 1234 | 1031 | 1443 | | 760 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 474 | 973 | 121 | 30 | 988 | 310 | 139 | 111 | 28 | 253 | 155 | 515 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 418 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 474 | 1086 | 0 | 30 | 988 | 99 | 139 | 131 | 0 | 253 | 155 | 97 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 76.0 | 76.0 | | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 19.8 | 13.8 | | 28.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 76.0 | 76.0 | | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 19.8 | 13.8 | | 28.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.63 | 0.63 | | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.12 | | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 479 | 2037 | | 130 | 1049 | 395 | 192 | 165 | | 255 | 284 | 203 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.28 | 0.34 | | | 0.30 | | 0.04 | 0.09 | | c0.10 | 0.09 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.34 | | | 0.07 | | 0.08 | 0.08 | | | c0.14 | | 0.08 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.99 | 0.53 | | 0.23 | 0.94 | 0.25 | 0.72 | 0.79 | | 0.99 | 0.55 | 0.48 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 35.1 | 12.2 | | 29.9 | 39.7 | 30.1 | 46.5 | 51.7 | | 44.0 | 46.0 | 45.4 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 38.0 | 1.0 | | 0.7 | 15.7 | 0.2 | 12.1 | 21.9 | | 54.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Delay (s) | 73.0 | 13.2 | | 30.6 | 55.4 | 30.4 | 58.6 | 73.6 | | 98.0 | 47.7 | 46.7 |
| Level of Service | E | В | | С | E | С | E | E | | F | D | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 31.3 | | | 49.0 | | | 66.1 | | | 60.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | D | | | E | | | E | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 46.1 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | D | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.04 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) 120.0 | | | 120.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 97.5% | IC | U Level o | of Service | 9 | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | → | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | Ť | 4 | ŧ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 1 | 1 | ۲ | ħ | 7 | Þ | 7 | ef 👔 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 426 | 640 | 231 | 384 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 426 | 640 | 231 | 384 | 360 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | | 13.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 | | 17.0 | 64.0 | 27.0 | 46.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 42.7% | 42.7% | | 15.5% | 58.2% | 24.5% | 41.8% | 17.3% | 17.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | Lead | | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | None | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 110.0 | 61.0 | 57.0 | 43.0 | 37.0 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.37 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.71 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 13.6 | 24.1 | 4.2 | 22.3 | 20.7 | 37.9 | 5.5 | 46.0 | 36.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 13.6 | 24.1 | 4.2 | 22.3 | 20.7 | 37.9 | 5.5 | 46.0 | 36.4 |
| LOS | В | С | А | С | С | D | А | D | D |
| Approach Delay | | 12.2 | | | 21.3 | | 25.3 | | 37.1 |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | С | | D |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 11 (10%), Reference | ed to phase | e 2:EBTL a | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 80 | • | | | , | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coc | ordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 1 | 8.1 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: B | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 86.4% | | | [(| CU Level | of Service | θE | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 1. Lin | hway 106 | | mn & Da | imler Dky | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Highway 406 NB Off-Ramp & Daimler Pkwy

| Ø1 | ♥ → Ø2 (R) | ₫ ø4 | |
|--------|------------|-------------|------|
| 17 s | 47 s | 46 s | |
| Ø6 (R) | • | 1 Ø7 | Ø8 |
| 64 s | | 27 s | 19 s |

Synchro 11 Report

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | t | 1 | + |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 463 | 696 | 251 | 418 | 391 | 250 | 1 | 12 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.71 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 13.6 | 24.1 | 4.2 | 22.3 | 20.7 | 37.9 | 5.5 | 46.0 | 36.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 13.6 | 24.1 | 4.2 | 22.3 | 20.7 | 37.9 | 5.5 | 46.0 | 36.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.7 | 84.8 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 57.2 | 66.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m0.6 | m57.2 | 67.4 | 42.6 | 85.3 | 98.8 | 17.0 | 1.8 | 7.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 194.3 | | | 158.2 | | 78.8 | | 37.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 | | | | | 50.0 | | 15.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 316 | 656 | 1262 | 388 | 788 | 520 | 615 | 101 | 155 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.71 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ٢ | ţ, | | 5 | ţ, | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 426 | 640 | 231 | 384 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 229 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 426 | 640 | 231 | 384 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 229 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.94 | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1555 | 1761 | 1262 | 1555 | 1521 | | 1606 | 1337 | | 1691 | 1599 | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.52 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.27 | 1.00 | | 0.58 | 1.00 | | 0.60 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 848 | 1761 | 1262 | 445 | 1521 | | 984 | 1337 | | 1075 | 1599 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 463 | 696 | 251 | 417 | 1 | 391 | 1 | 249 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 463 | 696 | 251 | 418 | 0 | 391 | 85 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | Free | 6 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 110.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 10.4 | 10.4 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 110.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 10.4 | 10.4 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.37 | 1.00 | 0.52 | 0.52 | | 0.34 | 0.34 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 7.0 | | 3.0 | 9.0 | | 9.0 | 9.0 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 316 | 656 | 1262 | 361 | 788 | | 464 | 449 | | 101 | 151 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.26 | | c0.08 | 0.27 | | c0.18 | 0.06 | | | 0.00 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 | | 0.55 | c0.28 | | | c0.10 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.71 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.53 | | 0.84 | 0.19 | | 0.01 | 0.05 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 21.8 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 17.6 | | 32.1 | 25.9 | | 45.1 | 45.3 | |
| Progression Factor | 0.59 | 0.65 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 2.5 | | 13.1 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 0.6 | |
| Delay (s) | 12.9 | 23.4 | 1.2 | 23.6 | 20.2 | | 45.1 | 26.1 | | 45.3 | 45.9 | |
| Level of Service | В | С | Α | С | С | | D | С | | D | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 10.1 | | | 21.4 | | | 37.7 | | | 45.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | В | | | С | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 20.4 | H | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.80 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 Sum of lost time (s) 22.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | ion | | 86.4% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | 9 | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | → | 4 | + | 4 | 4 | | | | |
|--|---|---------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | | | | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜ î∌ | 7 | † | ٦ | 1 | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 841 | 207 | 509 | 232 | 791 | | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 841 | 207 | 509 | 232 | 791 | | | | |
| Turn Type | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Free | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 1 | 6 | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | 6 | | 8 | Free | | | | |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | | | | | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | | | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.8 | 13.0 | 21.8 | 24.2 | | | | | |
| Total Split (s) | 47.0 | 20.0 | 67.0 | 43.0 | | | | | |
| Total Split (%) | 42.7% | 18.2% | 60.9% | 39.1% | | | | | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | | | | | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | | | | | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | | | | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | | | | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | C-Max | None | | | | | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 53.8 | 76.3 | 72.5 | 21.5 | 110.0 | | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.49 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.20 | 1.00 | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.49 | 0.74 | 0.61 | | | | |
| Control Delay | 29.4 | 27.7 | 7.1 | 54.5 | 1.9 | | | | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Total Delay | 29.4 | 27.7 | 7.1 | 54.5 | 1.9 | | | | |
| LOS | С | С | А | D | А | | | | |
| Approach Delay | 29.4 | | 13.0 | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | В | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: | 110 | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 108 (98%), Refe | renced to phas | e 2:EBT | and 6:WB | TL, Start | of Green | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 75 | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated- | Coordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.8 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 19.9 Intersection LOS: B | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.8% ICU Level of Service D | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 1 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 2: | plits and Phases: 2: Woodlawn Road/Daimler Pkwy & Highway 406 SB Off-Ramp | | | | | | | | |

| ۯ1 | ■ → Ø2 (R) | |
|----------|------------|------|
| 20 s | 47 s | |
| 🕈 Ø6 (R) | • | 08 |
| 67 s | | 43 s |

Synchro 11 Report

| | - | 1 | + | 1 | ~ |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1282 | 225 | 553 | 252 | 860 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.49 | 0.74 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay | 29.4 | 27.7 | 7.1 | 54.5 | 1.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 29.4 | 27.7 | 7.1 | 54.5 | 1.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 111.6 | 18.5 | 33.7 | 51.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #197.1 | m46.5 | 76.8 | 72.6 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 458.7 | | 194.3 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | | 25.0 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1596 | 348 | 1135 | 535 | 1415 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.65 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.61 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. #

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 4 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † Ъ | | 5 | + | | | | | 3 | | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 841 | 339 | 207 | 509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 791 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 841 | 339 | 207 | 509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 791 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1651 | 1900 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 3201 | | 1555 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.10 | 1.00 | | | | | 0.95 | | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 3201 | | 167 | 1722 | | | | | 1743 | | 1415 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 914 | 368 | 225 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 860 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1250 | 0 | 225 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 860 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | | | | Perm | | Free |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 53.7 | | 72.5 | 72.5 | | | | | 21.5 | | 110.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 53.7 | | 72.5 | 72.5 | | | | | 21.5 | | 110.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.49 | | 0.66 | 0.66 | | | | | 0.20 | | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 6.8 | | 3.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 9.2 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 1562 | | 309 | 1134 | | | | | 340 | | 1415 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.39 | | c0.10 | 0.32 | | | | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | 0.38 | | | | | | 0.14 | | c0.61 |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.80 | | 0.73 | 0.49 | | | | | 0.74 | | 0.61 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 23.6 | | 23.0 | 9.4 | | | | | 41.6 | | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 0.98 | 0.56 | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.4 | | 6.5 | 1.2 | | | | | 8.4 | | 1.9 |
| Delay (s) | | 28.1 | | 29.0 | 6.4 | | | | | 50.1 | | 1.9 |
| Level of Service | | С | | С | А | | | | | D | | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 28.1 | | | 12.9 | | | 0.0 | | | 12.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | A | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 19.0 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.80 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 110.0 | Si | um of lost | time (s) | | | 19.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 1 | | 76.8% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | D | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ٠ | - | 1 | + | * | 1 | t | 1 | ŧ | ~ | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ٢ | ≜ †} | 7 | †† | 1 | 7 | ţ, | 7 | 1 | 1 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 820 | 18 | 925 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 820 | 18 | 925 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 340 | 178 | 657 | |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | pm+pt | NA | Perm | |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 15.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 45.0 | 84.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 9.0 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 34.6% | 64.6% | 30.0% | 30.0% | 30.0% | 6.9% | 18.5% | 16.9% | 28.5% | 28.5% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 81.0 | 76.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 27.0 | 16.7 | 43.0 | 29.7 | 29.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.23 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.53 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 1.29 | 0.76 | 0.64 | 1.30 | 1.35 | 0.49 | 0.96 | |
| Control Delay | 275.5 | 17.2 | 44.9 | 178.2 | 23.2 | 53.3 | 212.9 | 209.3 | 48.6 | 32.8 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 275.5 | 17.2 | 44.9 | 178.2 | 23.2 | 53.3 | 212.9 | 209.3 | 48.6 | 32.8 | |
| LOS | F | В | D | F | С | D | F | F | D | С | |
| Approach Delay | | 132.8 | | 133.8 | | | 153.6 | | 86.3 | | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | F | | | F | | F | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to | phase 2 | EBTL, St | art of Gre | en | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 150 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coord | dinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.53 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 122 | 2.7 | | | lr | ntersectio | n LOS: F | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on 131.39 | % | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | θH | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Brown Road/Primeway Drive & Woodlawn Road

| → Ø2 (R) | Ø3 | 1 Ø4 | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------|--|
| 84 s | | 22.s | 24 s | |
| ▶ _{Ø5} | ₩ Ø6 | ↑ Ø7 \$ Ø8 | | |
| 45 s | 39 s | 9 s 37 s | | |

| | ٠ | - | * | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | 1 | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 1007 | 20 | 1005 | 388 | 147 | 249 | 370 | 193 | 714 | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.53 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 1.29 | 0.76 | 0.64 | 1.30 | 1.35 | 0.49 | 0.96 | |
| Control Delay | 275.5 | 17.2 | 44.9 | 178.2 | 23.2 | 53.3 | 212.9 | 209.3 | 48.6 | 32.8 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 275.5 | 17.2 | 44.9 | 178.2 | 23.2 | 53.3 | 212.9 | 209.3 | 48.6 | 32.8 | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~279.2 | 76.4 | 4.1 | ~171.9 | 24.6 | 27.9 | ~81.6 | ~108.2 | 43.3 | 34.1 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | #355.4 | 94.0 | 11.8 | #212.7 | 66.5 | 45.8 | #134.6 | #169.3 | 67.1 | #126.9 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 178.7 | | 458.7 | | | 112.6 | | 86.4 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 150.0 | | 100.0 | | 85.0 | 50.0 | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 534 | 1890 | 106 | 782 | 511 | 229 | 191 | 275 | 393 | 747 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.53 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 1.29 | 0.76 | 0.64 | 1.30 | 1.35 | 0.49 | 0.96 | |

Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| | ٠ | - | 7 | 1 | - | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------|------|------------|------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | † 1 ₂ | | ٦ | ^ | 1 | ٦ | ţ, | | ۲ | + | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 751 | 820 | 107 | 18 | 925 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 751 | 820 | 107 | 18 | 925 | 357 | 135 | 217 | 12 | 340 | 178 | 657 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1651 | 1776 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 | 1651 | 1535 | 1535 | 1651 | 1776 | 1498 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1486 | 3215 | | 1486 | 3271 | 1234 | 1486 | 1477 | | 1486 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.12 | 1.00 | | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | 0.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 184 | 3215 | | 445 | 3271 | 1234 | 995 | 1477 | | 318 | 1722 | 1234 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 816 | 891 | 116 | 20 | 1005 | 388 | 147 | 236 | 13 | 370 | 193 | 714 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 466 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 816 | 999 | 0 | 20 | 1005 | 172 | 147 | 247 | 0 | 370 | 193 | 248 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | | 6 | | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 4 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 76.1 | 76.1 | | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 22.7 | 16.7 | | 38.7 | 29.7 | 29.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 76.1 | 76.1 | | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 22.7 | 16.7 | | 38.7 | 29.7 | 29.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.59 | 0.59 | | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.13 | | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 3.0 | 7.9 | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.3 | | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.8 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 528 | 1882 | | 106 | 782 | 295 | 196 | 189 | | 265 | 393 | 281 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.50 | 0.31 | | | 0.31 | | 0.03 | 0.17 | | c0.20 | 0.11 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.41 | | | 0.04 | | 0.14 | 0.10 | | | c0.21 | | 0.20 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.55 | 0.53 | | 0.19 | 1.29 | 0.58 | 0.75 | 1.31 | | 1.40 | 0.49 | 0.88 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 37.0 | 16.2 | | 39.4 | 49.4 | 43.7 | 49.8 | 56.6 | | 40.5 | 43.6 | 48.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 254.8 | 1.1 | | 0.6 | 138.0 | 2.4 | 14.3 | 171.4 | | 199.7 | 0.7 | 26.0 |
| Delay (s) | 291.7 | 17.3 | | 40.0 | 187.4 | 46.1 | 64.1 | 228.1 | | 240.2 | 44.3 | 74.4 |
| Level of Service | F | В | | D | F | D | E | F | | F | D | E |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 140.1 | | | 146.5 | | | 167.2 | | | 117.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | | F | | | F | | | F | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 138.4 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | F | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 1.56 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 130.0 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | | 21.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 131.3% | IC | U Level o | of Service | 9 | | Н | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX 16

Sensitivity Analysis #4 – 25% Decrease to Through Volumes along Woodlawn Road/Daimler Parkway – Queueing Analysis Results



| Future Total (2051) Conditions Model – Bridge Adjustment (-25%) – No Train – Microsimulation Results | | | | |
|---|-----|---|----------|-----------|
| | | Intersection | | Max Queue |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres |
| | | | EBL | 33 |
| | | | EBT | 54 |
| | | | EBR | 52 |
| | | | NBL | 26 |
| | | | NBT | 25 |
| | 4 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 24 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 20 |
| | | | SBT | 27 |
| | | [| SBR | 17 |
| | | | WBL | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 68 |
| | | | WBR | 17 |
| | | | EBR | 135 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 136 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Pamp Terminal | SBL | 33 |
| | | | WBL | 33 |
| uno | | | WBT | 52 |
| Н | | | EBL | 1 |
| eat | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway 406 NB Ramp Terminal | EBT | 51 |
| ΔV | | | EBR | - |
| AN | | | NBL | 46 |
| | | | NBT | 15 |
| | | | NBR | 15 |
| | | | SBL | 0 |
| | | | SBT | 6 |
| | | | SBR | 6 |
| | | | WBL | 41 |
| | | | WBT | 60 |
| | | | WBR | 60 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | | Ŭ | WBI | - |
| | | | EBL | 28 |
| | | | EBI | 28 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 28 |
| | | | | 15 |
| | | | | 11 |
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | 123 |
| | | | | 109 |
| | | | | 109 |
| | | | | 40 52 |
| | | Woodlawn Poad and Primowov | | 52 |
| | 1 | Drive / Brown Pood | | 52 |
| | 1 | | SDL | 55 |

| | | | SBT | 49 |
|--------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | | SBR | 63 |
| | | | WBL | 17 |
| | | | WBT | 121 |
| | | | WBR | 36 |
| | | | EBR | 175 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 175 |
| | 2 | 406 SP Pamp Torminal | SBL | 91 |
| | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 46 |
| our | | | WBT | 101 |
| Ĥ | | | EBL | 8 |
| eak | | | EBT | 153 |
| I P | | | EBR | - |
| ЪР | | | NBL | 98 |
| | | | NBT | 49 |
| | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 49 |
| | 5 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 9 |
| | | | SBR | 9 |
| | | | WBL | 44 |
| | | | WBT | 54 |
| | | | WBR | 54 |
| | 1 | Rail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | 7 | Rail Crossing | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 7 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBT | 7 |
| | 5 | | EBR | 7 |
| | 0 | | NBL | 42 |
| | | | SBR | 8 |
| | | | WBT | 19 |
| | | | EBL | 216 |
| | | | EBT | 105 |
| | | | EBR | 105 |
| | | | NBL | 45 |
| | | | NBT | 78 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 77 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 89 |
| | | | SBT | 92 |
| | | | SBR | 98 |
| | | | WBL | 13 |
| | | | WBT | 133 |
| | | | WBR | 43 |
| | | | EBR | 173 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 173 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 64 |
| 5 | | | WBL | 41 |
| Jou | | | WBT | 80 |
| х Т | | | EBL | 5 |
| ea | | | EBT | 97 |

| - | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|----|
| <u>с</u> Г | | | EBR | - |
| -Ăč | | | NBL | 72 |
| 0) | | | NBT | 30 |
| | 2 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 30 |
| | 3 | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 4 |
| | | | SBT | 9 |
| | | | SBR | 9 |
| | | | WBL | 39 |
| | | | WBT | 61 |
| | | | WBR | 61 |
| | 4 | Pail Crossing | EBT | - |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | WBT | - |
| | | | EBL | 76 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBT | 76 |
| | 5 | | EBR | 76 |
| | 5 | | NBL | 33 |
| | | | SBR | 10 |
| | | | WBT | 24 |

| Future Total (2051) Conditions Model – Bridge Adjustment (-25%) – With Train – Microsimulation Results | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|--------|
| | Intersection Max Queue | | | |
| Period | No. | Location | Movement | Metres |
| | | | EBL | 33 |
| | | | EBT | 54 |
| | | | EBR | 52 |
| | | | NBL | 26 |
| | | | NBT | 25 |
| | 1 | Woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBR | 24 |
| | | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 20 |
| | | | SBT | 27 |
| | | | SBR | 17 |
| | | | WBL | 9 |
| | | | WBT | 68 |
| | | | WBR | 16 |
| | | | EBR | 134 |
| | | Woodlown Road and Highway | EBT | 135 |
| | 2 | 406 SP Romp Torminal | SBL | 33 |
| | | 400 SB Ramp Terminal | WBL | 33 |
| no | | | WBT | 61 |
| Ĭ | | | EBL | 1 |
| eak | | | EBT | 56 |
| AM P | 3 | Daimler Parkway and Highway | EBR | - |
| | | | NBL | 46 |
| | | | NBT | 15 |
| | | | NBR | 15 |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 0 |
| | | | SBT | 6 |
| | | | SBR | 6 |
| | | | WBL | 55 |
| | | | WBT | 63 |
| | | | WBR | 63 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | 57 |
| | | | WBT | 90 |
| | | | EBL | 34 |
| | | | EBT | 34 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 34 |
| | | , | NBL | 1/ |
| | | | SBR | 11 |
| | | | | 21 |
| | | | EBL | 123 |
| | | | EBI | 109 |
| | | | EBK | 109 |
| | | | NBL | 40 |
| | | | NBI | 53 |
| | 1 | woodlawn Road and Primeway | NBK | 53 |

| | I | Drive / Brown Road | SBL | 55 |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | | SBT | 49 |
| | | | SBR | 65 |
| | | | WBL | 17 |
| | | | WBT | 122 |
| | | | WBR | 37 |
| | | | EBR | 175 |
| | | Woodlawn Road and Highway | EBT | 176 |
| | 2 | 406 SB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 108 |
| | | | WBL | 45 |
| our | | | WBT | 101 |
| Ч | | | EBL | 8 |
| eak | | | EBT | 206 |
| ٩ | | | EBR | - |
| A | 3 | | NBL | 98 |
| | | | NBT | 84 |
| | | Daimler Parkway and Highway | NBR | 84 |
| | | 406 NB Ramp Terminal | SBL | 8 |
| | | | SBT | 9 |
| | | | SBR | 9 |
| | | | WBL | 48 |
| | | | WBT | 55 |
| | | | WBR | 55 |
| | 4 | Rail Crossing | EBT | 59 |
| - | - | | WBT | 72 |
| | | | EBL | 59 |
| | | | EBT | 59 |
| | 5 | Daimler Parkway and Street 'A' | EBR | 59 |
| | U | | NBL | 43 |
| | | | SBR | 9 |
| | | | WBT | 20 |



Enbridge Gas Inc. 500 Consumers Road North York, Ontario M2J 1P8 Canada

August 3, 2023

Caitlin Kovacs Planner Infrastructure and Development Services City of Welland Planning Division 60 East Main Street Welland, ON L3B 3X4

Dear Caitlin,

Re: Draft Plan of Subdivision – 3 Year Extension 259957 Ontario Limited 289 Daimler Parkway City of Welland File No.: 26T-14-18003 Related Applications: OPA 16, 2018-01

Enbridge Gas does not have changes to the previously identified conditions for this extension of draft approval request.

Sincerely,

Willie Cornelio CET Sr Analyst Municipal Planning Engineering

ENBRIDGE TEL: 416-495-6411 500 Consumers Rd, North York, ON M2J1P8

enbridge.com Safety. Integrity. Respect. Inclusion.

Taylor Meadows

| From: | Municipal Planning <municipalplanning@enbridge.com></municipalplanning@enbridge.com> |
|--------------|---|
| Sent: | February 27, 2025 9:26 AM |
| То: | Taylor Meadows |
| Subject: | RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe |
| | Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003) |
| Attachments: | 26T-14-18003 - 289 Daimler Pkwy - Revised July 2023.pdf |

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Taylor

I can confirm we have no concerns with the removal of condition 92.

Thank you,

Casey O'Neil (she/her) Sr Analyst Municipal Planning Engineering

ENBRIDGE TEL: 416-495-5180 500 Consumers Rd, North York, ON M2J1P8

enbridge.com Safety. Integrity. Respect. Inclusion. High Performance.

From: Taylor Meadows <taylor.meadows@welland.ca> Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2025 7:37 PM Subject: [External] Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

CAUTION! EXTERNAL SENDER Were you expecting this email? TAKE A CLOSER LOOK. Is the sender legitimate? DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you are 100% sure that the email is safe. Evening,

The applicant for the above subdivision has made a request for a change of conditions; specifically, Condition #92 in the attached 'Conditions of Draft Plan approval'. A TIS has been provided to address this request, it is attached for reference. Please return any comments by **March 26, 2025**.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,



Taylor Meadows

From: Matt Richardson April 25, 2025 9:00 AM Sent: To: **Taylor Meadows** Cc: Adam Eckhart; Tanya Korolow RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Subject: Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Good Morning, Taylor,

In addition to the previous comments from the fire department, I will add the following:

-The fire department strongly supports the provision of an overpass connecting the development to the 406 and Oxford. This is the best alternative for egress to and from the site for both residents and emergency first responders. Traffic congestion at Daimler and Woodlawn can create challenges in emergency first responders accessing the site in a timely fashion with this influx of development.

-In the absence of an overpass, the fire department strongly recommends an emergency egress gate at the southern portion (in line with Oxford) of this development that connects the site to Highway 406. This gate will need to be satisfactory to fire, police, and ems. This will at least provide access from the south to the site for emergency first responders.

-The fire department also recommends that this southern tier of residential properties be protected by automatic sprinkler systems, which is a common practice in other Niagara municipalities expanding residential development along the Welland Canal with limited egress.

Thank you,



Matt Richardson, B.A., CFEI, CCFI-C, CMM I Deputy Fire Chief and Alternate CEMC Fire and Emergency Services

400 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X5

Phone: 905-735-1700 x2402

- welland.ca
- engagewelland.ca



This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

From: Taylor Meadows <taylor.meadows@welland.ca> Sent: April 24, 2025 10:44 AM To: Matt Richardson <matt.richardson@welland.ca>

Subject: FW: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

FYI



Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4

Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca

Welland FX in O

This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

From: Taylor Meadows <taylor.meadows@welland.ca> Sent: February 26, 2025 7:37 PM Subject: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Evening,

The applicant for the above subdivision has made a request for a change of conditions; specifically, Condition #92 in the attached 'Conditions of Draft Plan approval'. A TIS has been provided to address this request, it is attached for reference. Please return any comments by March 26, 2025.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,



Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4

Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca



Taylor Meadows

| From: | Deluca, Peter (MTO) <peter.deluca@ontario.ca></peter.deluca@ontario.ca> |
|----------|---|
| Sent: | June 4, 2025 11:06 AM |
| То: | Taylor Meadows |
| Cc: | Ali, Usama (MTO) |
| Subject: | RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe |
| | Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003) |

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Taylor,

I have reviewed this internally.

The MTO's position is to have the condition to remain within the conditions of approval.

Thanks,

Peter DeLuca | Senior Project Manager (Niagara/Hamilton)

Highway Corridor Management Section | Central Operations | Ministry of Transportation 159 Sir William Hearst Avenue, 7th Floor, Toronto, ON. M3M 0B7 Telephone: 647 248-8548| Email: <u>peter.deluca@ontario.ca</u>

From: Taylor Meadows <taylor.meadows@welland.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:39 PM
To: Deluca, Peter (MTO) <Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca>
Cc: Ali, Usama (MTO) <Usama.Ali@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender. Hi Peter,



Regards,

| Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 |
|--|
| Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca |
| |

From: Taylor Meadows <taylor.meadows@welland.ca>
Sent: May 27, 2025 9:14 AM
To: Deluca, Peter (MTO) <Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca>
Cc: Ali, Usama (MTO) <Usama.Ali@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Hi Peter,

Do you have an estimate on when comments will be provided for this file? We are aiming to have a recommendation report to Council at some point in June.

Regards,

| Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 |
|--|
| Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca |
| |

This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

From: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>> Sent: May 6, 2025 10:41 AM To: Deluca, Peter (MTO) <<u>Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca</u>> Cc: Ali, Usama (MTO) <<u>Usama.Ali@ontario.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Hi Peter,

Please see the attached file to view the Traffic Brief as provided by the *applicant* in support of their request to delete the condition of draft plan of subdivision conditions.

Additionally, please see the following link to view the public notice page for this file which includes technical information such as the City initiated TIS and DPS: https://www.welland.ca/media/notices/PPI-04-15-2025.asp.

Regards,

| Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 |
|--|
| Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca |
| |

This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

From: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>>
Sent: April 30, 2025 1:00 PM
To: Deluca, Peter (MTO) <<u>Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Ali, Usama (MTO) <<u>Usama.Ali@ontario.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

I will send an invitation for Tuesday at 10:30 a.m.

Regards,

| Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 |
|--|
| Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca |
| |

From: Deluca, Peter (MTO) <<u>Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca</u>>
Sent: April 30, 2025 12:59 PM
To: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>>
Cc: Ali, Usama (MTO) <<u>Usama.Ali@ontario.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Taylor,

I am hoping to gain comments within the next 4-6 weeks. Unfortunately our input offices are receiving an increase in workload, which is cause increased timelines for comments.

I would still like to chat about this file.

Can we set up a meeting early next week to discuss. My Monday and Tuesday are open.

Thanks,

Peter DeLuca | Senior Project Manager (Niagara/Hamilton)

Highway Corridor Management Section | Central Operations | Ministry of Transportation 159 Sir William Hearst Avenue, 7th Floor, Toronto, ON. M3M 0B7 Telephone: 647 248-8548| Email: <u>peter.deluca@ontario.ca</u>

From: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>> Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2025 9:08 AM



To: Deluca, Peter (MTO) <<u>Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca</u>>
 Cc: Ali, Usama (MTO) <<u>Usama.Ali@ontario.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender. Hi Peter,

Following up on this one. Could you please confirm when comments are to be expected for this file?

Regards,



This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

From: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>>
Sent: March 11, 2025 8:55 AM
To: Deluca, Peter (MTO) <<u>Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Ali, Usama (MTO) <<u>Usama.Ali@ontario.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Hi Peter,

Happy to meet to discuss this one further. Would you be able to provide some dates and times that work for you? This file was initiated and processed before my time at the City; however, I've provided two links that include information from the initial submission and what appears to be a resubmission:

- Initial submission: <u>https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:VA6C2:46204e80-99b7-40b7-9b3a-ece62e5c1b1d</u>
- Resubmission: https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:VA6C2:06f18314-4a82-4b85-9cbaa828e5837837

Regards,

| Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 |
|--|
| Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca |
| |

From: Deluca, Peter (MTO) <<u>Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca</u>>
Sent: February 27, 2025 1:47 PM
To: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>>
Cc: Ali, Usama (MTO) <<u>Usama.Ali@ontario.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Taylor,

Can you send me the drawings for the draft plan of subdivision. I am trying to get them internally; however, it may take some time.

Further to this, do you have any MTO correspondence/history based on the proposed overpass condition? I would like to ensure that the MTO did not require this overpass for the subdivision.

Perhaps we can even have a quick meeting about the site and its history to bring me up to speed.

Thanks,

Peter DeLuca | Senior Project Manager (Niagara/Hamilton)

Highway Corridor Management Section | Central Operations | Ministry of Transportation 159 Sir William Hearst Avenue, 7th Floor, Toronto, ON. M3M 0B7 Telephone: 647 248-8548| Email: <u>peter.deluca@ontario.ca</u>

From: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>>



Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2025 10:40 AM To: Ali, Usama (MTO) <<u>Usama.Ali@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Deluca, Peter (MTO) < Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca>

Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender. Thanks, Usama.



This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

From: Ali, Usama (MTO) <<u>Usama.Ali@ontario.ca</u>>

Sent: February 26, 2025 11:16 PM

To: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>>

Cc: Deluca, Peter (MTO) < Peter.Deluca@ontario.ca>

Subject: FW: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Good Day Taylor;

This Draft Plan of Conditions for the Hunters Pointe Subdivision approvals is forwarded to Peter Deluca, who is the Senior Project Manager for Hamilton/Niagara and will circulate the TIS to the Traffic Office.

Please co-ordinate the clearance with the Subdivision conditions with Peter.

Kind Regards,

Usama Ali

Corridor Management Planner (Hamilton/Niagara) | Corridor Management Office/Operations Division Ministry of Transportation (Central Operations) | Ontario Public Service 416-457-8973 | <u>usama.ali@ontario.ca</u>



Taking pride in strengthening Ontario, its places and its people

From: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>> Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2025 7:37 PM Subject: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender. Evening,

The applicant for the above subdivision has made a request for a change of conditions; specifically, Condition #92 in the attached 'Conditions of Draft Plan approval'. A TIS has been provided to address this request, it is attached for reference. Please return any comments by **March 26, 2025.**

Let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

| Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 |
|--|
| Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca |
| |

This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

Taylor Meadows

| From: | Young, Katie <katie.young@niagararegion.ca></katie.young@niagararegion.ca> |
|----------|---|
| Sent: | March 11, 2025 11:31 AM |
| То: | Taylor Meadows |
| Cc: | Development Planning Applications; Dunsmore, Susan |
| Subject: | RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe |
| | Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003) |

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Taylor,

Regional staff has reviewed this request. It's our understanding that condition 92 was added by Council. Staff has no objection to its removal.

Kind regards,



My workday may look different from your workday. Please do not feel obligated to respond outside of your normal working hours.

From: Taylor Meadows <taylor.meadows@welland.ca>
Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2025 9:01 AM
Subject: RE: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Morning,

Following up on this, the request is to *delete* the identified condition. Let me know if you have any further questions.

Regards,



This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

From: Taylor Meadows <<u>taylor.meadows@welland.ca</u>> Sent: February 26, 2025 7:37 PM Subject: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Evening,

The applicant for the above subdivision has made a request for a change of conditions; specifically, Condition #92 in the attached 'Conditions of Draft Plan approval'. A TIS has been provided to address this request, it is attached for reference. Please return any comments by **March 26, 2025.**

Let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

| Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 |
|--|
| Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca |
| |

This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

The Regional Municipality of Niagara Confidentiality Notice The information contained in this communication including any attachments may be confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above, and may be legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender and permanently delete the original and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank you.

Taylor Meadows

| From: | Taran Lennard <tlennard@npca.ca></tlennard@npca.ca> |
|----------|--|
| Sent: | March 13, 2025 10:35 AM |
| То: | Taylor Meadows |
| Subject: | RE: NPCA Review - Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - |
| • | Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003) |

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Taylor,

As this modification is not directly related to NPCA, we will not be providing any comments specific to Condition 92 and its removal. We will ask the Traffic Engineers at the City review and respond as they see fit.

NPCA's conditions will remain as presented in the Agreement.

Thank you for including us,



Taran Lennard Watershed Planner II

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) 3350 Merrittville Highway | Unit 9 | Thorold, ON L2V 4Y6

905.788.3135 ext. 277 www.npca.ca tlennard@npca.ca

For more information on Permits & Planning, please go to the Permits & Planning webpage at https://npca.ca/administration/permits.

For mapping on features regulated by the NPCA please go to our GIS webpage at https://gis-npca-camaps.opendata.arcgis.com/ and utilize our Watershed Explorer App or GIS viewer.

To send NPCA staff information regarding a potential violation of Ontario Regulation 41/24 please go to the NPCA Enforcement and Compliance webpage at https://npca.ca/administration/enforcement-compliance

From: Taylor Meadows <taylor.meadows@welland.ca>
Sent: February 26, 2025 7:37 PM
Subject: Draft Plan of Subdivision - Modification to Conditions of Approval - Hunters Pointe Redevelopment (File No. 26T-14-18003)

Evening,

The applicant for the above subdivision has made a request for a change of conditions; specifically, Condition #92 in the attached 'Conditions of Draft Plan approval'. A TIS has been provided to address this request, it is attached for reference. Please return any comments by **March 26, 2025**.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

| Taylor Meadows Manager of Development Planning Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 | | |
|--|--|--|
| Phone: 905-735-1700 x2246 welland.ca engagewelland.ca | | |
| | | |

This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

The information contained in this communication, including any attachment(s), may be confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure of this communication, or any of its contents, is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and permanently delete the original and any copy from your computer system. Thank-you. Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click <u>here</u> to report this email as spam.

COUNCIL MEETING FINANCE DEPARTMENT

REPORT FIN 2025-16 July 8, 2025

SUBJECT:2024 Year-End Operating Budget Variance Report and
2024 Capital Progress ReportAUTHOR:Anka Vuksan Scott, CPA
Manager of Budgets and Financial Services/ Deputy
TreasurerAPPROVER:Stephanie Nagel, BBA, CGA, CPA, MPA
GM Corporate Services and Enterprise Services, Chief
Financial Officer

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council receives for information the 2024 Year-End Operating Budget Variance Report and the 2024 Capital Progress report up to and including December 31, 2024; and further

THAT Council approves the 2024 Tax Supported Operating Budget surplus of \$1,541,460 to be allocated to the Operating Surplus Reserve Fund; and further

THAT Council approves the 2024 Water/Wastewater (Rate) Supported Operating Budget Surplus of \$1,834,044 to be allocated to the Water/Wastewater Reserve Fund.

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC

This recommendation is aligned with Council's strategic priority of ensuring **"Economic Growth**" for creating a diverse economy through partnerships and emerging markets to ensure sustainable growth and quality employment.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The City of Welland ended the fiscal year with an overall operating budget surplus of \$1,541,460 and \$1,834,044 in the Operating Budget and in the Water/ Wastewater, respectively. Staff recommend allocating the Tax Supported Operating budget surplus to the Operating Budget Surplus Reserve of \$1,541,460 and allocating the Rate Supported Operating budget surplus of \$1,834,044 to the Water/Wastewater Reserve Fund. It is important to note that the financial results are still subject to finalization as the annual external audit is underway by the City's external auditors, Grant Thornton.

Within the year-end report, the reserve fund balances increased from 2023 by 11.58%. The deferred revenue reserves established through legislation increased from 2023 by 5.08%. In addition, the investment holdings for the year yielded a 4.68% return. The report for all open capital projects as of December 31, 2024, is attached as Appendix IV.

BACKGROUND:

The City's operating budgets are comprised of a Tax Supported Operating Budget that is primarily funded through the tax levy, user fees, and grants. The Rate Supported Operating Budget is funded through the water/sewer rates and user fees.

Annual operating budgets for all City departments are established and approved by Council. Budgets are established based on existing service levels, professional estimates, current legislative requirements, analysis of trends around commodity pricing, inflationary pressures, interest rates, employment group contracts, and all other information available at the time of the budget. Departments base their budgets on the best estimate for all revenue and expenditure line items. Throughout the year, events and activities occur that impact actual vs. budget. For example, staff recruitment, legislative changes, projection updates, inflation, emergency repair work etc.

Departments are responsible for monitoring budgets on an ongoing basis and projecting to the end of the year. It is common that individual departments may generate a surplus or have a deficit, depending on the circumstances of that department. Since budgets are the best estimate at the time of budget approval. Finance and departments actively monitor the actual performance vs. budget to ensure the overall result is the optimal result base on the current year activities.

Therefore, the overall tax supported operating budget surplus represents approximately 2.03 % of total expenditures of approximately \$75.7 million. The Water/Wastewater supported operating budget overall surplus represents approximately 4.91% of the total expenditures of approximately \$37.3 million. This is a reasonable outcome from a prudent financial management perspective.

The summary by cost centre is attached as Appendix I. Staff comments on the variances are outlined within the Appendix.

DISCUSSION:

2024 Year-End Operating Budget Variance

In Appendix I, the City is reporting a year-end, unaudited surplus of \$1,541,460 in the Tax Supported Operating Budget. This is largely due to an increase in

supplemental tax revenue, investment income, an increase in penalties for overdue accounts, and savings across various expenses that contributed to the surplus.

The City is reporting an overall year-end, unaudited surplus of \$1,834,044 in the Water/Wastewater Supported Operating budgets. The water operating budget performed very close to budget, with a deficit of \$ (154,160). The wastewater operating budget accounted for an operating surplus of \$1,988,205. The majority of the surplus is due to the year-end reconciliation of wastewater by the Niagara Region. This reconciliation compares the budgeted flows (based on a three-year rolling average) vs. the 2024 actual flows for the year. This reconciliation resulted in a rebate for the 2024 year-end. The recommended allocation of the surplus to the reserve will assist in future wastewater capital budgets.

2024 Reserve Funds and Deferred Revenue Reserves

In Appendix II, the total reserve funds set aside for a specific purpose as approved by Council increased approximately 11.58% over 2023, primarily due to the proceeds of Northern Reach received to date in 2024 in the Economic Development Reserve Fund. Annually, many of these reserves are utilized as a funding mechanism for the Operating Budgets and Capital Budgets; however, continuing to use the reserve funds to fund operations and projects is not a sustainable source of funding long term if insufficient contributions are being made to the Reserve Funds.

The portion of reserves that are deferred revenue is set aside for a specific purpose as required through legislation, regulation, or agreement. These reserves are legislated through separate provisions and have differing requirements on how these funds are to be spent. These deferred revenues are collected primarily from Developers (DC's & CIL) and the Federal Government (CCBF). The development charges decreased by approximately 10%, indicating that the DC's were utilized for the eligible expenditures for the various capital projects as determined through the Development Charge background study.

Staff will be bringing forward an updated Reserve Fund By-law and Policy later this year.

2024 Investment Holdings

The City's current investment and cash holdings are outlined in Appendix III. The interest rates for 2024 were comparable to those of 2023 for half of the year at which point in July 2024, rates began to decline. The Bank of Canada issued several rate cuts in 2024. The overall rate of return for all the investments and cash on hand in 2024 was approximately 4.68%. A slight decline in investment income from 2023. Staff continues to monitor market activity to maximize returns for the City as investment income is a budget line item.

2024 Capital Progress Report

The capital progress report attached as Appendix IV outlines all open, outstanding, and completed projects as of December 31, 2024. All departments have provided status comments on the progression of open projects. In addition, there are many projects identified as complete and in warranty that will proceed to a future project close-out report to Council. For a project to proceed to a closeout report, the project must have completed the warranty period and all invoices be paid. At present, there are 184 projects in progress, 8 projects that are onhold, and 64 have been identified as complete and will be brought forward to a future close-out report.

The capital progress report excludes any projects that are involved in litigation matters, as they are discussed in closed session with Council.

FINANCIAL:

Staff recommend transferring the unaudited Operating Budget Variances to the Operating Surplus reserve and Water/ Wastewater reserve in the amount of \$1,541,460 and \$1,834,044, respectively.

Transferring the surpluses to the reserves is a fiscally responsible action to mitigate future unforeseen financial impacts that may arise in future fiscal years.

ATTACHMENT:

Appendix I - Q4 - 2024 Year End Operating Budget Variance Report by Costing Centre
Appendix II - Q4 - 2024 Reserve Funds and Deferred Revenue
Appendix III - Q4 - 2024 Investment Holdings
Appendix IV - Q4 - 2024 Capital Progress Report

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| 20-110-MAYOR'S OFFICE | 5,052 | Underspent in General Administration Expenses such as Mileage & Conferences. |
| 20-111-COUNCILLORS | 40,264 | Underspent in Conferences & Professional Development. |
| 20-112-CITY MANAGER / CAO | 19,452 | Underspent in Outside Consulting & Contingencies. |
| 20-120-CLERKS | (1,754) | The Integrity Commissioner Services budget was overspent due to an increase in complaints and requests for assistance related to conflicts of interest. The Professional Development budget was also exceeded, driven by heightened staff engagement in webinars aimed at supporting professional growth and enhancing organizational processes and efficiencies. |
| 20-125-COMMUNICATIONS | (93,140) | Webmaster position from Information Services and part-time contract for Communications from Economic Development allocated to this cost centre for part of the year. |
| 20-130-FINANCE | (7,527) | Increase in activity within the cost centre for services including increase in postage costs for mailings of bills and notices. |
| 20-131-INFORMATION SERVICES | 287,992 | Some annual service contracts for software support converted into multi-year contracts providing savings, and a vacant position for part of the year contributed to the overall surplus. Webmaster position allocated to Communications cost centre during the year attributing to the cost centre surplus. |
| 20-134-GENERAL REVENUE | 2,937,502 | City received additional grants from BFF, OCIF, MTO and an AMO Surplus allocation. Investment Income, Penalty on overdue accounts, and Supplemental tax revenues came in higher than budget. |

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 20-135-TAXATION EXPENDITURES | (1,198,321) | The additional grants received resulted in an increased transfer to capital for BFF and OCIF. AMO Surplus transfer to Reserve. Post retirement benefits came under budget and the tax write-offs for all appeals through the Assessment Review Board were under budget. |
| 20-139-GRANTS | (9,979) | Additional cost for Holy Trinity Shelter and Welland Food Fest Notice of Motion to allocate permissive grant for 2024. |
| 20-140-LEGAL | (132,435) | The increase is attributed to additional support required from legal counsel to address complex and time-sensitive matters that required specialized legal expertise beyond the scope of in-house resources. |
| 20-150-HUMAN RESOURCES | (109,566) | Increase in advertising for recruitment, and an increase is outside contracts and legal costs with sensitive staffing matters. |
| 20-210-FIRE | 662,089 | Underspent due to several vacancies while new positions went unfilled. New recruitment took longer than anticipated. Underspent in volunteer compensation because of fewer personnel and operational and training requirements. |
| 20-310-ENGINEERING - ADMINISTRATION | 878,707 | Underspending attributed to vacancies due to longer than expected vacancies. |
| 20-315-STREETLIGHTING | 623 | Performed within budget. |

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 20-316-SIDEWALKS | 38,316 | Reduction in casual staff hours and associated expenses. |
| 20-320-ROADWAYS | 328,157 | Surplus due to shared pooling resources of salaries and benefits with Fleet (323), Public Works(325), and Roadways (320). |
| 20-321-TRAFFIC CONTROL | (116,367) | Reallocation of Manager of Transportation and Traffic Operations Technician into Traffic Control cost centre from By-laws for part of the year. An increase in paint cost for pavement markings and rail maintenance. |
| 20-322-WINTER CONTROL | 186,563 | In 2024, the winter was more mild and therefore less salt and sand required. |
| 20-323-FLEET | 441,150 | Surplus due to shared pooling resources of salaries and benefits with Fleet (323), Public Works(325), and Roadways (320). |
| 20-325-PUBLIC WORKS | (695,453) | Deficit due to shared pooling resources of salaries and benefits with Fleet (323), Public Works(325), and Roadways (320). |
| 20-327-STORM SEWERS | 37,860 | Catch Basin contract for cleaning did not run for 2024. |
| 20-350-CROSSING GUARDS | 163,227 | In 2024, 76% of scheduled staff hours used against budget and only a portion of crossing guards opted into OMERS. |
| 20-380-PARKING | 98,941 | Increased number of tickets issued and reduced cancellation of tickets. |

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|--|--|--|
| 20-402-ROWING CANADA NATIONALS CHAMPIONSHIPS | (14,658) | Participation was lower than expected. Revenue linked to participation based on contract terms. |
| 20-405-RECREATION - PROGRAMS/SERVICES | (304,793) | Exceeded PT wage and OT budgets due to the staff requirements to deliver enhanced programming based on registration and outdoor summer programming needs. Projection for PT wages needs to be adjusted based on trends for actuals. Higher bank service fees related to more point of sale transactions. |
| 20-406-FESTIVALS AND EVENTS | (56,521) | PT wages and OT were underbudgeted and equipment rental rates for event rentals have significantly increased |
| 20-410-PARKS / OPEN SPACES | (1,660) | Overspent on PT Wages and Overtime to meet service levels of grass cutting and winter maintenance. Increased cost for encampment cleanups and there was an increase need for professional development and training for staff. |
| 20-412-PLAYING FIELDS | 15,484 | Underspent on FT Wages and sport field utilities. |
| 20-415-URBAN FORESTRY | 123,919 | Underspent on FT wages due to retirements and job vacancies, less spent on PT wages due to other priority work in parks, less need for OT and emergency work. |
| 20-420-CEMETERIES | 6,830 | Overspent on FT and PT wages to meet burial and grass cutting service levels, additional contract work was required for restoration work - Increased investment income offset any overage. |

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|--|--|---|
| 20-430-FAC-MTCE/LABOUR | 82,430 | Underspent as FT HVAC technician vacancy all year. |
| 20-432-FAC-ARENA | (84,000) | Outside contracts including HVAC due to above vacancy. Hydro and water consumption was higher than projected. |
| 20-434-FAC-WELLNESS CENTRE | (76,154) | Outside contracts including HVAC due to above vacancy, security enhancements, and pool repair were overspent due to need. Natural gas supply charges increased and consumption was higher than projected. |
| 20-435-FAC-EMPIRE OUTDOOR SPORTS COMPLEX | (13,797) | Over due to PT wages for sport camp. Revenue is trending up annually to offset. |
| 20-436-FAC-MARKET | (89,005) | Overspent as a result of increasing security requirements, vandalism and cleanup. |
| 20-438-FAC-SWIMMING POOL | (358,438) | Water meters for pools and splashpads were not read for 2022 & 2023 therefore three years of water consumption captured in 2024. Aging infrastructure at two pools has increased the need for major leak repairs including reinstatement of concrete decks, water loss and repairs to the circulation system. |

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 20-439-FAC-CIVIC SQUARE | (125,975) | Outside contracts overspent due to complex HVAC repair needs, leak repairs to pond and sump pump replacement. Additional security incurred to address facility needs. |
| 20-442-FAC-COURTHOUSE | 0 | Courthouse expenses are fully recoverable from the province. |
| 20-444-FAC-SERVICE CENTRE | (64,876) | HVAC repair due to Health & Safety with contracted services due to staff vacancies in cost centre 430. |
| 20-445-FAC-YSP RIVER ROAD | 0 | YSP expenses are fully recoverable from the Oak View Group. |
| 20-446-FAC-QUAKER RD BALL DIAMONDS | (64,331) | Aging infrastructure requirements at this location - HVAC repair, painting and fence repair. Higher than projected hydro. Advertising revenue recognition delayed due to postal strike. |
| 20-447-FAC-RECREATIONAL CANAL LANDS | (148,730) | Overspent as a result of additional PT & OT staff needs, additional custodial costs from increased events and outside contracts for fire alarm panel replacement, and elevator repair. Water meters were not read for 2022 & 2023 therefore three years of water consumption captured in 2024. |
| 20-448-FAC-COOKS MILLS HALL | (4,288) | PT wages over due to increasing rentals. |

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|---|--|--|
| 20-449-FAC-140 KING ST MUSEUM | 20,816 | Underspent due to lower needs for utilities and electrical contract service. |
| 20-450-FAC-HOOKER STREET | 3,804 | Increased rental revenue at this location. |
| 20-452-FAC-C.L. PINARD CTR | 17,822 | Increased rental revenue at this location. |
| 20-454-FAC-ANCILLARY BLDGS | 11,751 | Underspent due to less water consumption and fewer contracted services. |
| 20-459-FAC-FIRE HALLS | 6,582 | Decrease in outside contractor requirements and lower natural gas consumption. |
| 20-461-FAC-CHIPPAWA PK COMMUNITY CTR | (23,969) | Outside Janitorial contract was higher than budget due to rental activity. Overspent in PT wages for showing, prep and opening and closing year-round. |
| 20-462-FAC-FIRE HALL #1 EAST MAIN ST HQ | (17,295) | Overspent as a result of additional cleanings, HVAC repair requirements, and higher hydro consumption. |

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 20-463-FAC-FIRE HALL #2 KING ST | (249) | Performed within budget. |
| 20-510-PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICE | (412,048) | Reduced application fees due to slower economic conditions. An increase salary and benefit expenses and an increase in legal expenses due to OLT appeals. In addition, an increase in incentives over budget for 2024. |
| 20-520-BUILDING & LICENSING | (889,968) | Decrease in issuance of permits due to slow down in overall construction activity in 2024. |
| 20-525-BY-LAW ENFORCEMENT | 202,512 | Additional administrative penalties added in 2024 and increased enforcement. |
| 20-710-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | 38,914 | Underspent in City Promotions, Professional Development, Meetings and Memberships due to staff competing commitments, and scheduling challenges. Savings due to FT staff taking a contract through Communications. |
| Tax Supported Year-End Variance | ə 1,541,460 | |
| 25-910-WATER WORKS | (154,161) | Higher water sales then budget offset by an increase in costs to purchase water meters and parts for new developments and additional repairs required on water mains and equipment. |

| Costing Centre: | Year End Variance Surplus/(Deficit) | Comment |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 30-330-WASTEWATER | 1,988,205 | Increase in fixed charges collected due to new water accounts and retail sewer flows performed above budget. The main contributor of the surplus is due to the year end wastewater reconciliation rebate for 2024. This reconciliation compares the budgeted flows vs the actual flows, resulting in a positive rebate for wastewater. |
| Rate Supported Year-End Variance | 1,834,044 | |

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF WELLAND SUMMARY OF RESERVE FUNDS AND DEFERRED REVENUE as at December 31, 2024

| RESER PURPO | VE FUNDS SET ASIDE FOR SPECIF SES BY COUNCIL: | FIC | 2024 | 2024 | 2023 | % Balance |
|----------------|--|--------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| | | | Total | Uncommitted | Total | Increae/Decrease |
| | | _ | Balance | Balance | Balance | 0001 2025 |
| 400 | | | 40.050.740.00 | 11 010 701 00 | 0.005.040.00 | 4440/ |
| 402 403 | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 5 |) | 19,352,712.60 | 14,218,761.60 | 9,035,842.28 | 114% |
| 405 | | | 0,000.70 111 //3 30 | 111 113 30 | 102 264 41 | 0 % Q% |
| 406 | SICKLEAVE | | 40,304,11 | 40,304,11 | 40,304,11 | 0% |
| 410 | MUNICIPAL INCENTIVE GRANT | | 766 994 05 | 766 994 05 | 966 994 05 | -21% |
| 412 | POOL MAINTENANCE | | 2.288.14 | 2.288.14 | 2.288.14 | 0% |
| 413 | WORKERS SAFETY INSURANCE | BOARD | 691.784.61 | 691.784.61 | 668.043.75 | 4% |
| 425 | PARKING | | 22,656.81 | 22,656.81 | 12,656.81 | 79% |
| 426 | COURTHOUSE MAINTENANCE | | 153,496.61 | 153,496.61 | 98,371.56 | 56% |
| 427 | FLEET | | 799,884.95 | 799,884.95 | 558,591.97 | 43% |
| 430 | WATER/SEWER FUND SURPLUS | | 4.324.009.85 | 3.166.672.27 | 5.089.689.18 | -15% |
| 433 | OPERATING SURPLUS | | 6,967,981.20 | 1.576,678.43 | 7,202,568.20 | -3% |
| 442 | SPORTS & CULTURE INFRASTRU | CTURE | 292.00 | 292.00 | 905,292.00 | -100% |
| 445 | RECREATIONAL CANAL LANDS | | 14,143,562.51 | 13,818,562.51 | 14,048,667.01 | 1% |
| 444 | INSURANCE RESERVE | | 1,490,948.00 | 1,490,948.00 | 1,490,948.00 | 0% |
| 449 | AFFORDABLE/ATTAINABLE HOUS | SING | 676,000.00 | 676,000.00 | 676,000.00 | 0% |
| 1401 | DOWNTOWN BROWNFIELD | | 1,109,417.34 | 1,109,417.34 | 1,329,417.34 | -17% |
| 1402 | CAPITAL SURPLUS | | 4,986,197.23 | 3,577,197.23 | 5,080,461.45 | -2% |
| 1400 | YSP SUSTAINABILITY | | 7,580,087.64 | 7,580,087.64 | 7,314,402.48 | 4% |
| 1403 | TAX REDUCTION | | 786,928.99 | 786,928.99 | 786,928.99 | 0% |
| 1404 | ELECTIONS | | 231,552.41 | 231,552.41 | 184,842.41 | 25% |
| 1406 | ARENA REPLACEMENT RESERVE | | 50,000.00 | 50,000.00 | - | 100% |
| 2017 | INFRASTRUCTURE RENEWAL | | 12,058,760.71 | 11,504,760.46 | 12,418,649.39 | -3% |
| 2018 | ATLAS LANDFILL | | 3,177,617.60 | 3,177,617.60 | 3,260,065.60 | -3% |
| | TOTAL RESERVE FUNDS | | 70 500 057 54 | | 74 004 005 00 | 44 500/ |
| | (UNAUDITED) <u>\$</u> | | /9,533,257.51 | 65,562,665.91 | /1,281,625.89 | 11.58% |
| DEFER | RED REVENUE (RESERVE FUNDS) | SET AS | IDE FOR SPECIF | IC | | |
| PURPU | SES DI LEGISLATION, REGULATION | | GREEMENT | | | |
| 401 | PLANNING ACT \$ | 5 | 7,894,370.99 | 4,434,514.63 | 6,110,725.56 | 29% |
| 408 | SUBDIVIDERS - FUTURE SERVICE | ES | 6,414,672.97 | 6,414,672.97 | 6,205,463.86 | 3% |
| 1407 | BUILDING PROVISION | | 3,146,393.36 | 3,146,393.36 | 2,730,508.13 | 15% |
| 438 | FEDERAL GAS TAX REBATE | | 3,772,581.31 | 618,884.40 | 2,746,544.16 | 37% |
| | DEVELOPMENT CHARGES | | 15,048,966.74 | 2,800,029.57 | 16,729,738.61 | -10% |
| | TOTAL DEFERRED REVENUE | | | 47 44 4 40 4 00 | | E 000/ |
| | FUNDS (UNAUDITED) | | 36,276,985.37 | 17,414,494.93 | 34,522,980.32 | 5.08% |

 TOTAL RESERVE FUNDS
 \$ 115,810,242.88
 82,977,160.84
 105,804,606.21
 9.46%

Page 345 of 497

| <u>Investments</u> | | % Total Investment Portfolio | Individual Organization % |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Reserves | | | |
| National Bank GIC | 5,000,000.00 | 4.02% | 7.21% |
| TD Mortgage Corp GIC | 3,815,920.80 | 3.07% | 3.07% |
| Meridian Cr. Union GICs | 6,500,486.81 | 5.23% | 7.93% |
| Scotiabank Capital Markets | 5,500,000.00 | 4.42% | 11.66% |
| Bank of Montreal Capital Markets | 7,000,000.00 | 5.63% | 22.51% |
| RBC Capital Markets | 3,500,000.00 | 2.81% | 10.05% |
| Desjardins Capital Markets | 2,000,000.00 | 1.61% | 12.06% |
| Canal Lands | | | |
| National Bank of Canada Ext Step | 1,205,973.67 | 0.97% | 0.97% |
| NBC Extendible Step Up Deposit | 630,618.59 | 0.51% | 0.51% |
| Scotiabank Capital Markets | 1,000,000.00 | 0.80% | 11.66% |
| Bank of Montreal Capital Markets | 2,000,000.00 | 1.61% | 22.51% |
| Meridian Cr. Union GICs | 3,082,633.60 | 2.48% | 7.93% |
| Desjardins Capital Markets | 3,000,000.00 | 2.41% | 12.06% |
| Operating | | | |
| National Bank | 1,967,916.41 | 1.58% | 7.21% |
| National Bank GIC | 2,000,000.00 | 1.61% | 7.21% |
| BMO Wealth Management | 3,500,000.00 | 2.81% | 2.81% |
| Meridian Cr. Union GIC | 284,800.00 | 0.23% | 7.93% |
| Desjardins Capital Markets | 10,000,000.00 | 8.04% | 12.06% |
| Bank of Montreal Capital Markets | 19,000,000.00 | 15.27% | 22.51% |
| DUCA GIC | 3,000,000.00 | 2.41% | 2.41% |
| RBC Capital Markets/GIC | 9,000,000.00 | 7.23% | 10.05% |
| Scotiabank Capital Markets | 8,000,000.00 | 6.43% | 11.66% |
| Total Investments | 100,988,349.88 | | |
| <u>Loans</u> | | | |
| Airport Loan | 645,802.07 | 0.52% | |
| Hydro Loan | 2,000,000.00 | 1.61% | |
| <u>Cash</u> | | | |
| RBC Cash & Cash Equivalents | 20,774,776.71 | 16.70% | |
| 2024 Draft Unaudited Investment & | | | |
| Cash Holdings | 124,408,928.66 | 100.00% | |

Investment & Cash Holdings of the Corporation of the City of Welland As of December 31, 2024

Category Summary Legend

Corporations

| National Bank of Canada Ext Step | 1,205,973.67 | 0.97% |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| NBC Extendible Step Up Deposit | 630,618.59 | 0.51% |
| TD Mortgage Corp GIC | 3,815,920.80 | 3.07% |
| Bruton Investment Group-National Bar | 8,967,916.41 | 7.21% |
| Meridian Cr Union GICs | 9,867,920.41 | 7.93% |
| BMO Wealth Management | 3,500,000.00 | 2.81% |
| Desjardins Capital Markets | 15,000,000.00 | 12.06% |
| DUCA | 3,000,000.00 | 2.41% |
| Scotiabank Capital Markets | 14,500,000.00 | 11.66% |
| Bank of Montreal Capital Markets | 28,000,000.00 | 22.51% |
| RBC Capital Markets | 12,500,000.00 | 10.05% |
| Airport Loan | 645,802.07 | 0.52% |
| Hydro Loan | 2,000,000.00 | 1.61% |
| RBC Cash & Cash Equivalents | 20,774,776.71 | 16.70% |

Total 124,408,928.66

.

| | Progress Report for C As of | Dutstanding Capi the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 T nber, 2024 | 0 2024 | | |
|--|---|---|--|----------|---|--|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| 2018 FACILITIES WIFC Timing Tower Waterproofing & Drainage Improvements | 10-447-18032/ 1044718032 | 321,000 | 272,299 | 48,701 | IN PROGRESS | Pending resolution / Litigation. Elite - distribution |
| Civic Square Plaza Revitalization Phase 1 | 10-439-18044/ 1043918044 | 100,000 | 45,767 | 54,233 | IN PROGRESS | at the WIFC at the WIFC RFP for detailed design underway, construction |
| | | 421,000 | 318,066 | 102,934 | | |
| Tree Removal - (EAB) - City Trees | 10-410-18051/ 1041018051 | 150,000 | 148,889 | 1,111 | IN PROGRESS | Remaining funds to be used for ongoing City ash tree removal |
| Tree Removal (EAB) - Canal Trails Phase III | 10-410-18052/ 1041018052 | 150,000 | 105,073 | 44,927 | IN PROGRESS | Remaining funds to be used for City ash tree removal along the canal lands |
| TOTAL PARKS | | 300,000 | 253,962 | 46,038 | | |
| Municipal Standards Update | 10-320- 18033/10 32018033/1032718033/1 033018033/1091018033 | 40,000 | 13,034 | 26,966 | IN PROGRESS | Engineering standards document to be coordinated with new development requirements. |
| TOTAL ROADWAYS | | 40,000 | 13,034 | 26,966 | | |
| Lead Service Replacement (City Side) | 10-910-18064/ 1091018064 | 177,032 | 172,601 | 4,431 | COMPLETE | In warranty - various proiects. |
| District Meter Installations | 10-910-18079/ 1091018079 | 130,000 | 28,708 | 101,292 | IN PROGRESS | Project is underway. |
| TOTAL WATERWORKS | | 307,032 | 201,310 | 105,722 | | |
| TOTAL 2018 | | 1,068,032 | 786,371 | 281,661 | | |
| 2019 ROADWAYS | | | | | | |
| South Pelham Road (Top Asphalt - Webber to Gaiser) | 10-320-19456/ 1032019456 | 80,000 | 43,282 | 36,718 | COMPLETE | Development cost share. In warranty |
| South Pelham Road (Top Asphalt - Gaiser to South Limit) | 10-320-19466/ 1032019466 | 80,000 | 18,017 | 61,983 | COMPLETE | Development cost share. In warranty |

| | Progress Report for C As of | Outstanding Capi the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 T nber, 2024 | O 2024 | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|---|---|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Memorial Park Drive (Top Asphalt) | 10-320-19476/ 1032019476 | 100,000 | 86,544 | 13,456 | COMPLETE | Development cost share. In warrantv |
| TOTAL ROADWAYS | | 260,000 | 147,843 | 112,157 | | |
| TOTAL 2019 | | 260,000 | 147,843 | 112,157 | | |
| 2020 BRIDGES & CULVERTS | | | | | | |
| Forks Road Bridge Replacement - Phase 1 & 2 | 10-320- 20840/ 1032020840/1032021201 | 13,784,392 | 11,222,515 | 2,561,877 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| TOTAL BRIDGES & CULVERTS CANAL I ANDS | | 13,784,392 | 11,222,515 | 2,561,877 | | |
| Updated Concept Plan for Recreational Canal and | 10-447-20702/ 1044720702 | 200,000 | 58,035 | 141,965 | IN PROGRESS | Funds have been spent on |
| עי מנכו ועמן | | | | | | project to be reviewed in 2025 for remaining work. |
| Welland Boat Rental Equipment | 10-447-20004/1 044720004 | 75,000 | 65,062 | 9,938 | | |
| TOTAL CANAL LANDS GENERAI | | 275,000 | 123,098 | <u>151,902</u> | | |
| Community Benefits and By-law Study | 10-510-20292/ 1051020292 | 50,000 | 39,227 | 10,773 | IN PROGRESS | To be considered as part of updated DC By-law 2026 |
| TOTAL GENERAL | | 50,000 | 39,227 | 10,773 | | |
| PARKS Pre-Approved 2020-Lincoln St Docks/Rotary Park - Phase 2 | 10-410-20143/ 1041020143 | 1,200,000 | 1,157,425 | 42,575 | IN PROGRESS | Remaining Funds to be uses for parks upgrades as per MOU |
| TOTAL PARKS | | 1,200,000 | 1,157,425 | 42,575 | | |
| Gaiser Road Top Asphalt - Sparrow PH3 | 10-320-20406/ 1032020406 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | IN PROGRESS | Development cost share. Work complete, waiting on invice from developer |
| TOTAL ROADWAYS | | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | | |
| STORM SEWERS Climate Change Adaptation Planning | 10-327-20341/ 1032720341 | 60,000 | 19,151 | 40,849 | IN PROGRESS | |
| TOTAL STORM SEWERS | | <u>60,000</u> | 19,151 | 40,849 | | |
| Gateway Signage | 10-321-20050/ 1032120050 | 39,398 | 15,447 | 23,951 | IN PROGRESS | |
| | | | | | | |

| | Progress Report for C As of | Dutstanding Cap the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 T nber, 2024 | O 2024 | | |
|--|---|--|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| TOTAL TRAFFIC WASTEWATER | | 39,398 | 15,447 | 23,951 | | |
| Pre-Approved 2020 Broadway Area | 10-330-20222/ 1033020222 | 381,704 | 188,227 | 193,477 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER | | 381,704 | 188,227 | 193,477 | | |
| WAI EKWUKNS Cast Iron Watermain Replacement Program | 10-910-20215/ 1091020215 | 2,406,162 | 2,404,457 | 1,706 | COMPLETE | In Warranty, Various Proiects |
| TOTAL WATERWORKS | | 2,406,162 18 296 656 | 2.404.457 15 169 546 | <u>1.706</u> 3 127 110 | | |
| 2021 | | | 2.000 | | | |
| FACILITIES Flooring Replacements | 10-430- 21452/ 1043020183/1043021452 | 190,000 | 145,596 | 44,404 | IN PROGRESS | For general flooring repairs and renewals |
| WIFC - Roof Remediation Works (Tower & Athlete Centre) | 10-447-21455/ 1044721455 | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | IN PROGRESS | Pending Legal Resolution |
| Courthouse Sliding Gate Replacement | 10-442-21458/ 1044221458 | 20,000 | 6,979 | 13,021 | COMPLETE | In Warranty Period |
| Civic Hall Vestibule Door Replacements | 10-439-21459/ 1043921459 | 30,000 | 5,999 | 24,001 | IN PROGRESS | Door replacements to be completed in Q2 of 2025 |
| PW Automatic Gate | 10-444-21462/ 1044421462 | 000'09 | 55,763 | 4,237 | COMPLETE | In Warranty Period |
| TOTAL FACILITIES GENERAL | | 360,000 | 214,337 | 145,663 | | |
| OFFICIAL PLAN UPDATE | 10-510- 21651/1 051019085/1051020290/1 051021651 | 150,000 | 123,819 | 26,181 | IN PROGRESS | Additional work needed due changes in Planning Act, goal is to complete in fall of 2025. |
| Public Works Asset Management (ESRI) | 10-131- 21021/ 1013119669/1013120699/1 013121021 | 552,000 | 602,121 | (50,121) | IN PROGRESS | Project completion scheduled for Q3 2025 |
| TOTAL GENERAL MULTI-ASSET | | 702,000 | 725,940 | (23,940) | | |
| West Main Area (Niagara to PCD Phase 2-4 Design)(Water)(Multi-Asset) | 10-910- 21753/1031621753/1032021753/1 032721753/1033021753/10910217 53 | 150,000 | 178,317 | (28,317) | IN PROGRESS | Region Cost Share (Regional Invoice to be reconciled) - In Design, Tender Q2 2025, Construction Q3 2025 |
| Broadway Area - Construction of Trunk Sanitary Sewer (Roads, Storm, Sewer, Watermain) | 10-320- 21764/1032021764/1032721764/1 033021764/1091021764 | 3,650,000 | 3,919,348 | (269,348) | COMPLETE | In Warranty |

| | Progress Report for (As of | Outstanding Capi the end of Decen | ital Projects 2018 TC nber, 2024 |) 2024 | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|-----------|---|--|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Iva Street Construction - Development Agreement (Roads/Sidewalks/Water/Sewer/Storm) | 10-320- 21766/1031621766/1032021766/1 032721766/1033021766/10910217 66 | 330,000 | 255,799 | 74,201 | ON-HOLD | Final asphalt deferred during on-going development. |
| Clare Ave Extension - East Side Sidewalks & Safety Railing (316/320) | 10-320- 21171/ 1031621171/1032021171 | 200,000 | 173,325 | 26,675 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| Northaven Road Watermain Replacement (Roads/ Water/Sewer/ Sidewalks/Storm) | 10-320- 21772/ 1031621772/1032021772/1 032721772/1033021772/10910217 72 | 1,775,000 | 1,972,262 | (197,262) | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| Elizabeth Street West Infrastructure Renewals | 10-320- 21775/1031621775/1032021775/1 032721775/1033021775/10910217 75 | 771,500 | 939,487 | (167,987) | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| Riverbank Street Watermain Replacement (Roads/Water/Sewer/Sidewalks/Storm) | 10-320- 21776/1031621776/1032021776/1 032721776/1033021776/10910217 76 | 750,000 | 845, 773 | (95,773) | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| Parkdale Place Infrastructure Renewals (Roads/Sidewalks/Storm/Water/Wastewater) | 10-320- 21778/1031621778/1032021778/1 032721778/1033021778/10910217 78 | 791,680 | 781,660 | 10,020 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| Fourth Street Sanitary Sewer Replacement (Roads/Sidewalks/Wastewater) | 10-320- 21707/ 1031621707/1032021707/1 033021707 | 525,000 | 519,441 | 5,559 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| Fifth Street Sewer Replacement (Roads/Sidewalks/Wastewater) | 10-320- 21708/ 1031621708/1032021708/1 033021708 | 575,000 | 751,095 | (176,095) | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| Sixth Street Sanitary Sewer Replacement (Roads/Sidewalks/Wastewater) | 10-320- 21709/ 1031621709/1032021709/1 033021709 | 650,000 | 771,257 | (121,257) | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| TOTAL MULTI-ASSET | | 10,168,180 | 11,107,765 | (939,585) | | |
| <u>PARKS</u> Chaffey Park Playground & Landscaping | 10-410-21403/ 1041021403 | 250,000 | 250,627 | (627) | COMPLETE | In Warranty until summer 2025 |
| MEMORIAL PARK POOL CONSULTING | 10-410-21411/ 1041021411 | 282,893 | 338,608 | (55,715) | COMPLETE | To Be Closed with Memorial Park Pool Capital Project. |
| TOTAL PARKS | | 532,893 | 589,235 | (56,342) | | |

Page 351 of 497

| | | 425.809 | 1.933.391 | 2.359.200 | | TOTAL WASTEWATER |
|---|---|-----------|--|--|---------------------------------|---|
| In Warranty, Various Projects | COMPLETE | (102,638) | 1,711,838 | 1,609,200 | 10-330-21779/ 1033021779 | Sanitary Sewer Separation/Replacements |
| DMAF funding. | | | | | | |
| Further remediation work on- | ON-HOLD | 481,174 | 18,826 | 500,000 | 10-330-21715/ 1033021715 | Dain City Infiltration/Inflow Reduction Program |
| വ2 2025, Construction പാ 2025 | | | | | | |
| Sewer - In Design, Tender | | | | | | |
| Phase I Ontario Street Trunk | | | | | | |
| Commercial Street MSP | IN PROGRESS | (52,727) | 202,727 | 150,000 | 10-330-21720/ 1033021720 | Commercial St MSP & Ontario St Trunk Sewer |
| On hold until Major St MSP is complete. | ON-HOLD | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 10-330-21717/ 1033021717 | Hagar Street Sewer Bulkhead Removals |
| | | | | | | WASTEWATER |
| | | 592,866 | 1,487,134 | 2,080,000 | | TOTAL STORM SEWERS |
| In Warrantv | COMPLETE | 544.661 | 1.165.339 | 1.710.000 | 10-327-21366/ 1032721366 | Dain City Storm Channel Improvements |
| received. Ditch design is on- going and construction is dependant on NIF Funding. | | | | | 21364/1032720205/1032721364 | (Ditch Outlet Review & Design) |
| David Street final report | IN PROGRESS | 28,205 | 321,795 | 350,000 | 10-327- | David Street Area Storm Sewer MSP & Model |
| | IN PROGRESS | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 10-327-21352/ 1032721352 | Climate Change Adaptation Planning |
| | | 294,857 | <u>1,375,143</u> | 1,670,000 | | TOTAL SIDEWALKS STORM SEWERS |
| Projects | | | | | | ADDITIONS/REPLACEMENTS CAPITAL SPENDING |
| In Warranty, Various | COMPLETE | 197,970 | 852,030 | 1,050,000 | 10-316-21100/ 1031621100 | INCREASE 2021 SIDEWALK |
| In Warranty, Various Projects | COMPLETE | 34,936 | 215,064 | 250,000 | 10-316-21103/ 1031621103 | Sidewalks - Missing Links Master Plan & Construction |
| Projects | | | | | | |
| In Warranty Various | COMPLETE | 61 950 | 308 050 | 370.000 | 10-316-21102/ 1031621102 | SIDEWALKS Sidewalks - Condition Related Renlacements |
| | | 327,644 | <u>5,225,355</u> | 5,552,999 | | |
| In Warranty, Various Proiects | COMPLETE | 93,920 | 3,188,991 | 3,282,911 | 10-320-21150/ 1032021150 | INCREASE 2021 ROAD RESURFACING CAPITAL SPENDING |
| In Warranty, Various Projects | COMPLETE | 233,724 | 2,036,364 | 2,270,088 | 10-320-21187/ 1032021187 | Road Resurtacing Program |
| | | | | | | ROADWAYS |
| Additional Comments | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Variance | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Capital Approved Budget | Account Number | Function |
| | | 0 2024 | tal Projects 2018 T(nber, 2024 | Dutstanding Capi the end of Decen | Progress Report for (As of | |
| | | | 1-1 Purisets 2010 T/ | factor of the state of the stat | | |

| | Additional Comments | Dependant on uptake. | Additional updates to be provided. | In Warranty, Various Projects | | Final post-construction inspections on-aging. | | For General Flooring Repairs & Renewals | Currently being designed | Report being completed | In Warranty Period | Currently being designed | To be used for annual site reassessment | | hazardous materials equipment being trialled and tested, ordering to ouffit new rescue truck in 2025 | project close out planned in 2025 | servicing to be completed in Q2 2025 | last of paving to be completed after training tower construction | last of landscape to be completed after tower construction and soil conditions support vegetation | | |
|--|--|---|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|------------------|---|---|--|--|--|------------|---|
| | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | COMPLETE | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS | COMPLETE | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS |
|) 2024 | Variance | (9,827) | 1,454 | 929,230 | <u>920,858</u> 1,687,831 | 15,710 | 15,710 | 33,880 | 295,212 | 14,151 | (465,089) | 38,148 | 17,266 | (66.431) | 40,905 | 81,757 | 23,643 | 26,107 | 30,557 | 202,971 | 27,052 |
| al Projects 2018 TO Iber, 2024 | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | 34,827 | 23,546 | 6,650,831 | <u>6,709,204</u> 29,367,503 | 84,290 | 84,290 | 41,120 | 4,788 | 15,849 | 7,035,089 | 1,852 | 57,734 | 7,156,431 | 9, 095 9 | 118,243 | 76,357 | 123,893 | 44,443 | 372,029 | 47,948 |
| Dutstanding Capit the end of Decem | Capital Approved / Budget | 25,000 | 25,000 | 7,580,062 | 7,630,062 31,055,333 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 75,000 | 300,000 | 30,000 | 6,570,000 | 40,000 | 75,000 | 000'060'2 | 50,000 | 200,000 | 100,000 | 150,000 | 75,000 | 575,000 | 75,000 |
| Progress Report for (As of | Account Number | 10-910-21752/ 1091021752 | 10-910-21755/ 1091021755 | 10-910-21780/ 1091021780 | | 10-320-22205/ 1032022205 | | 10-430-22452/ 1043022452 | 10-442-22457/ 1044222457 | 10-444-22459/ 1044422459 | 10-438-22475/ 1043822475 | 10-442-22461/1044222461 | 10-430-22480/ 1043022480 | | 10-210-22051/ 1021022051 | 10-210-22052/ 1021022052 | 10-210-22054/ 1021022054 | 10-210-22055/ 1021022055 | 10-210-22058/ 1021022058 | | 10-131-22000/ 1013122000 |
| | Function | WATERWORKS Lead Service Replacement Program (Private Side) | Water Model Updates & Water Master Plan | Cast Iron Watermain Replacements | TOTAL WATERWORKS TOTAL 2021 | 2022 BRIDGES & CULVERTS Inspection of Canal Monolith Walls | TOTAL BRIDGES & CULVERTS | Flooring Replacement Requirements - City Wide | Courthouse Heating System - Replacements (2021 Based on Condition Assessment) | PW Building - Energy Audit | Pre-Approved Memorial Park Pool Revitalization | Courthouse Boiler Room Insulation | Asbestos Audit Phase 2 of 2 | TOTAL FACILITIES | Air Monitoring Equipment | Furniture Fixtures & Equipment Stations #1 and #2 | Outbuilding and specialized rescue addition to training field | Fire Station # 1 paving parking lot | Landscaping at Fire station #1 | TOTAL FIRE | GENERAL New Technology Equipment/Licensing |

| | Progress Report for C As of | Utstanding Cap the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 To nber, 2024 | 0 2024 | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|-----------|---|---|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Pre-Approved Document Management System | 10-131-22002/ 1013122002 | 152,876 | 142,149 | 10,727 | IN PROGRESS | |
| TOTAL GENERAL | | 227,876 | 190,097 | 37,779 | | |
| MUL IT-AGEL Patterson Avenue Infrastructure Renewals (Multi- Asset) | 10-(320/316/327/330/910)- 22160/1031622160/1032022160/1 032722160/1033022160/10910221 60 | 1,109,600 | 1,044,287 | 65,313 | COMPLETE | Warranty complete. Reconciliation of accounts. To be dosed out in 2025. |
| Alberta Street Infrastructure Renewals (Multi-Asset) | 10-(910/320/327/316)- 22765/1031622765/1032022765/1 032722765/1091022765 | 625,000 | 235,860 | 389,140 | IN PROGRESS | Development cost share. Top coat expected this year with invoice from developer to follow for this work |
| 2022 Infrastructure Renewals 2 (Multi-Asset) | 10-(320/316/327/330/910)- 22753/1031622753/1032022753/1 032722753/1033022753/10910227 53 | 2,069,706 | 1,916,956 | 152,750 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| 2022 Road Reconstruction 2 (Multi-Asset) | 10-(320/316/327)- 22166/1031622166/1032022166/1 032722166 | 4,141,685 | 3,898,517 | 243,168 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| 2022 Infrastructure Renewals 1 (Mutti-Asset) | 10-(320/ 910/330/316/327)- 22743/1031622743/1032022743/1 032722743/1033022743/10910227 43 | 5,524,100 | 5,257,117 | 266,983 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| 2022 Road Reconstruction 1 (Multi-Asset) | 10-(320/316/327)- 22167/1031622167/1032022167/1 032722167 | 5,056,305 | 5,023,627 | 32,678 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| Asset Management Plan Update & Data Collection | 10-(320/316/910/327/330)- 22150/1031622150/1032022150/1 032722150/1033022150/10910221 50 | 200,000 | 86,952 | 113,048 | IN PROGRESS | Scoping study to meet legislative requirements. |
| Pre-Approved Dain City Infrastructure Improvements Stage 1 (Multi-Asset) | 10-(320/327/330/910)- 22356/1032022356/1032722356/1 033022356/1091022356 | 2,751,305 | 0 | 2,751,305 | IN PROGRESS | In Design |
| Pre-Approved Dain City Redundancy and Security of Water Supply (Multi-Asset) | 10-(910/320)- 22757/1032022757/1091022757 | 4,869,760 | 2,792,421 | 2,077,339 | IN PROGRESS | In Construction |
| TOTAL MULTI-ASSET PARKS | | 26,347,461 | 20,255,737 | 6,091,725 | | |
| Trail Improvements | 10-410-22500/ 1041022500 | 75,000 | 13,310 | 61,690 | IN PROGRESS | Bollard/Trail upgrades - scope developed for standardized trail treatments for crossing point - design stage. |
| Plymouth Park Slow Pitch Lighting Replacement | 10-410-22407/ 1041022407 | 300,000 | 248,841 | 51,159 | COMPLETE | In warranty |

| | Progress Report for C As of | Dutstanding Cap the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 T0 nber, 2024 |) 2024 | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|---|--|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Stop 19 Trail Lighting Design | 10-410-22405/ 1041022405 | 47,302 | 275 | 47,027 | IN PROGRESS | RFP for design Services to be completed - Summer 2025 |
| General Park Repair & Renewal - Various Locations | 10-410-22410/ 1041022410 | 100,000 | 42,934 | 57,066 | IN PROGRESS | Remaining funds to be used for Deere Street Park drainage and sidewalk improvements - work to be completed with Playground Renewal Project - summer 2025 |
| Boardwalk Parkette - 2022 Public Realm Program Initiative | 10-410-22411/1 041022411 | 195,000 | 179,841 | 15,159 | IN PROGRESS | Ramp, Signage and fencing/post replacements remain - Summer 2025 |
| TOTAL PARKS ROADWAYS | | 717,302 | 485,200 | 232,101 | | |
| Transportation Master Plan | 10-320-22157/ 1032022157 | 300,000 | 375,515 | (75,515) | COMPLETE | Final invoices to be reconciled. |
| Kingsway Road Reconstruction Design | 10-320-22163/ 1032022163 | 100,000 | 99,613 | 387 | IN PROGRESS | In Design, Tender Q2 2025, Construction Q3 2025 |
| Road Needs Study - Urban Area | 10-320-22156/ 1032022156 | 75,000 | 74,819 | 181 | IN PROGRESS | Reporting under review |
| TOTAL ROADWAYS STORM SEWERS | | 475,000 | 549,947 | (74,947) | | |
| SWM Pond Cleaning | 10-327-22351/1032722351 | 587,500 | 79,833 | 507,667 | IN PROGRESS | In Design |
| Climate Change Initiatives/Follow Up to Adaptation Plan | 10-327-22390/ 1032722390 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | IN PROGRESS | |
| Storm Sewer Model Update | 10-327-22350/ 1032722350 | 50,000 | 3,602 | 46,398 | IN PROGRESS | Collecting Flow Data |
| TOTAL STORM SEWERS WASTEWATER Broodway Area Infractructure Denamale Dhase II | NY LCCUEEU FINY LCC UEE OF | <u>687,500</u> 211 200 | 83,435 67 211 | 604,065 146,080 | | la Decian |
| bioduway Area Ininasu ucune Nenewais Friase I Design | 10-000-22144/1000022144 | 214,200 | 01,211 | 140,303 | | |
| 2022 Infrastructure Renewals 3 Design | 10-330-22749/ 1033022749 | 88,600 | 58,318 | 30,282 | IN PROGRESS | In Design |
| Lyons Avenue Intrastructure Kenewals Design | 10-330-22/41/ 1033022/41 | 367 800 | 125 529 | 125,000 | IN PROGRESS | In Design |
| WATERWORKS Lead Service Replacement Program (Private Side) | 10-910-22752/ 1091022752 | 25,000 | 24,500 | 500 | IN PROGRESS | Dependant on uptake. |
| Water Model Updates | 10-910-22755/ 1091022755 | 25,000 | 14,582 | 10,418 | IN PROGRESS | Additional updates to be provided. |
| TOTAL WATERWORKS | | 50,000 | 39,082 | 10,918 | | |
| TOTAL 2022 | | 36,637,939 | 29,341,777 | 7,296,162 | | |
| 2023 BRIDGES & CULVERTS Canal Monolith Wall Maintenance and Inspections | 10-320-23205/ 1032023205 | 325,000 | 87,215 | 237,785 | IN PROGRESS | In Construction |
| TOTAL BRIDGES & CULVERTS | | 325,000 | 87,215 | 237,785 | | |

| | Progress Report for C As of | Dutstanding Cap the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 T(nber, 2024 |) 2024 | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------|---|--|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| EACILITIES Pre-Approved New Washroom - Merritt Park (Section 37 supported) | 10-454-23406/ 1045423406 | 347,300 | 0 | 347,300 | IN PROGRESS | Scope being developed with Parks |
| Youngs Sportsplex Repair & Renewal | 10-445-23457/ 1044523457 | 105,000 | 72,774 | 32,226 | IN PROGRESS | Project to be completed by September 2025 |
| Electrical Upgrade & Renewal | 10-430-23458/ 1043023458 | 110,000 | 48,494 | 61,506 | IN PROGRESS | Further documentation, mapping and safety upgrades of the electrical systems underway |
| Museum Restoration & Renewal | 10-449-23461/ 1044923461 | 250,000 | 232,205 | 17,795 | COMPLETE | In Warranty Period |
| Facilities Accessibility AODA Compliance | 10-430-23465/ 1043023465 | 108,943 | 71,950 | 36,993 | IN PROGRESS | Assessments complete, remaining to be used for completion of |
| Merritt Island Washroom Renewal - Phase 1 Demo & Design | 10-454-23469/ 1045423469 | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 | IN PROGRESS | Demolition and design scope being developed |
| TOTAL FACILITIES | | 996,243 | 425,423 | 570,820 | | |
| rinc Replace Pumper #2 | 10-210-23050/ 1021023050 | 1,150,000 | 2,891 | 1,147,109 | IN PROGRESS | turbulent market and hope to combine purchases for cost savings |
| Replace Rescue No. 1 - Heavy Rescue/86 | 10-210-23056/ 1021023056 | 950,000 | 737,760 | 212,240 | IN PROGRESS | truck delivered, upfitting and equipping is underway |
| Fire Portable Radio Communications | 10-210-23053/ 1021023053 | 400,000 | 177,699 | 222,301 | IN PROGRESS | radio equipment purchase and contract completion in Q2 2025 |
| Additional Safety Equipment - 8 Probationary Firefichters | 10-210-23063/ 1021023063 | 85,000 | 81,393 | 3,607 | IN PROGRESS | completed in Q1 2025 |
| TOTAL FIRE GENERAL | | 2,585,000 | 999,743 | 1,585,257 | | |
| New Technology Equipment/Licensing | 10-131-23000/ 1013123000 | 75,000 | 14,160 | 60,840 | IN PROGRESS | |
| Appraisal Survey Cost | 10-710-23550/ 1071023550 | 50,000 | 41,744 | 8,256 | IN PROGRESS | |
| New Zoning By-law | 10-825-23655/ 1082523655 | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | IN PROGRESS | RFP document is being developed will be released once Official Plan is approved by Council. |
| Public Works Masterplan | 10-825-23653/ 1082523653 | 150,000 | 7,021 | 142,979 | IN PROGRESS | |
| 5 Year Master Plan - IS | 10-131-23002/ 1013123002 | 75,000 | 35,107 | 39,893 | IN PROGRESS | Completed in 2025 |
| Master GIS Plan/ Strategy - 5 Year | 10-825-23654/ 1082523654 | 75,000 | 4,757 | 70,243 | IN PROGRESS | Project scheduled to be completed Q3 2025 |
| TOTAL GENERAL MULTI-ASSET | | 575,000 | 102,790 | 472,210 | | |
| Kingsway Road Reconstruction and Watermain Upgrade (Roads) Phase 1 of 2 (316//320/327) | 10-320- 23163/ 1031623163/1032023163/1 032723163 | 634,000 | 28,957 | 605,043 | IN PROGRESS | In Design (Budget is Year 1 of 2), Tender Q2 2025, Construction Q3 2025 |

| | Additional Comments | Final report to be delivered June 2025 | In Warranty, Development Cost Share | In Warranty | In Warranty | In Warranty | In Warranty | In Construction (Budget is Year 1 of 2) | In Design (Budget is Year 1 of 2), Tender Q2 2025, Construction Q3 2025 | Development Cost Share, Under Construction, first invoice paid in July, with top coat and remainder of payment expected next year | Development Cost Share, In Warranty, Top Asphalt to be Completed in 2024 | | | Some work completed - remaining funds for SNRC Drainage/pathway repairs. Survey work completed - | drainage design work underway - anticipated fall construction work after rowing season is completed |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | IN PROGRESS | COMPLETE | COMPLETE | COMPLETE | COMPLETE | COMPLETE | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | COMPLETE | | IN PROGRESS | | |
|) 2024 | Variance | 42,992 | 100,849 | 184, 114 | 982,656 | 1,770,955 | 299,973 | 1,761,804 | 835, 194 | 184,792 | 35,365 | 6,803,736 | 84,224 | | |
| tal Projects 2018 TC Iber, 2024 | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | 57,008 | 134,151 | 2,457,186 | 1,658,944 | 2,995,045 | 3,471,317 | 31,946 | 286,806 | 175,208 | 88,635 | 11,385,204 | 115,776 | | |
| Dutstanding Capit the end of Decem | Capital Approved Budget | 100,000 | 235,000 | 2,641,300 | 2,641,600 | 4,766,000 | 3,771,290 | 1,793,750 | 1,122,000 | 360,000 | 124,000 | 18,188,940 | 200,000 | | |
| Progress Report for (As of | Account Number | 10-320- 23150/1031623150/1032023150/1 032723150/1033023150/10910231 50 | 10-910- 23769/10 31623769/1032023769/1 091023769 | 10-320- 23168/10 31623168/1032023168/1 032723168 | 10-320- 23169/ 1031623169/1032023169 | 10-320- 23715/10 31623715/1032023715/1 032723715/1033023715 | 10-330- 23744/1 032023744/1032723744/1 033023744 | 10-330- 23745/10 31623745/1032023745/1 032723745/1033023745/10910237 45 | 10-910- 23163/ 1033023163/1091023163 | 10-320- 23772/ 1032023772/1032723772/1 091023772 | 10-320- 23172/ 1032023172/1032723172 | | 10-410-23402/ 1041023402 | | |
| | Function | Asset Management Plan Update (Level of Service) (320/316/327/910/330) | Kingsway Watermain Replacement (910/316/320) | 2023 Road Reconstruction (Roads) (320/316/327) | 2023 Road Resurfacing (320/316) | 2023-301 Infrastructure Renewals 1 (320/330/327/316) | Pre-Approved Broadway Avenue Sanitary Trunk Sewer Construction (320/330/327) | 2023-303 Infrastructure Renewals 2 (330/910/320/327/316) Phase 1 of 2 | Kingsway Road Reconstruction and Watermain Upgrade (910/330) | Laugher Avenue (Southworth Subdivision) (320/910/327) | Bradley Street (Waterways Common Phase 3) (320/327) | TOTAL MULTI-ASSET | PARKS Recreational Corridor Improvements | | |

| | Progress Report for (As of | Dutstanding Capi the end of Decen | ital Projects 2018 T nber, 2024 | 0 2024 | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|----------|---|--|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Cemetery Monument Assessment | 10-410-23408/ 1041023408 | 35,000 | 33,581 | 1,419 | IN PROGRESS | Phase one completed, second round of assessments are underway. |
| General Parkland Renewal and Restoration | 10-410-23410/ 1041023410 | 300,000 | 143,655 | 156,345 | IN PROGRESS | Funds for Love MY Park Projects and Parkland Repairs - Summer 2025 |
| Baseball Infield Conversion | 10-412-23405/1 041223405 | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 | IN PROGRESS | CS-2025-18 Baseball infield Conversion Project Changes approved - work to go for quotes summer 2025 with fall construction |
| Public Art Projects | 10-410-23419/ 1041023419 | 20,000 | 8,692 | 11,308 | IN PROGRESS | 2025-2-21 - Remaining funds for Market PRIP directed toward Public Art for space - scheduled for completion in November |
| Meritt Park Improvements | 10-410-23420/ 1041023420 | 85,000 | 0 | 85,000 | IN PROGRESS | Concept design is complete - RFP is being developed - to be combined with Merritt Parks Washroom Project |
| Woodlawn Cemetery Paving Design | 10-410-23421/ 1041023421 | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | IN PROGRESS | RFP being developed |
| Pre-Approved Tree Replacement Program | 10-410-23422/ 1041023422 | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | IN PROGRESS | Waiting for FED's for decision on funding - Natural Infrastructure Fund |
| Pre-Approved Merritt Island Park Renewal- Niagara Region Water Treatment Plant Expansion | 10-410-23423/ 1041023423 | 0 | 9,057 | (9,057) | IN PROGRESS | Agreements with the Region and project funding determined in February 2025. |
| Parks & Trails Condition Assessment Initiative | 10-410-23424/ 1041023424 | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 | IN PROGRESS | Scope of work has been completed - FRP being developed and will be sent out May 2025 |
| Deere St Park Playground Replacement | 10-410-23425/ 1041023425 | 400,000 | 11,906 | 388,094 | IN PROGRESS | Project underway, final design stage and will go out for tender in May - Construction planned for Summer 2025 |

| | Additional Comments | Project underway, final design stage and will go out for tender in May - Construction planned for late Summer 2025 St George Family Day | Project underway, final design stage and will go out for tender in May - Construction planned for Summer 2025 | | Funding to be issued Q3 on the next debenture issuance. | | In Warranty | | Model Update in progress | In Design, Construction dependant on NIF funding | | | | In Warranty | Awaiting final invoicing. | Model Update in progress | Phase I Ontario Street Trunk Sewer - In Desian. Tender | RFP to be posted Q3 2025 | | Additional updates to be completed. | Service truck vehicle outstanding | In Warranty | In Warranty |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|-------------|--|----------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | COMPLETE | | COMPLETE | | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS | | COMPLETE | COMPLETE | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | COMPLETE | COMPLETE |
|) 2024 | Variance | 391,350 | 370,520 | 2,099,204 | 16,910 | 16,910 | 155,407 | 155,407 | 50,000 | 325,000 | 375,000 | 12,114 | 12,114 | (7,049) | 8,923 | 50,000 | 65,889 | 150,000 | 267,763 | 3,967 | 155,806 | 452,001 | 61,600 |
| tal Projects 2018 TO 1ber, 2024 | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | 8, 650 | 29,480 | 360,796 | 666,090 | <u>666,090</u> | 174,593 | 174,593 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,886 | 7,886 | 455,049 | 291,077 | 0 | 34,111 | 0 | 780,237 | 46,033 | 384,194 | 1,427,999 | 148,400 |
| Outstanding Capi the end of Decen | Capital Approved Budget | 400,000 | 400,000 | 2,460,000 | 683,000 | <u>683,000</u> | 330,000 | 330,000 | 50,000 | 325,000 | 375,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 448,000 | 300,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 150,000 | 1,048,000 | 50,000 | 540,000 | 1,880,000 | 210,000 |
| Progress Report for (As of | Account Number | 10-410-23426/1 041023426 | 10-410-23427/1 041023427 | | 10-320-23153/ 1032023153 | | 10-316-23102/ 1031623102 | | 10-327-23350/1032723350 | 10-327-23353/ 1032723353 | | 10-321-23252/ 1032123252 | | 10-330-23749/ 1033023749 | 10-330-23702/ 1033023702 | 10-330-23722/ 1033023722 | 10-330-23720/ 1033023720 | 10-330-23701/ 1033023701 | | 10-910-23755/ 1091023755 | 10-910-23301/ 1091023301 | 10-910-23753/ 1091023753 | 10-910-23754/ 1091023754 |
| | Function | St. George Park Playground Replacement | Memorial Park Playground Replacement | TOTAL PARKS | Surface Treatment Program - Rural Roads | TOTAL ROADWAYS | Condition Related Replacements & Missing Links | TOTAL SIDEWALKS STORM SEWERS | Storm Sewer Model Update | Pre-Approved David Street Channel Rehabilitation | TOTAL STORM SEWERS | Digital Parking Permits | TOTAL TRAFFIC WASTEWATER | Canal Bank Street Sewer Removal | CSO & Sewer System Flow Level Monitoring (Mandatory) | Sanitary Sewer Model Update | Ontario Road Trunk Sanitary Sewer Design | Fitch Street SPS Decommissioning Study | TOTAL WASTEWATER WATERWORKS | Water Model Updates | Fleet Replacements Water Eng | Lead Service Replacement Program (Municipal Side) | Sylvan Drive Water Service Replacements |

| | Progress Report for (As of | Dutstanding Cap the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 T nber, 2024 | 0 2024 | | |
|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Pre-Approved Quaker Road Watermain Replacement | 10-910- 23770/ 1032023770/1091023770 | 1,295,000 | 0 | 1,295,000 | IN PROGRESS | In Construction, Region Cost Share |
| Hagar Street Watermain Replacement | 10-910-23773/ 1091023773 | 339,000 | 0 | 339,000 | ON-HOLD | Development Cost Share, Developer reviewing possible amended design. |
| TOTAL WATERWORKS TOTAL 2023 | | <u>4,314,000</u> 31,900,183 | <u>2,006,626</u> 16,996,604 | <u>2,307,374</u> 14,903,579 | | |
| 2024 BRIDGES & CIII VERTS | | | | | | |
| 2024 Bridge & Culvert Inspections and Report (Biannual) | 10-320-24201/ 1032024201 | 30,000 | 6,702 | 23,298 | IN PROGRESS | Final report has been submitted. |
| TOTAL BRIDGES & CULVERTS FACILITIES | | 30,000 | 6,702 | 23,298 | | |
| Maple Park Pool Heater | 10-438-24474/ 1043824474 | 335,269 | 346,442 | (11,173) | IN PROGRESS | Project underway and to be completed by the end of June 2025 |
| Welland Community Centre Repair & Renewal | 10-434-24482/ 1043424482 | 255,000 | 4,445 | 250,555 | IN PROGRESS | Scopes developed and tendered, work to be completed by September 2025 |
| City Hall Repair & Renewal | 10-439-24483/ 1043924483 | 165,000 | 1,089 | 163,911 | IN PROGRESS | Scopes being developed and tendered |
| Courthouse Repairs & Renewal | 10-442-24484/ 1044224484 | 685,000 | 0 | 685,000 | IN PROGRESS | Project currently being designed |
| Service Centre Repairs & Renewal | 10-444-24485/ 1044424485 | 495,000 | 11,814 | 483,186 | IN PROGRESS | Scopes developed and being tendered, to be completed in 2025 |
| Museum Repairs & Renewals | 10-449-24486/ 1044924486 | 315,000 | 2,030 | 312,970 | IN PROGRESS | Project tendered and awarded, to be completed in 2025 |
| Facilities - Ancillary Buildings Repairs & Renewal | 10-454-24487/ 1045424487 | 100,000 | 18,817 | 81,183 | IN PROGRESS | Scopes developed and tendered |
| Playfield Repair & Renewal | 10-412-24488/ 1041224488 | 20,000 | 19,954 | 46 | COMPLETE | Close Account. |
| Facilities Accessibility Upgrades | 10-430-24454/ 1043024454 | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | IN PROGRESS | Projects scoped and work underway |
| Rec Rentals Equipment Renewal | 10-447-24501/ 1044724501 | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 | IN PROGRESS | For equipment replacements at Rec Rentals. Inventory will be reviewed again in the spring of 2025 to determine if any replacements will be required. |
| | Progress Report for (As of | Outstanding Capi the end of Decen | tal Projects 2018 TO nber, 2024 | 2024 | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------|---|---|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Future Arena Needs & Feasibility Study | 10-432-24477/ 1043224477 | 150,000 | 53,882 | 96,118 | IN PROGRESS | Project underway and to be completed by July 2025 |
| Youngs Sportsplex Repair & Renewal | 10-445-24458/ 1044524458 | 75,000 | 3,460 | 71,540 | IN PROGRESS | Project scope developed and |
| | | | | | | work being tendered and |
| Main Arena Repairs & Renewals | 10-432-24455/ 1043224455 | 400,000 | 57,173 | 342,827 | IN PROGRESS | Project designed and out for tender |
| Market Repair & Renewal | 10-436-24459/ 1043624459 | 97,500 | 21,533 | 75,967 | IN PROGRESS | Project scoped and currently being tendered |
| MSC Stores Area Asbestos Abatement | 10-444-24462/ 1044424462 | 20,000 | 12,720 | 7,280 | IN PROGRESS | Remaining funds to be used for additional removals in stores area |
| Facilities Security Consultant | 10-430-24465/ 1043024465 | 73,936 | 0 | 73,936 | IN PROGRESS | Project underway and to be completed by June 2025 |
| St. George Park Pavilion Replacement | 10-454-24466/ 1045424466 | 350,000 | 0 | 350,000 | IN PROGRESS | Project scoped and designed as part of the St. George Park Improvements |
| Cooks Mills Facility Feasibility Study & Design | 10-448-24468/ 1044824468 | 50,000 | 10,993 | 39,007 | IN PROGRESS | Project underway and to be completed bv Julv 2025 |
| Arena Equipment Upgrades & Renewals | 10-432-24470/ 1043224470 | 95,000 | 41,765 | 53,235 | IN PROGRESS | Final improvements to be completed in June 2025 |
| TOTAL FACILITIES | | 3,841,705 | <u>606,118</u> | 3,235,588 | | |
| SCBA Upgrade | 10-210-24051/ 1021024051 | 425,000 | 300,955 | 124,045 | IN PROGRESS | Council approved the deferral of purchasing to optimize warranty coverage to minimize repairs costs |
| Uniforms & Clothing | 10-210-24060/ 1021024060 | 65,000 | 86,610 | (21,610) | COMPLETE | Invoices to be reconciled. |
| Capital Equipment - Fire | 10-210-24061/1021024061 | 135,000 | 115,182 | 19,818 | IN PROGRESS | completed in 2025 |
| Special Operations and Highrise Firefighting | 10-210-24054/ 1021024054 | 95,000 | 14,396 | 80,604 | IN PROGRESS | staff trialing new equipment to modernize department |
| Safety Equipment for Probationary Firefighters (7) | 10-210-24062/ 1021024062 | 76,000 | 52,598 | 23,402 | IN PROGRESS | finalized in 2025, purchasing offset to optimize pricing and warranty coverage |
| TOTAL FIRE | | 796,000 | 569,741 | 226,259 | | |
| Pre-Approved Fleet Rotary Lift Replacement | 10-323-24303/ 1032324303 | 475,000 | 8,975 | 466,025 | IN PROGRESS | |
| TOTAL FLEET | | 475,000 | 8,975 | 466,025 | | |

| | Progress Report for C As of | Dutstanding Cap the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 T nber, 2024 | D 2024 | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------|---|---|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| GENERAL Capital Equipment - IS | 10-131-24001/ 1013124001 | 240,000 | 225,585 | 14,415 | IN PROGRESS | |
| New Downtown Community Improvement Plan | 10-825-24650/1082524650 | 110,000 | 33,496 | 76,504 | IN PROGRESS | To be complete in Fall 2025 |
| Update Brownfield CIP | 10-825-24651/ 1082524651 | 70,000 | 26,442 | 43,558 | IN PROGRESS | To be complete in Fall 2025 |
| Document Management System - Phase 2 | 10-131-24652/ 1013124652 | 100,000 | 84,885 | 15,115 | IN PROGRESS | To be completed by end of 2025 |
| TOTAL GENERAL MULTI-ASSET | | 520,000 | 370,408 | 149,592 | | |
| Water Meter Replacements- Phase 1 (Water and Sanitary Sewer) | 10-910- 24751/ 1033024751/1091024751 | 2,405,797 | 2,440,013 | (34,217) | IN PROGRESS | |
| Sanitary Sewer Spot Repairs (Wastewater/Roads) | 10-330- 24706/ 1032024706/1033024706 | 585,700 | 0 | 585,700 | IN PROGRESS | In Construction |
| West Main Area Phase II Construction (Roads/Storm/Sidewalk/Wastewater/Water) | 10-320- 24165/1031624165/1032024165/1 032724165/1033024165/10910241 65 | 3,811,000 | 0 | 3,811,000 | IN PROGRESS | In Design, Tender Q2 2025, Construction Q3 2025 |
| First Street Infrastructure Renewals (Wastewater/Water/Storm/Sidewalk/Roads) | 10-330- 24749/1031624749/1032024749/1 032724749/1033024749/10910247 49 | 1,263,500 | 0 | 1,263,500 | IN PROGRESS | Phase I In Construction |
| 2024 Road Reconstruction 1 (Roads/Storm/Wastewater/Water/Sidewalks) | 10-320- 24171/1031 624171/1032024171/1 032724171/1033024171/10910241 71 | 2,201,300 | 1,854,277 | 347,023 | IN PROGRESS | In Construction |
| 2024 Road Reconstruction 2 (Roads/Sidewalk/Storm/Wastewater) | 10-320- 24168/1 031624168/1032024168/1 032724168/1033024168 | 1,789,300 | 1,047,126 | 742,174 | IN PROGRESS | In Construction |
| Kingsway Road Reconstruction and Watermain Upgrade (Roads/Storm/Sidewalks) Phase 2 of 2 | 10-320- 24163/ 1031624163/1032024163/1 032724163 | 1,481,000 | 656, 188 | 824,812 | IN PROGRESS | In Design (Budget is Year 2 of 2), Tender Q2 2025, Construction Q3 2025 |
| 2023-303 Infrastructure Renewals 2 (Wastewater/Water/Roads/Storm/Sidewalks) Phase 2 of 2 | 10-330- 24745/1031 624745/1032024745/1 032724745/1033024745/10910247 45 | 1,789,450 | 3,654 | 1,785,796 | IN PROGRESS | In Construction (Budget is Year 2 of 2) |
| River-Down Industrial Subdivision - New Road (Roads/Storm/Water/Wastewater) | 10-320- 24155/1 032024155/1032724155/1 033024155/1091024155 | 2,983,000 | 0 | 2,983,000 | ON-HOLD | Dependent on development. |
| Consolidated Linear Infrastructure Requirements (Wastewater/Storm) | 10-330- 24351/ 1032724351/1033024351 | 450,000 | 32,395 | 417,605 | IN PROGRESS | Project in progress |

| | Progress Report for C As of | utstanding Capi the end of Decen | tal Projects 2018 T nber, 2024 | 0 2024 | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------|---|---|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Road Resurfacing ds/Storm/Sidewalks/Wastewater) | 10-320- 24169/10 31624169/1032024169/1 032724169/1033024169 | 1,125,400 | 1,001,078 | 124,322 | COMPLETE | In Warranty |
| MULTI-ASSET | | 19,885,447 | 7,034,732 | 12,850,715 | | |
| Approved Maple Park Playground Replacement | 10-410-24401/ 1041024401 | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | IN PROGRESS | Agreement has been signed with consultant - Survey work is completed and |
| Approved Manchester Park Replace Park | 10-410-24421/1 041024421 | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | IN PROGRESS | concept design is underway - community engagement on the playground renewal to take place in June. |
| ground & Related Landscaping | | | | | | Agreement has been signed with consultant - Survey work is completed and |
| | | | | | | concept design is underway - community engagement on the playground renewal to take place in June |
| Improvements | 10-410-24500/ 1041024500 | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 | IN PROGRESS | Bollard/Trail upgrades - scope developed for standardized trail treatments for crossing point - design stage. |
| aational Corridor Improvements | 10-410-24402/ 1041024402 | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | IN PROGRESS | Funding for Pathway Repairs/small improvements and slope repair - tender being developed for slope repair near train bridge and will be issued in May 2025 |

| | | _ | 1 | | | - | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Additional Comments | Site visit has been completed - report to come to council in June for update on project and next steps - updated concept design and community engagement to follow. | RFP to be developed for consulting services. | On hold due to Cooks Mills Study - | Love My Park Projects/ General Park Repairs as needed - awaiting invoices | Q4-2025 | New Standard Garbage Receptacles - parks being reviewed for next round of replacements | Toronto Art Restoration scheduled to complete work June 2025 , this work was ouoted at \$27 000.00. the | remaining 7,0000 will be used from the 2025 funding. | Agreement has been signed with consultant - Survey work is completed and concept design is underway - community engagement on the playground renewal to |
| | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | ON-HOLD | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS |
|) 2024 | Variance | 250,000 | 350,000 | 60,000 | 222,079 | 50,000 | 52,007 | 20,000 | | 400,000 |
| tal Projects 2018 TC hber, 2024 | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77,921 | 0 | 47,993 | 0 | | 0 |
| Outstanding Capi the end of Decen | Capital Approved Budget | 250,000 | 350,000 | 60,000 | 300,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 20,000 | | 400,000 |
| Progress Report for (As of | Account Number | 10-410-24403/ 1041024403 | 10-412-24405/ 1041224405 | 10-412-24407/ 1041224407 | 10-410-24406/ 1041024406 | 10-410-24414/ 1041024414 | 10-410-24415/ 1041024415 | 10-410-24419/ 1041024419 | | 10-410-24420/ 1041024420 |
| | Function | Vista Park - Master Plan | Burger Park Hardball Diamond Light Replacement | Cricket Pitch Enhancements(Cooks Mills) | General Parkland Renewal and Restoration | Rotary Parking Paving and Sand Area Design | Parks Sanitation Improvements | Public Art Projects | | Pre-Approved Bemus Park Playground Replacement |

| | Additional Comments | Agreement has been signed with consultant - Survey work is completed and concept design is underway - commuly engagement on the playground renewal to take place in June. | Engagement completed, Designs currently underway. | Public Engagement to take place Spring 2025 to determine upgrades and priorities | In Warranty - Topcoat completed May 2025 - final invoicing remains. | Q4-2025 | Q4-2025 | | In Warranty | In Construction | | In Construction | To be included with full road reconstruction. | | Model Update in progress | Project in progress | Project in progress | | | | | | | Model Update in progress | Project in progress |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | COMPLETE | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | COMPLETE | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS | ON-HOLD | | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS | IN PROGRESS |
| 0 2024 | Variance | 25,000 | 293,894 | 25,000 | 71,601 | 20,000 | 70,001 | 2,984,583 | 99,010 | 83,707 | 182,717 | 97,150 | 200,000 | 297,150 | 25,000 | 69,617 | 137,785 | 232,401 | 70,703 | 20,133 | 124.475 | 242,368 | 148,649 | 16,778 | 59,040 |
| al Projects 2018 T(ber, 2024 | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | o | 6,106 | 0 | 78,399 | 0 | 4,999 | 215,417 | 535,715 | 156,293 | 692,008 | 177,850 | 0 | 177,850 | 0 | 80,383 | 62,215 | <u>142,599</u> | 09,039 30 847 | 33 060 | 0 | 143,455 | 151,351 | 8,222 | 190,960 |
| Outstanding Capit i the end of Decem | Capital Approved ^A Budget | 25,000 | 300,000 | 25,000 | 150,000 | 20,000 | 75,000 | 3,200,000 | 634,725 | 240,000 | 874,725 | 275,000 | 200,000 | 475,000 | 25,000 | 150,000 | 200,000 | 375,000 | 146,347 | 55,000 | 124.475 | 385,822 | 300,000 | 25,000 | 250,000 |
| Progress Report for As o | Account Number | 10-410-24469/ 1041024469 | 10-410-24422/ 1041024422 | 10-410-24423/ 1041024423 | 10-410-24425/ 1041024425 | 10-410-24426/ 1041024426 | 10-410-24427/1041024427 | | 10-320-24153/ 1032024153 | 10-320-24154/ 1032024154 | | 10-316-24102/ 1031624102 | 10-316-24161/ 1031624161 | | 10-327-24350/1032724350 | 10-327-24354/ 1032724354 | 10-327-24352/ 1032724352 | | 10-321-24251/1 032124251 10-321-24263/4 032124251 | 10-021-24200/1002 124200 | 10-321-24299/1032124299 | | 10-330-24702/ 1033024702 | 10-330-24722/ 1033024722 | 10-330-24730/ 1033024730 |
| | Function | Parks, Recreation & Culture Master Plan - UPDATE | Pre-Approved Michael Drive Parkette | Dog Park Improvements | Chippawa Park Paving Repairs | Chippawa Park Weller Ave Entrance Redesign | Abbey Road Woodlot Trail | TOTAL PARKS ROADWAYS | Surface Treatment Program - Rural Roads | Asphalt Patching | TOTAL ROADWAYS SIDEWALKS | Sidewalks - Condition Related Replacements and Missing Links | Quaker Road Sidewalk | TOTAL SIDEWALKS STORM SEWERS | Storm Sewer Model Update | CCTV Program (Storm) | David Street Flow Diversion Study | TOTAL STORM SEWERS TRAFFIC | I ramic control Hardware Streetlichting Wiring & Dole Benlerements | | 2024 Vision Zero - Road Safety Programs | TOTAL TRAFFIC | WASTEWALEK CSO & Sewer System Flow Level Monitoring (Mandatory) | Sanitary Sewer Model Update | CCTV Program (Wastewater) |

| | Progress Report for (As of | Outstanding Capi the end of Decer | tal Projects 2018 TO nber, 2024 | 2024 | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Plymouth Area Street I/I Investigation and Reduction | 10-330-24703/ 1033024703 | 75,000 | 51,629 | 23,371 | IN PROGRESS | Awaiting final invoicing. |
| Sanitary Sewer Lining | 10-330-24707/ 1033024707 | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | IN PROGRESS | In Construction |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER | | 1,150,000 | <u>402,162</u> | 747,838 | | |
| waterworks Kingsway Watermain Upgrade (Kingsway Subdivision) | 10-910-24771/ 1091024771 | 937,800 | 10,741 | 927,059 | IN PROGRESS | Development Cost Share - In Design, Tender Q2 2025, Construction Q3 2025 |
| Pre-Approved 2024 Fleet Capital Replacements | 10-910-24305/ 1091024305 | 419,000 | 0 | 419,000 | IN PROGRESS | |
| Water Model Updates | 10-910-24755/ 1091024755 | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | IN PROGRESS | |
| TOTAL WATERWORKS TOTAL 2024 | | <u>1,381,800</u> <u>33,390,500</u> | <u>10,741</u> <u>10,380,908</u> | <u>1,371,059</u> 23,009,592 | | |
| MULTI-PHASE/ MULTI- BUDGETED YEAR PROJECTS | | | | | | |
| FIRE STATIONS #1 (including Training Tower) & #2 TRAINING FIELD -ENGINEERING COSTS | 10-210-17011/ 1021017011 | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 | COMPLETE | part of build project close out scheduled in 2025 |
| Fire Hall & Training Ctre - Study (FCM) | 10-710-18182/ 1071018182 | 109,725 | 103,442 | 6,283 | COMPLETE | part of build project close out scheduled in 2025 |
| New Fire Stn - Stn #1 Design Fees | 10-210-18006/ 1021018006 | 1,023,600 | 1,811,650 | (788,050) | COMPLETE | part of build project close out scheduled in 2025 |
| Construction New Fire Station #1 | 10-210-19005/ 1021019005 | 6,635,862 | 11,064,521 | (4,428,659) | COMPLETE | part of build project close out scheduled in 2025 |
| Construction Fire Station #2 | 10-210-19006/ 1021019006 | 3,887,862 | 5,466,416 | (1,578,554) | COMPLETE | part of build project close out scheduled in 2025 |
| CONSTRUCTION FIRE STATIONS #1 & #2 (Additional Funds) | 10-210-20601/ 1021020601 | 4,218,650 | 0 | 4,218,650 | COMPLETE | part of build project close out scheduled in 2025 |
| Fire Station # 1 - Training Centre | 10-210-21050/ 1021021050 | 2,850,000 | 0 | 2,850,000 | COMPLETE | part of build project close out scheduled in 2025 |
| Fire Training Tower - Station #1 | 10-210-23062/ 1021023062 | 1,600,000 | 761,739 | 838,261 | IN PROGRESS | construction contracted to be completed in 2025 |
| FIRE STN #1 & #2 - TOTAL | | 20,400,699 | 19,207,768 | 1,192,932 | | |
| FIRE STATIONS #3 | | | | | | |
| New Fire Station #3 - Phase 1 of 2 | 10-210-22056/ 1021022056 | 2,970,000 | 293,083 | 2,676,917 | IN PROGRESS | project progressing well, completion 2025 |
| New Fire Station #3 - Phase 2 of 2 | 10-210-23057/ 1021023057 | 3,100,000 | 3,438,279 | (338,279) | IN PROGRESS | project progressing well, completion 2025 |
| Fire Station #3 Environmental & Site Assessment | 10-210-21052/ 1021021052 | 40,000 | 41,030 | (1,030) | IN PROGRESS | project progressing well, completion 2025 |
| Furniture & Fixtures - Fire Station #3 | 10-210-24058/ 1021024058 | 65,000 | 0 | 65,000 | IN PROGRESS | project progressing well, completion 2025 |
| FIRE STN #3 - TOTAL | | 6,175,000 | 3,772,392 | 2,402,608 | | - |

| | Progress Report for C As of | Dutstanding Capi the end of Decer | ital Projects 2018 T(nber, 2024 | D 2024 | | |
|--|---|---|--|-----------|---|---|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| WEST MAIN ST AREA | | | | | | |
| West Main Street Area - Infrastructure Improvements | 10-320- 19888/1031619888/1032019888/1 032719888/1033018060/10330180 85/1033019888/1091018059/1091 019231/1091019888 | 4,645,534 | 4,738,835 | (93,301) | COMPLETE | Region Cost Share - In Warranty |
| TOTAL WEST MAIN ST AREA | | 4,645,534 | 4,738,835 | (93,301) | | |
| MERRIT ST | | | | | | |
| Merritt Street - City Cost Share w/ Region | 10-320- 21161/10 31618018/1031620241/1 032018069/1032021161/10327211 61/1033020241/1091018098/1091 020241 | 2,632,000 | 7,602 | 2,624,399 | IN PROGRESS | Region Cost Share - Project to be Awarded Q2 2025, Construction Q3 2025 |
| TOTAL MERRIT ST | | 2,632,000 | 7,602 | 2,624,399 | | |
| AMPITHEATRE | | | | | | |
| Amphitheater Repair & Renewal Phase 2 of 2 | 10-430-22481/ 1043022481 | 50,000 | 47,894 | 2,106 | IN PROGRESS | Structural assessments and designs being completed |
| Amphitheatre & Stage Rehabilitation - Phase 3 of 3 | 10-447-23456/ 1044723456 | 320,000 | 15,302 | 304,698 | IN PROGRESS | Structural assessments and designs being completed |
| TOTAL AMPHITHEATRE | | 370,000 | 63,195 | 306,805 | | |
| COMMUNITY TRAIL STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION | | | | | | |
| Community Trail Strategy Implementation | 10-410-23417/1041023417 | 2,069,162 | 167,138 | 1,902,024 | IN PROGRESS | |
| Trail Strategy Implementation | 10-410-24408/ 1041024408 | 300,000 | 0 | 300,000 | IN PROGRESS | |
| TOTAL COMMUNITY TRAIL STRATEGY IMPLEMEN | TATION | 2,369,162 | 167,138 | 2,202,024 | | |
| MEMORIAL PARK PHASES | | | | | | |
| Memorial Park Revitalization | 10-410-18048/ 1041018048 | 390,000 | 211,626 | 178,374 | IN PROGRESS | |
| | | | | | | Project under review, some |
| | | | | | | work has been completed, account to remain onen until |
| | | | | | | completion of Phase 7 work. |
| | | | | | | Potentially pool into all accounts for all 7 phases. |

| | Progress Report for O As of the | utstanding Capit he end of Decem | tal Projects 2018 TC hber, 2024 |) 2024 | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------|---|--|
| Function | Account Number | Capital Approved Budget | Actual Expenditures to the end of December, 2024 | Variance | Project Status (Complete/ In Progress/ On-hold) | Additional Comments |
| Memorial Park Rejuvenation PH 2 | 10-410-19048/ 1041019048 | 700,000 | 20,522 | 679,478 | IN PROGRESS | |
| | | | | | | Project under review, some work has been completed, account to remain open until completion of Phase 7 work. Potentially pool into all accounts for all 7 phases. |
| Memorial Park Ball Diamond Lighting | 10-410-20048/ 1041020048 | 400,000 | 385,433 | 14,567 | IN PROGRESS | Lighting completed - account to remain open until completion of all 7 phases. |
| Memorial Park Rejuvenation PH 4 | 10-410-21401/ 1041021401 | 700,000 | 410,075 | 289,925 | IN PROGRESS | Lighting completed - account to remain open until completion of all 7 phases. |
| Memorial Park Revitalization | 10-410-24404/1 041024404 | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | IN PROGRESS | Project under review. Survey work summer 2025 |
| TOTAL MEMOIRAL PARK PHASES | | 2,690,000 | 1.027,657 | 1,662,343 | | |
| MOU WITH EMPIRE COMMUNITIES - (BRIDGE COMB | INED WITH CITY PORTION ABOVE- | Year 2020) | | | | |
| Forks Rd Full (Kingsway- East limit) Infrastructure Renewals | 10-320-21199/ 1032021199 | 5,000,000 | 198,631 | 4,801,369 | COMPLETE | Forks Road Reconstruction Phase I and II, and Forks Road Bridge Replacement - In Warnary. Projects were completed by Developer through a MOU. |
| Dain East - Playground & Water Feature | 10-410-21407/1041021407 | 1,500,000 | 95,563 | 1,404,437 | IN PROGRESS | |
| Former John Deere - Playground & Water Feature | 10-410-21408/ 1041021408 | 1,500,000 | 95,563 | 1,404,437 | IN PROGRESS | |
| New Multi-purpose Outdoor Facility | 10-443-21499/ 1044321499 | 2,750,000 | 138,677 | 2,611,323 | COMPLETE | Close out 2025 |
| TOTAL EMPIRE | | 10,750,000 | 528,435 | 10.221.565 | | |
| | GRAND TOTAL: | 202,641,039 | 131,703,573 | 70,937,466 | | |
| | | | | | | |

COUNCIL MEETING FINANCE DEPARTMENT

REPORT FIN 2025-17 July 8, 2025

| SUBJECT: | 2025 Capital Project Close-Out Report #1 |
|-----------|--|
| AUTHOR: | Anka Vuksan Scott, CPA Manager of Budget and Financial Services, Deputy Treasurer |
| APPROVER: | Stephanie Nagel, BBA, CGA, CPA, MPA General Manager of Corporate and Enterprise Services/CFO |

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council receive for information the Capital Project Close-out report listed in Appendix I as attached to Report FIN-2025-17; and further

THAT Council approve the recommended transfers to the following reserves in accordance with the capital projects being closed as outlined in Appendix I:

- Economic Development Reserve of \$29,850.23
- Water/Wastewater Surplus Reserve of \$362,458.69
- Capital Surplus Reserve of \$900,652.29

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN

This recommendation is aligned to Council's strategic priority of ensuring **"Economic Growth**" for creating a diverse economy through partnerships and emerging markets to ensure sustainable growth and quality employment.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report is not meant to provide specific project updates, but rather to present the financial outcomes of the now-closed projects.

Finance staff worked with the appropriate departments to complete and finalize the capital project close-out report. All projects listed in Appendix I are completed. Any surplus/deficit (variance) arising from the completed projects will be either funded through another project, returned to a reserve fund, or transferred to a similar capital project (if applicable). All the details are outlined in Appendix I.

BACKGROUND:

As City staff continue to improve information reporting to Council, the Corporate Leadership Team has approved a process for the close-out of capital projects. The applicable department confirms that a project is to be closed. Finance staff confirm the budget, actual funding, and actual expenditures of each project to identify the surplus/deficit (variance), if applicable. All applicable departments review the projects being closed and provide comments on the capital project close-out report.

DISCUSSION:

The attached close-out report identifies <u>41</u> capital projects to be closed. This is a significant number of projects to be closed and attributed by the on-going work of City staff.

FINANCIAL:

Appendix I identifies the net project surplus/deficit (variance) with any applicable explanation for each project. A project surplus and/or deficit will be transferred to the Capital Surplus account or returned to their specific Reserve funds (if applicable).

Below summarizes the Total Net Surplus Transfers to the Reserve Fund.

| Total Net Transfer to Economic Development Reserve | \$ 29,850.23 |
|--|---------------|
| Total Net Transfer to Water/Wastewater Surplus Reserve | \$ 362,458.69 |
| Total Net Transfer to Capital Surplus Reserve | \$ 900,652.29 |

ATTACHMENT:

Appendix I - 2025 Capital Project Close-Out Report

2025 Capital Close out Report-1

| Comments | Project scope completed as part of the WIFC remediation project by Elite Construction. Project to be closed | Finalizing of the system being conducted. To be completed by Q3 2025. | Inspection and reporting was completed under budget. | Work completed to provide emergency power to the critical areas of City Hall using the existing generator. This strategy kept the costs of the project under budget. Project to be closed | Completed within budget. | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. | Project Completed within Budget . Account can be closed . | Project Completed within Budget . Account can be closed . | Project Completed within Budget . Account can be closed. | Expenditures are dependant upon uptake. Applications are complete and account can be closed. | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| Net Project /ariance | \$ 13,168.32 | \$ 1,865.78 | \$ 45,404.97 | \$ 12,756.28 | \$ 362.30 | \$ (51,173.54) | \$ 48,622.56 | \$ 11,549.78 | \$ 18,300.45 | \$ 66.09 | \$ 806.02 | \$ 73,979.71 |
| roject tpenditures | 1,831.68 | 73,134.22 | 104,595.03 | 17,243.72 | 24,637.70 | 647,423.54 | 411,377.44 | 238,450.22 | 231,699.55 | 149,933.91 | 226,924.90 | 226,020.29 |
| roject Funding E | 15,000.00 | ; 75,000.00 \$ | 150,000.00 | 30'000.00 | 25,000.00 \$ | 596,250.00 | 460,000.00 \$ | 250,000.00 | 250,000.00 | 150,000.00 | \$ 227,730.92 | 300,000.00 |
| Capital Approved P 8udget | \$ 15,000.00 | \$ 75,000.00 | \$ 150,000.00 | 30,000.00 | \$ 25,000.00 | \$ 335,000.00 | \$ 460,000.00 \$ | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 150,000.00 | \$ 300,000.00 | \$ 300,000.00 \$ |
| Project # E | 10-447-17058 | 10-111-19510 | 10-320-20841 | 10-430-20088 | 10-430-20090 | 10-320-20291/ 10-316-20291/ 10-327-20291/ | 10-910-20291 | 10-410-20138 | 10-410-20139 | 10-410-20995 | 10-330-20345 | 10-320-21767 |
| Year of Approval | 2017 | 2019 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Project Name | Waterproof Electrical Panels | Audio/Video Equipment- Council Chambers Phase 2 | Aqueduct Siphon Cleaning & Inspection | Emergency Generator City Hall | Council Chambers Phase 3 of 3 | Southworth St Improvements (Multi-Asset) * Note 1 & 2 | | Pre-Approved 2020 Elmwood Playground | Pre-Approved 2020 Cooks Mills Playground | New Waterfront Park Development | Private side Disconnection (SWAP) Program | Cady St Road & Watermain Replacement (Multi-Asset) |
| Item | ~ | 2 | с | 4 | 5 | ى | | 7 | ω | 0 | 10 | 11 |

Page 371 of 497

APPENDIX I

| ÷ |
|------|
| Ë |
| epo |
| Ē |
| no |
| se |
| 8 |
| al (|
| pit |
| ပ္ပ |
| 25 |
| 20 |
| |

| ltem | Project Name | Year of Approval | Project # | Capital Approved Budget | Proj | ect Funding | Projec Expen | xt ditures | Net Project Variance | Comments |
|------|---|---------------------|--|----------------------------|------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | 10-910-21767 | \$ 150,000.00 | φ | 150,000.00 | \$ | 144,087.34 | \$ 5,912. | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
| 12 | State St Watermain Replacement (Multi-Asset) | 2021 | 10-320-21777/ 10-316-21777/ 10-327-21777 | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ | 200,000.00 | \$ | 128,661.41 | \$ 71,338. | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
| | | | 10-910- 21777/ 10-330-21777 | \$ 150,000.00 | \$ | 150,000.00 | ÷ | 136,710.63 | \$ 13,289. | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
| 13 | Canal Trail Improvements | 2021 | 10-447-21500 | \$ 400,000.00 | Ŷ | 59,535.00 | ÷ | 62,272.53 | \$ (2,737.! | Broject complete and the account can be closed. |
| 4 | Sparrow Meadows Park Ph 1- 3 | 2021 | 10-410-21402/ 10-410-19131/ 10-410-20131 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ | 750,000.00 | θ | 756,724.45 | \$ (6,724.4 | Project extract required for mud matt and riprap due to early spring construction, silt fencing, and additional bay of swings, permit fees and concrete footings for pavilion, and tree replacements that were not warrantied. |
| 15 | Lincoln / Coventry Area Inflow/Infiltration Reduction Investigation | 2021 | 10-330-21718 | \$ 150,000.00 | φ | 120,196.10 | ь | 118,064.20 | \$ 2,131. | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 16 | Broadway Area Inflow/ Infiltration Reduction Investigation | 2021 | 10-330-21721 | \$ 150,000.00 | φ | 97,808.87 | ¢ | 51,695.35 | \$ 46,113. | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 17 | Sumbler Road Watermain Replacement | 2021 | 10-910-21771 | \$ 400,000.00 | Ŷ | 400,000.00 | \$ | 395,103.26 | \$ 4,896. | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
| 8 | Welland River Aqueduct Siphon Cleaning | 2022 | 10-320-22230 | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ | 1,100,000.00 | φ | 604,854.90 | \$ 495,145. | Recent inspections have demonstrated that further cleaning is not warranted. Staff will continue to monitor sediment accumulation. Account can be closed. |
| 19 | City Hall HVAC Repair & Renewal | 2022 | 10-439-22467 | \$ 90,000.00 | Ŷ | 90,000.00 | \$ | 89,899.39 | \$ 100. | Competitive bidding and project planning brought the project in slightly under budget, to be closed |
| 20 | Pre-Approved Canadian Tire Accessible Splash Pad | 2022 | 10-410-22412 | \$ 150,000.00 | φ | 150,000.00 | φ | 148,465.00 | \$ 1,535. | Project completed as per MOU. Account can be closed. |

Page 372 of 497

| ť |
|----|
| 0 |
| d |
| Ð |
| £ |
| Ħ |
| ō |
| d) |
| ä |
| ő |
| .≓ |
| 0 |
| 1 |
| 5 |
| |
| × |
| 25 |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| 2 |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| |
| |

| Item | Project Name | Year of Approval | Project # | Capital Approved Budget | Proj | ect Funding | Proje Exper | ict iditures | Net Project Variance | | Comments |
|------|--|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|---|
| 21 | Condition Related Replacements & Missing Links | 2022 | 10-316-22102 | \$ 155,000.00 | φ | 155,168.44 | φ | 131,095.34 | \$ 24, | 073.10 | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
| 52 | Private Side Disconnection SWAP Program | 2022 | 10-330-22706 | \$ 181,600.00 | \$ | 97,987.60 | θ | 94,986.52 | ÷ | 001.08 | Expenditures are dependant upon uptake. Applications are complete and account can be closed. |
| 23 | Sanitary CCTV Program Review | 2022 | 10-330-22703 | \$ 100,000.00 | \$ | 100,000.00 | ¢ | 86,763.62 | \$ 13, | 236.38 | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 24 | PCD South I/I Investigation and Reduction | 2022 | 10-330-22745 | \$ 100,000.00 | ъ | 79,722.40 | φ | 31,481.58 | \$ 48, | 240.82 | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 25 | Lincoln/Empress Area I/I Investigation and Reduction | 2022 | 10-330-22746 | \$ 100,000.00 | Ŷ | 106,706.37 | θ | 77,405.43 | \$ 29, | 300.94 | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 26 | Dain/Gordon Area I/I Investigation and Reduction | 2022 | 10-330-22747 | \$ 100,000.00 | \$ | 104,659.18 | θ | 95,931.50 | \$ | 727.68 | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 27 | Major St Area Wastewater Master Servicing Plan and Renewals Implementation Plan | 2022 | 10-330-22742 | \$ 150,000.00 | \$ | 143,613.89 | ф | 143,613.89 | θ | 1 | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 28 | Develop and Inspection & Preventative Maintenance Program | 2023 | 10-430-23460 | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ | 56,445.25 | φ | 56,436.10 | \$ | 9.15 | Competitive RFP process brought the project in on budget, to be closed |
| 59 | Extraction Tools and Stabilization | 2023 | 10-210-23052 | \$ 175,000.00 | φ | 175,000.00 | φ | 171,214.85 | °ć \$ | 785.15 | group purchasing generated savings, offsetting delivery, testing, and battery chargers costs |
| 30 | Crack Sealing | 2023 | 10-320-23151 | \$ 56,000.00 | Ŷ | 56,000.00 | θ | 54,620.69 | \$ | 379.31 | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
| 31 | Asphalt Patching | 2023 | 10-320-23154 | \$ 240,000.00 | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | 228,582.11 | \$ 11, | 417.89 | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
| 32 | Traffic Count Study | 2023 | 10-321-23254 | \$ 30,000.00 | ¢ | 30,000.00 | Ф | 30,182.02 | .) | 182.02) | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 33 | Sanitary Sewer Spot Repairs | 2023 | 10-330-23707 | \$ 472,500.00 | \$ | 472,500.00 | \$ | 383,650.77 | \$ 88, | 849.23 | Warranty period is complete and the project can be closed. |
| 34 | Fitch St I/I Investigation and Reduction | 2023 | 10-330-23703 | \$ 161,987.00 | Ş | 156,410.73 | ÷ | 145,480.94 | \$ 10; | 929.79 | Project complete and the account can be closed. |
| 35 | 2023-305 Avenue Place Infrastructure Renewals Design | 2023 | 10-330-23747 | \$ 38,400.00 | \$ | 38,400.00 | φ | I | \$ 38, | 400.00 | Project has been deferred. Account can be closed. |

Page 373 of 497

| Item | Project Name | Year of Approval | Project # | Capital Ap Budget | proved | Project | t Funding | Project Expendi | tures | Net Project Variance | Comments |
|------|---|---------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 36 | PW Salt Dome | 2020-2023 | 10-430-20091/ 10-444-21466/ 10-444-22465/ 10-444-23455 | \$ | 800,000.00 | \$ 2,7 | '99,527.00 | \$ 2,7 | 98,329.05 | \$ 1,197.95 | Competitive RFP process and project planning brought the project in on budget, to be closed |
| 37 | General Facilities Maintenance and Renewals | 2024 | 10-430-24451 | ю | 200,000.00 | 8 8 | 00.000,000 | \$ | 204,943.35 | \$ (4,943.35 | Used to deal with emergency and unforeseen repairs and upgrades in 2024. Emergency work resulted in the project going over budget. To be closed |
| 38 | Playfield Repair and Renewal | 2024 | 10-412-24488 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 19,954.34 | \$ 45.66 | Scope completed within budget |
| 39 | Fleet Capital Replacement - General * Note 3 | 2024 | 10-323-24300 | \$ | 809,151.00 | ω ω | 19,569.74 | \$ | 35,758.55 | \$ 143,811.19 | Favorable due to year end clear out incentives and a 10% contingency now reduced to 5% A more expensive tractor was used for budgetary purpose, actual purchase price was lower. |
| 40 | Pre-Approved 2024 Fleet Capital Replacement | 2024 | 10-323-24304 | \$ 1, | 138,000.00 | \$ 1,1 | 38,000.00 | \$ 1,0 |)73,122.19 | \$ 64,877.81 | Can be closed, all items received. Sweeper negotiation resulted in significant savings. |
| 41 | Playground Surface Renewal | 2024 | 10-410-24411 | \$ | 25,000.00 | Ь | 25,000.00 | ÷ | 24,906.78 | \$ 93.22 | Project completed and within budget. Account can be closed. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Total N | let Project Variances | \$ 1,292,961.21 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Net Transfer to/ (from) t | Economic Devel | opment Reserve | | | | | | | \$ 29,850.23 | |
| | Total Net Transfer to/ (from) \ | Nater/ Wastewa | ter Surplus Reserv | /e | | | | | | \$ 362,458.69 | |
| | Total Net Transfer to/ (from) (| Capital Surplus | Reserve | | | | | | | \$ 900,652.29 | |
| | | | | | | | | Total N | let Project Variances | \$ 1,292,961.21 | |
| | Project Notes: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Note 1- Funding allocation app | proved through El | NG 2021-21- Septer | mber 7, 202 | 21 -Dain City | Forema | ain Replacer | nent Pro | gram Phase | 5 | |
| | Note 2- OCIF allocation reducti | on in 2021 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Note 3- Additional equipment p | urchase as appro | oved through ENG 2 | 2024-09 -Aμ | oril 23, 2024 - | - Dual -F | Jurpose Equ | uipment / | Addition- Sic | ewalk Snow Clear | ng/Grass Cutting |

Page 374 of 497

2025 Capital Close out Report-1

COUNCIL MEETING INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES / ENGINEERING

REPORT ENG-2025-19 July 8, 2025

SUBJECT:Update to Traffic Bylaw 89-2000AUTHOR:Muhammad Ali Khan, P.Eng.
Manager Transportation EngineeringAPPROVER:Sherri-Marie Millar, P.Eng.
Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council approves REPORT ENG-2025-19 Update to Traffic By-law 89-2000 Schedule "XXVII"; and further

THAT Council directs the City Clerk to amend By-law 89-2000 Schedule "XXVII" as outlined in APPENDIX B.

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

This recommendation is aligned to Council's strategic priority of ensuring "**Health and Wellbeing**" to promote personal health and well-being by offering an abundance of activities that meet the diverse needs and interests all while, advocating for improved health care throughout the city, and supporting the need for safety and security.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Amendments to the Highway Traffic Act (HTA) enacted in May 2017 grant municipalities the authority to establish speed limits below 50 km/h.

Further to a recommendation within the 2025 Transportation Master Plan, Council approved funding for the installation of 40 km/h signage on local and minor collector roads. A total of 644 signs will be installed, with completion targeted for October 30, 2025.

The Traffic By-law 89-2000 must be updated to reflect the revised speed limits.

BACKGROUND:

The implementation of a citywide 40 km/h speed limit on local and minor collector roadways was recommended in the Council-endorsed Transportation Master Plan. Council subsequently approved capital funding during the 2025 budget process to support the installation of the necessary signage.

DISCUSSION:

Amendments to the Highway Traffic Act in 2017 allow municipalities to establish designated speed limit areas using gateway signage, thereby eliminating the need for signage on each individual street within the area.

In accordance with this authority, a total of 644 speed limit signs will be installed along local and minor collector roadways. Installation is expected to be completed by October 30, 2025. A sample image of the proposed speed signage is included in Appendix A.

As part of the proposed speed limit bylaw updates, several arterial and major collector roads including Hellems Avenue, Forks Road, and Wellington Street are being removed from the schedule, as they will now fall under the provincial default speed limit of 50 km/h unless otherwise signed. Additionally, Aqueduct Street, currently signed as 40 km/h only "when flashing", will have the flashing condition removed and be designated as a permanent 40 km/h zone.

To enable enforcement, Schedule XXVII of the Traffic By-law 89-2000 must be amended to reflect these speed limit changes as seen in Appendix B.

FINANCIAL:

Council approved \$218,000 in capital funding in the 2025 budget for the installation of 40 km/h signage. The lowest bid received through the procurement process is \$164,150, which is within the approved budget.

ATTACHMENT:

Appendix A - Example of new 40km/hr area speed sign Appendix B - By-law 89-2000 Schedule "XXVII" – Speed Limit Schedule APPENDIX A 40Km Area Signs



APPENDIX B

SPEED LIMITS ON HIGHWAYS

Schedule "XXVII"

| | COLUM | MN 2 | COLUMN 3 |
|-------------------|-------------------|------|---------------|
| COLUMIN 1 HIGHWAY | FROM | то | SPEED (KM/HR) |
| THE FOL | LOWING TO BE ADDE | Ð | |
| Abbey Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Abbott Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Acadia Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Acadia Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Achilles Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Adah Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Admiral Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Afton Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Ajax Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Alan Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Albert Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Alberta Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Albina Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Alexander Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Almond Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Alyson Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Amelia Lane | Throughout | | 40 |
| Andora Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Ann Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Apple Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Aquador Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Aqueduct Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Argyle Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Armour Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Arthur Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Ascot Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Ash Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Asher Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Aspen Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Auburn Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Austin Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Autumn Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Avenue Place | Throughout | | 40 |
| Aviron Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Avon Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bald Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Balmoral Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Balsam Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Barber Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Barrington Drive | Throughout | | 40 |

| Barron Street | Throughout | | 40 |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------|----|
| Bateau Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bay Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Beatrice Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Beatty Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Becken Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Beechwood Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bellevue Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Belmont Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bentgrass Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bethune Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Betts Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Beverly Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Birch Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Birchmount Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bishop Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Blossom Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bosnich Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Braden Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bradlev Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Brant Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Briarfield Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Briarsdale Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bridgewater Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bridlewood Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Britannia Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Brookhaven Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Brownleigh Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Bruce Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Brvon Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Burgar Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Burton Lane | Throughout | | 40 |
| Cabot Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Cady Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Caithness Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Calais Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Calista Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Calla Terrace | Throughout | | 40 |
| Cameron Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Camrose Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Canal Bank Street | Highway 58 | Southerly Limit | 40 |
| Canora Court | Throughout | Í | 40 |
| Canvas Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Cardinal Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Carlisle Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Carlton Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Caroline Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Carrol Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Cartier Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Castlefield Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Catharine Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Cedar Park Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Cedar Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Cedarvale Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Centennial Drive | Throughout | | 40 |

| Centre Street | Throughout | 40 |
|----------------------|-------------|----|
| Chaffev Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Champlain Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Chantal Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Chantler Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Chapel Hill Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Charles Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Charlotte Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Chelsea Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Chippawa Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Christina Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Church Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Churchill Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Claremount Circle | Throughout | 40 |
| | Throughout | 40 |
| Clifford Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Coboe Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Cola Street | Throughout | 40 |
| | Throughout | 40 |
| College Bark Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| | Throughout | 40 |
| Commanda Street | Throughout | 40 |
| | | 40 |
| | Throughout | 40 |
| | Throughout | 40 |
| Cooper Road | | 40 |
| | Inrougnout | 40 |
| Corvette Street | Inrougnout | 40 |
| Cottonwood Crescent | Inrougnout | 40 |
| | Inrougnout | 40 |
| | Inrougnout | 40 |
| Court House Lane | Inrougnout | 40 |
| Courtney Crescent | I hroughout | 40 |
| Coventry Road | | 40 |
| Coyle Court | | 40 |
| Cozy Street | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Cranbrook Crescent | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Creekside Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Crerar Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Crescent Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Crew Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Crewing Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Cross Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Crow Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Crowther Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Cummington Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Cutress Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Dain Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Daisy Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Dana Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Danforth Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Darte Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Dauphine Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Dauphine Place | Throughout | 40 |
| David Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Days Avenue | Throughout | 40 |

| Deere Street | Throughout | | 40 |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----|
| Denistoun Street | West Main Street | Northerly Limit | 40 |
| Devon Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dexter Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dieppe Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Diffin Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dixon Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Donald Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Donna Marie Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dorothy Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dougherty Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Douglas Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dover Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dover Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Downriver Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Downs Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Draper's Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Draw Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Differin Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Duncan Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dunkark Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Dunkirk Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| | Throughout | | 40 |
| Easiblidge Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| | Throughout | | 40 |
| Eastwood Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Edgar Street | | | 40 |
| Edgewood Avenue | | | 40 |
| Edward Street | | | 40 |
| Elgin Street | | | 40 |
| Elizabeth Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Elmview Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Elmwood Avenue | Ihroughout | | 40 |
| Empire Street | Ihroughout | | 40 |
| Empress Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Endicott Terrace | Throughout | | 40 |
| Erie Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Erin Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Evan Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Exeter Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Fairlawn Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Falcon Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Farnham Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Feather Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Fell Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Fernwood Terrace | Throughout | | 40 |
| Fifth Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Fin Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| | A point 150 metres | | |
| First Avenue | south of Cedar Park | Quaker Road | 40 |
| | Drive | | |
| First Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Fitch Street | Prince Charles Drive | First Avenue | 40 |
| Fleet Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Forest Ridge Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Forest Road | Throughout | | 40 |

| Forster Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
|-----------------------|------------|----|
| Foss Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Fourth Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Foxtail Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Franklin Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Frazer Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Gadsby Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Gaiser Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Galloway Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Ganton Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Garner Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Garon Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Gate Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Gatfield Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Gladys Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Glen Park Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Glen Park Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Glen View | Throughout | 40 |
| Glenavr Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Glandala Driva | Throughout | 40 |
| | Throughout | 40 |
| Coldon Roulovard Fast | Throughout | 40 |
| Golden Boulevard West | Throughout | 40 |
| Golden Boulevard West | Throughout | 40 |
| Goodwillie Drive | Inroughout | 40 |
| Gordon Street | Inroughout | 40 |
| Gould Road | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Gram Avenue | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Grange Avenue | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Grassy Brook Road | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Graystone Crescent | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Green Meadow Crescent | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Green Pointe Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Greenbriar Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Greenwood Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Gretel Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Griffith Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Gross Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Grove Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Guest Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Gunnel Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Hagar Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Hampton Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Hansler Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Harcourt Lane | Throughout | 40 |
| Harold Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Harriet Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Harrison Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Harvard Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Harvest Oak Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Hatter Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Haun Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Heather Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Hendershot Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Henley Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Hennepin Avenue | Throughout | 40 |

| Heritage Lane | Throughout | 40 |
|----------------------|------------|----|
| Heron Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Hester Lane | Throughout | 40 |
| Hickory Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Highland Gardens | Throughout | 40 |
| Highriver Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Hilda Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Hildred Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Hill Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Hillsdale Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Hobson Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Home Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Hooker Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Hughes Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Humberstone Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Hunter Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Huntington Circle | Throughout | 40 |
| Huron Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Idvlwood Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Iron Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Iva Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Jackson Court East | Throughout | 40 |
| Jackson Court West | Throughout | 40 |
| James Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Jefferson Court East | Throughout | 40 |
| Jefferson Court West | Throughout | 40 |
| Jennifer Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Joanne Court | Throughout | 40 |
| John Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Jones Lane | Throughout | 40 |
| Joshua Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Joyce Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Julia Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Junction Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Juniper Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Justina Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Karen Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Kaywood Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Keelson Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Kennedy Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Kensington Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Kent Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Keystone Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Kilgour Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Kingsway | Throughout | 40 |
| Kingswood Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Kline's Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Lacy Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Lamont Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Lancaster Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Laporte Boulevard | Throughout | 40 |
| Larchwood Circle | Throughout | 40 |
| Larraine Street | Throughout | 40 |
| LaSalle Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Laugher Avenue | Throughout | 40 |

| Laughlin Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
|---|-------------------|----------------|----|
| Laughlin Place | Throughout | | 40 |
| Laurent Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Laval Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lea Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Leaside Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Leonard Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lewis Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lillias Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lincoln Street | Wellington Street | Easterly Limit | 40 |
| Lindel Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Line Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Linrose Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Linwood Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lisa Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Little John Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lloyd Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lock Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Locust Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Louise Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lovalist Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lynbrook Lane | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lvons Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lvons Creek Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Lvons Creek Road exit to Doans Ridge Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| MacInnis Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| MacKenzie Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| MacLean Place | Throughout | | 40 |
| Macoomb Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Madison Court East | Throughout | | 40 |
| Madison Court West | Throughout | | 40 |
| Magnolia Lane | Throughout | | 40 |
| Maior Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Memorial Park Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Manor Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Maple Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Maplepark Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Maplewood Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Marc Boulevard | Throughout | | 40 |
| Marc Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Margaret Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Margery Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Maria Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Marigold Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Marina Place | Throughout | | 40 |
| Marshall Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Martha Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Martin Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Mary Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Mason Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Masters Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Matthews Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Maureen Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Mavfair Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| McAlpine Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |

| McArthur Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
|---------------------------|------------|----|
| McCabe Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| McColl Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| McCormick Street | Throughout | 40 |
| McCrae Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| McGill Street | Throughout | 40 |
| McLaughlin Street | Throughout | 40 |
| McMaster Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| McNab Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| McNaughton Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Meadowvale Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Melissa Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Melrosi Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Merritt Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Michael Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Michelle Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Michigan Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Midland Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Mill Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Miranda Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Monarch Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Montgomery Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Montrose Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Moore Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Morningstar Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Morwood Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Municipal Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Murdock Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Myrtle Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Nathalie Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Navy Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Nelles Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Nelles Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Netherby Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Newleaf Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Niagara College Boulevard | Throughout | 40 |
| Nidel's Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Nightingale Place | Throughout | 40 |
| North Crescent Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| North Road | Throughout | 40 |
| North Valley Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Northcote Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Northgate Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Northhaven Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Northwood Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Norway Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Nottingham Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Nova Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Nugent Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Nve Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Oak Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Oak Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Oakcrest Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Oakland Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Oakmount Road | Throughout | 40 |

| Old School Trail | Throughout | | 40 |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|----|
| Orchard Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Oxford Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Page Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Park Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Parkdale Place | Throughout | | 40 |
| Parkside Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Parkview Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Parkway | Throughout | | 40 |
| Patricia Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Patsy Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Patterson Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Paul Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Pearson Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Pelham Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Penrose Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Perenack Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Pietz Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Pietz Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Pine Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Pinehurst Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Pinoak Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Plazawav | Throughout | | 40 |
| Pogie Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Poplar Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Port Crescent | Throughout | | 40 |
| Portage Trail | Throughout | | 40 |
| Preston Place | Throughout | | 40 |
| Price Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Princess Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Promenade Richelieu | Throughout | | 40 |
| Provincial Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Quebec Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Queen Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Railroad Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Rainbow Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Randolph Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Raymond Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Reaker Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Redwood Court | Throughout | | 40 |
| Regatta Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Regent Place | Throughout | | 40 |
| Regent Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Riceholme Place | Throughout | | 40 |
| Rices Lane | Throughout | | 40 |
| Richmond Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Ridgewood Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Ridlev Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Ring Road | Throughout | | 40 |
| Rita Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| River Road | Woodlawn Road | East Main Stret | 40 |
| Riverbank Street | Throughout | | 40 |
| Riverview Drive | Throughout | | 40 |
| Roach Avenue | Throughout | | 40 |
| Robert Street | Throughout | | 40 |

| Robin Hood Lane | Throughout | 40 |
|-----------------------|------------|----|
| Roger Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Rolling Acres Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Rollins Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Roosevelt Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Rose Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Rosedale Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Roselawn Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Rosemount Circle | Throughout | 40 |
| Rosemount Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Rosewood Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Ross Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Rowlock Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Royal Oak Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Rudder Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Rusholme Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Sager Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Santone Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Sauer Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Savles Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Schneider Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Scholfield Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Seaway Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Second Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Seelev Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Semley Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Seneca Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Seventh Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Sharon Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Sharpe Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Sherwood Forest Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Shipview Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Shoreview Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Shotwell Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Silvan Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Silvan Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Silverstar Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Silverthorn Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Silverthorn Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Silverwood Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Simcoe Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Simpson Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Sixth Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Skulling Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Skving Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Smith Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Somerville Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Sorel Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Southgate Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Southworth Street | Throughout | 50 |
| Sparrow Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Spencer Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Sprinafield Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Springhead Gardens | Throughout | 40 |
| Spruce Crescent | Throughout | 40 |

| St. Andrews Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
|----------------------|------------|----|
| St. Augustine Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| St. Clair Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| St. George Street | Throughout | 40 |
| St. Lawrence Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Stanley Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Starboard Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| State Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Station Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Stauth Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Steel Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Stefanie Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Stern Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Steven Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Stoneybrook Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Stormm Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Strata | Throughout | 40 |
| Strathmore Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Strawn Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Sumbler Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Summerlea Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Summit Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Summit Circle | Throughout | 40 |
| Sunflower Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Superior Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Surrey Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Susan Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Sutherland Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Sutherland Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Sycamore Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Sydney Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Talbot Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Taliesin Trail | Throughout | 40 |
| Tamarack Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Tanguay Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Terrace Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| The Boardwalk | Throughout | 40 |
| Third Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Thomas Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Thomson Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Thornton Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Timberview Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Topham Boulevard | Throughout | 40 |
| Towpath Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Trailwood Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Trelawn Parkway | Throughout | 40 |
| Trent Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Trillium Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Trufal Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Tulip Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Tumblewood Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Udine Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| University Lane | Throughout | 40 |
| Valencourt Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Valerie Court | Throughout | 40 |

| Vanjo Drive Throughout 40 Varisty Drive Throughout 40 Vaughan Road Throughout 40 Vaughan Road Throughout 40 Vega Court Throughout 40 Verne Avenue Throughout 40 Victoria Street Throughout 40 Victoria Street Throughout 40 Victoria Street Throughout 40 Willage Court Throughout 40 Wale Street Throughout 40 Wale Street Throughout 40 Wale Street Throughout 40 Wale Street Throughout 40 Waterview Court Throughout 40 Wealerovenue Throughout 40< | Vanier Drive | Throughout | 40 |
|--|---------------------|------------|----|
| Varsity DriveThroughout40Vaughan RoadThroughout40Vega CourtThroughout40Verne AvenueThroughout40Verne AvenueThroughout40Verne RvenueThroughout40Victory AvenueThroughout40Victory AvenueThroughout40Viger DriveThroughout40Viger DriveThroughout40Wiger DriveThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Wellard StreetThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westoile DriveThroughout40 <td>Vanio Drive</td> <td>Throughout</td> <td>40</td> | Vanio Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Vaughan Road Throughout 40 Vega Court Throughout 40 Verne Avenue Throughout 40 Verne Avenue Throughout 40 Victoria Street Throughout 40 Victoria Street Throughout 40 Victoria Street Throughout 40 Viger Drive Throughout 40 Walac Street Throughout 40 Walac Street Throughout 40 Walac Street Throughout 40 Walar Street Throughout 40 Wateriew Court Throughout 40 Welland Street Throughout 40 Welland Street Throughout 40 Wellands Brive Throughout 40 Wellandvale Drive Throughout <t< td=""><td>Varsity Drive</td><td>Throughout</td><td>40</td></t<> | Varsity Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Nega Court Throughout 40 Verne Avenue Throughout 40 Verne Avenue Throughout 40 Victoria Street Throughout 40 Victory Avenue Throughout 40 Victory Avenue Throughout 40 Viger Drive Throughout 40 Wiger Drive Throughout 40 Walace Avenue Throughout 40 Walace Avenue Throughout 40 Walace Avenue Throughout 40 Walace Avenue Throughout 40 Waterleaf Trail Throughout 40 Waterleaf Trail Throughout 40 Waterleaf Trail Throughout 40 Wellandvale Drive Throughout 40 Wellandvale Drive Throughout 40 Wellandvale Drive Throughout 40 Wellandvale Venue Throughout 40 Wellandvale Venue Throughout 40 Wellandvale Drive Through | Vaughan Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Verne AvenueThroughout40Verne AvenueThroughout40Victoria StreetThroughout40Victoria StreetThroughout40Victoria StreetThroughout40Wales CourtThroughout40Wales CreetThroughout40Wales StreetThroughout40Wales StreetThroughout40Wales StreetThroughout40Wales StreetThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland Vale DriveThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Willow Rout <td>Vega Court</td> <td>Throughout</td> <td>40</td> | Vega Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Verion StreetThroughout40Victoria StreetThroughout40Victory AvenueThroughout40Victory AvenueThroughout40Village DriveThroughout40Village CourtThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Wileox CrescentThroughout40White AvenueTh | | Throughout | 40 |
| Victoria StreetThroughout40Victoria StreetThroughout40Viger DriveThroughout40Viger DriveThroughout40Viger DriveThroughout40Wade StreetThroughout40Walac StreetThroughout40Walac StreetThroughout40Walact StreetThroughout40Walact StreetThroughout40Walact StreetThroughout40Wateriee CourtThroughout40Wateriee CourtThroughout40Wateriee CourtThroughout40Wateriee CourtThroughout40Wateriee CourtThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge DriveThroughout40Westoridge AvenueThroughout40Westoridge DriveThroughout40Westoridge DriveThroughout40Westoridge DriveThroughout40Wilson CrescentThroughout40Wilson RoadThroughout40Wilson RoadThroughou | Vernon Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Victory AvenueThroughout40Victory AvenueThroughout40Village CourtThroughout40Willage CourtThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Walts StreetThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf TrailThroughout40Waterleaf VallaceThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Weller AvenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westord RoadThroughout40Westord RoadThroughout40Westord RoadThroughout40Westord RoadThroughout40Westord RoadThroughout40Willoughoy CourtThroughout40Willoughoy CourtThroughout40Willoughoy CourtThroughout40Willoughoy CourtThroughout40Willoughoy CourtThroug | Victoria Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Wiger Drive Throughout 40 Viger Drive Throughout 40 Virginia Street Throughout 40 Walace Street Throughout 40 Walace Avenue Throughout 40 Walace Avenue Throughout 40 Walace Avenue Throughout 40 Waterelaf Trail Throughout 40 Wavell Court Throughout 40 Welland Street Throughout 40 Wellandvale Drive Throughout 40 West Creek Court Throughout 40 West Creek Court Throughout 40 Westoreace Road Throughout 40 Westoreacescent Throughout 40 Westoreacescent Throug | Victory Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Nilage CourtThroughout40Viriginia StreetThroughout40Wade StreetThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallas StreetThroughout40Wallas StreetThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Wellarok VenueThroughout40Wellocok BoulevardThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride StreetThroughout40Westoride CrescentThroughout40Westrout CrescentThroughout40WilevanueThroughout40Whiteak CrescentThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout4 | Victory Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| MillingThroughout40Wriginia StreetThroughout40Walace AvenueThroughout40Walace AvenueThroughout40Walace AvenueThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf TrailThroughout40Waterlaaf StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Westorik BoulevardThroughout40Westorik BoulevardThroughout40Westorik RoadThroughout40Westorik RoadThroughout40Westorik RoadThroughout40Westorik RoadThroughout40Westorik RoadThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40< | Viger Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| And StreetThroughout40Wade StreetThroughout40Walnut StreetThroughout40Walnut StreetThroughout40Wateriea TrailThroughout40Wateriea CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Weller AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride AvenueThroughout40Westoride RoadThroughout40Westoride RoadThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Willowfby CourtThroughout40Willowfby CourtThroughout40Willowfby CourtThroughout40Willowfby CourtThroughout40Willowfby CourtThroughout40Willowfby CourtThroughout40Willowfby CourtThroughout40Willowfby CourtThroughout40 | Village Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Wallace AvenueThroughout40Wallace AvenueThroughout40Walts StreetThroughout40Watts StreetThroughout40Watterview CourtThroughout40Watterview CourtThroughout40Watterview CourtThroughout40Watterview CourtThroughout40Watterview CourtThroughout40Watterview CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westort RoadThroughout40Westwoot CrescentThroughout40Westwoot CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow AvenueThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40 <td>Wada Street</td> <td>Throughout</td> <td>40</td> | Wada Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Walnut Street Throughout 40 Walnut Street Throughout 40 Wateriea Trail Throughout 40 Wateriew Court Throughout 40 Wateriew Court Throughout 40 Wateriew Court Throughout 40 Wateriew Court Throughout 40 Walend Street Throughout 40 Welland Street Throughout 40 Welland Street Throughout 40 Wellbrook Boulevard Throughout 40 Wellbrook Boulevard Throughout 40 Westoridge Avenue Throughout 40 Westoridge Avenue Throughout 40 Westoridge Avenue Throughout 40 Westoridge Avenue Throughout 40 Westrout Crescent Throughout 40 Westrout Crescent Throughout 40 White Avenue Throughout 40 Wildwork Court Throughout 40 Wildwork Court Throughout 40 Wildwork Court Throughou | | Throughout | 40 |
| Walts StreetThroughout40Walts StreetThroughout40Watteriew CourtThroughout40Watteriew CourtThroughout40Watteriew CourtThroughout40Watteriew CourtThroughout40Walta StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland Vavelle CourtThroughout40Welland VavenueThroughout40Wellard vavenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westort RoadThroughout40Westort RoadThroughout40Westort RoadThroughout40Westord CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Wilke StreetThroughout40Wilke StreetThroughout40Wilkow CourtThroughout40Wilkow StreetThroughout40Wilkow StreetThroughout40Wilkow RoadThroughout40Wilkon RoadThroughout40Wilkon RoadThroughout40Wilkon RoadThroughout40Wilkow StreetThroughout40Wilkon RoadThroughout40Wilkon RoadThroughout40Wilkon RoadThroughout40Wilkon Road </td <td>Wallace Avenue</td> <td>Throughout</td> <td>40</td> | Wallace Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Water TrailThroughout40Waterview CourtThroughout40Watt AvenueThroughout40Watt AvenueThroughout40Wavell CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Weller AvenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westoft RoadThroughout40Westoft RoadThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow InveThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow Road <t< td=""><td>Walte Street</td><td>Throughout</td><td>40</td></t<> | Walte Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Wateriew CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland VenueThroughout40Weller AvenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westort RoadThroughout40Westort RoadThroughout40Westoale DriveThroughout40Westoale DriveThroughout40Westoale DriveThroughout40Westoale DriveThroughout40Willer AvenueThroughout40Willes DriveThroughout40Willew CourtThroughout40Willew CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadTh | | Throughout | 40 |
| Wate AvenueThroughout40Wate AvenueThroughout40Wavell CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellsrok BoulevardThroughout40Weller AvenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westort RoadThroughout40Westort RoadThroughout40Westoat RoadThroughout40Westoat RoadThroughout40Westale DriveThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkewood CrescentThroughout40Wilkewood CrescentThroughout40Wilkewood CrescentThroughout40Wilkewood CrescentThroughout40Wilkewood CoretThroughout40Wilkewood CoretThroughout40Wilkow StreetThroughout40Wilkow StreetThroughout40Wilkon RoadThroughout40Wilkon RoadThroughout40Wilkon StreetThroughout40Wilkon StreetThroughout40Wilkon StreetThroughout40Wilkon StreetThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40< | | | 40 |
| WaterInfoughout40Wavell CourtThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Welland StreetThroughout40Wellbrock BoulevardThroughout40Wellbrock BoulevardThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbale DriveThroughout40Westbale DriveThroughout40Westbale DriveThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkos DriveThroughout40Wilkow StreetThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow Road <t< td=""><td>waterview Court</td><td>Inroughout</td><td>40</td></t<> | waterview Court | Inroughout | 40 |
| Wavell CourtInroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Weller AvenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Corek CourtThroughout40West Corek CourtThroughout40West Core ReadThroughout40West Core ReadThroughout40West Core ReadThroughout40West Core ReadThroughout40West Core ReadThroughout40West Core CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Willough CourtThroughout40Willough CourtThroughout40Willough CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willoon RoadThroughout40Willon RoadThroughout40Willon RoadThroughout40Willon RoadThroughout40Willon RoadThroughout40Willon RoadThroughout40Willon RoadThroughout40Willon RoadThroughout40Willon RoadThroughout40Willon | Watt Avenue | Inroughout | 40 |
| Welland StreetInroughout40Wellandvale DriveThroughout40Wellbrook BoulevardThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson AvenueThroughout40Willson AvenueThroughout40Willson AvenueThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow AvenueThroughout40Willow AvenueThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout | Wavell Court | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Weillandvale DriveInroughout40Weillbrook BoulevardThroughout40Weiller AvenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westott RoadThroughout40Westott RoadThroughout40Westott RoadThroughout40Westott RoadThroughout40Westott RoadThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40Wite AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willowghby CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willowbrok DriveThroughout40Willowhanding CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willon AvenueThroughout40Willon StreetThroughout40Willon StreetThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout <t< td=""><td>Welland Street</td><td>Ihroughout</td><td>40</td></t<> | Welland Street | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Wellbrook BoulevardInroughout40Weller AvenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westbord RoadThroughout40Westdale DriveThroughout40Westdale DriveThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow Brok DriveThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Woodcorf CrescentThroughout40Woodcorf CrescentThroughout40 | Wellandvale Drive | Ihroughout | 40 |
| Weller AvenueThroughout40West Creek CourtThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westcott RoadThroughout40Westcott RoadThroughout40Westdale DriveThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willows StreetThroughout40Willows StreetThroughout40Willows NeadThroughout40Willows StreetThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson StreetThroughout40Wildson StreetThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout | Wellbrook Boulevard | Throughout | 40 |
| West Creek CourtThroughout40Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westcott RoadThroughout40Westdale DriveThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodingt NenueThroughout40Woodingt NenueThroughout40Woodingt NenueThroughout40Woodingt NenueThroughout40Woodingt NenueThroughout40 <td< td=""><td>Weller Avenue</td><td>Throughout</td><td>40</td></td<> | Weller Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Westbridge AvenueThroughout40Westcott RoadThroughout40Westdale DriveThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow GourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Wiltsnire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Woodbie AvenueThroughout40Woodbie AvenueThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40 <trr< td=""><td>West Creek Court</td><td>Throughout</td><td>40</td></trr<> | West Creek Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Westcott RoadThroughout40Westdale DriveThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Wilkoak CrescentThroughout40Wilkoak CrescentThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughou | Westbridge Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Westdale DriveThroughout40Westmount CrescentThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Whiteoak CrescentThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40WillownaugThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willon AvenueThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodrand DriveThroughout40Woodrand DriveThroughout40Woodrand AvenueThroughout40Woodrand AvenueThroughout40Woodrand DriveThroughout40Woodrand AvenueThr | Westcott Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Westmount CrescentThroughout40Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Whiteoak CrescentThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow BriveThroughout40Willow BrokThroughout40Willow BrokThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson AvenueThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Winder StreetThroughout40Woodsor StreetThroughout40Woodsine AvenueThroughout40Woodigton PlaceThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodigton PlaceThroughout40Woodigton AvenueThroughout40Woodigte AvenueThroughout40Woodigte AvenueThroughout40Woodigte AvenueThroughout40Woodigte AvenueThroughout40Woodigte AvenueThroughout40Woodigte AvenueThroughout40Woodigte AvenueThroughout | Westdale Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Westwood CrescentThroughout40White AvenueThroughout40Whiteoak CrescentThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow BrokeThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodside DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wurghout StreetThroughout40 <td>Westmount Crescent</td> <td>Throughout</td> <td>40</td> | Westmount Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| White AvenueThroughout40Whiteoak CrescentThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willow InteretThroughout40Willow Brook DriveThroughout40Willow InteretThroughout40Willow RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Wittshire BoulevardThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wrokwood RoadThroughout40Wrokwood RoadThroughout40 | Westwood Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Whiteoak CrescentThroughout40Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowlanding CourtThroughout40Willowlanding CourtThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wirdwood RoadThroughout40 | White Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Wilkes DriveThroughout40Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowlanding CourtThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Winder DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40< | Whiteoak Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Willoughby CourtThroughout40Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowlanding CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Wirght StreetThroughout40Wirght StreetThroughout40Wirght StreetThroughout40Wirght StreetThroughout40Wirght StreetThroughout40 <tr <td="">Wirght StreetTh</tr> | Wilkes Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| | | | |
| Willow CourtThroughout40Willow StreetThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowlanding CourtThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wiltsine BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wirkbroond RoadThroughout40 | Willoughby Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Willow StreetThroughout40Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowlanding CourtThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40Willson AvenueThroughout40Willshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40 | Willow Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Willowbrook DriveThroughout40Willowlanding CourtThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40Willson AvenueThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wingher RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Willow Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Willowlanding CourtThroughout40Willson RoadThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windsermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Winght StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Willowbrook Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Willson RoadThroughout40WillsonwayThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wyrehwood RoadThroughout40 | Willowlanding Court | Throughout | 40 |
| WillsonwayThroughout40Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Willson Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Wilton AvenueThroughout40Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wordbrond RoadThroughout40 | Willsonway | Throughout | 40 |
| Wiltshire BoulevardThroughout40Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Wilton Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Windermere CourtThroughout40Windsor StreetThroughout40Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Wiltshire Boulevard | Throughout | 40 |
| Windsor StreetThroughout40Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Windermere Court | Throughout | 40 |
| Winter DriveThroughout40Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Windsor Street | Throughout | 40 |
| Woodbine AvenueThroughout40Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Winter Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Woodcroft CrescentThroughout40Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Woodbine Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Woodgate DriveThroughout40Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Woodcroft Crescent | Throughout | 40 |
| Woodington PlaceThroughout40Woodland DriveThroughout40Woodrush AvenueThroughout40Woodside AvenueThroughout40Wrangler RoadThroughout40Wright StreetThroughout40Wychwood RoadThroughout40 | Woodgate Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Woodland Drive Throughout 40 Woodrush Avenue Throughout 40 Woodside Avenue Throughout 40 Wrangler Road Throughout 40 Wright Street Throughout 40 Wychwood Road Throughout 40 | Woodington Place | Throughout | 40 |
| Woodrush Avenue Throughout 40 Woodside Avenue Throughout 40 Wrangler Road Throughout 40 Wright Street Throughout 40 Wychwood Road Throughout 40 | Woodland Drive | Throughout | 40 |
| Woodside Avenue Throughout 40 Wrangler Road Throughout 40 Wright Street Throughout 40 Wychwood Road Throughout 40 | Woodrush Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Wrangler Road Throughout 40 Wright Street Throughout 40 Wychwood Road Throughout 40 | Woodside Avenue | Throughout | 40 |
| Wright Street Throughout 40 Wychwood Road Throughout 40 | Wrangler Road | Throughout | 40 |
| Wychwood Road Throughout 40 | Wright Street | Throughout | 40 |
| | Wychwood Road | Throughout | 40 |

| York Street | Throughout | 40 |
|-------------|------------|----|
| Zimmer Lane | Throughout | 40 |

THE FOLLOWING TO BE DELETED

| Aqueduct Street | Thorold Road | Pine Street | 40 when flashing |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Hellems Avenue | Division Street Niagara Road #527 | Lincoln Street Niagara Road #29 | 40 |
| Forks Road | 220 metres west of elm Street | 366 metres east of Elm Stret | 60 |
| Wellington Street | Promenade Richelieu | Lincoln Street | 40 |
| Wellington Street | East Main Street | Lincoln Street | 40 |

COUNCIL MEETING COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT FACILITIES DIVISION

REPORT CS-2025-26 July 8, 2025

SUBJECT:Civic Square Revitalization Detailed Design RFP AwardAUTHOR:Frank Pearson, B. Tech – Arch. Sci.
Manager of Facilities, Operations and DevelopmentAPPROVER:Jessica Ruddell, M.P.A., M.B.A., B.S.
Director of Community Services

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council receive REPORT CS-2025-26 for information; and

THAT Council award to The MBTW Group Inc. for the provision of the Design, Consulting, Tendering and Contract Administrations Services for the Civic Square Plaza Revitalization.

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

This recommendation is aligned with Council's strategic priority of ensuring "**Liveability**" by creating a sense of belonging while enhancing mobility by improving access to recreation and community events, ensuring adequate housing options, encouraging job growth, and improving ways to efficiently move people throughout the city.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to award RFP25-09 Detailed Design for City of Welland Civic Square Revitalization to The MBTW Group Inc. As per the <u>City of Welland Purchasing Policy</u>, professional services over the amount of \$150,000 must be approved by Council. The MBTW Group Inc. is the highest-scoring proponent through a competitive RFP process. Approval will ensure a timely completion of the detailed design for the project.

BACKGROUND:

Civic Square, which should be a vibrant focal point of downtown Welland, has aged significantly and no longer meets the functional, aesthetic, safety, or

accessibility expectations of a modern public space. Originally constructed in 2005, Civic Square has experienced considerable wear and tear since construction and its outdated infrastructure and limited amenities do not support contemporary programming or community use. Issues such as deteriorating hardscaping, insufficient lighting, barriers to accessibility, and health and safety concerns have been noted by staff, residents, and user groups.

Through report CS-2022-03 – Civic Square Final Design (Appendix 1), Council approved the final concept design presented by Adesso Design Inc. The next step of the process is to create working construction drawings from the concepts that are ready for tender and construction. The successful consultant will use the approved concept design and prepare a comprehensive, detailed design package that is tender and construction ready.

This design will guide future capital investment decisions, support accurate costing, and serve as the foundation for phased construction planning. Approval of the detailed design by Council is necessary to initiate the revitalization of the Welland Civic Square.

DISCUSSION:

The City of Welland issued RFP25-09 Detailed Design for City of Welland Civic Square Revitalization competitively on Biddingo on April 9, 2025. The proposal closed on May 14, 2025, and the City of Welland received three compliant proposal submissions:

| | <u>Bidder</u> | <u>Scoring</u> |
|----|---------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | The MBTW Group Inc. | 1 st |
| 2. | Adesso Design Inc. | 2 nd |
| 3. | GSP Group | 3 rd |

Staff from Community Services evaluated the compliant proposals based on requirements, project understanding, methodology, work plan, experience, and price. Based on these criteria, staff recommend The MBTW Group Inc. for approval.

FINANCIAL:

As noted above, The MBTW Group Inc. is the highest-scoring proponent and is recommended for the award.

| Vendor Name | Total Cost (pre-tax) | Inclusive of City Tax |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| The MBTW Group Inc. – Base Bid | \$ 149,485.00 | \$ 152,115.94 |
| Provision Costs – Design concepts for the side and back areas of City Hall | \$ 19,540.00 | \$ 19,883.90 |
| TOTAL | \$ 169,025.00 | \$ 171,999.84 |

The design of the side and back of City Hall was included in the RFP as a provisional item due to the similar condition of the existing infrastructure and the opportunity to address several key goals: improving flow and connectivity, creating a unified visual identity, making efficient use of space, enhancing user experience, integrating environmental considerations, increasing design flexibility, and establishing a stronger spatial hierarchy. Since this provisional work is within budget, it will be included in the project scope due to its cost-effectiveness, operational efficiency, and the design benefits it offers the City.

Funding for the detailed design will be provided through the Capital Project, as detailed below:

| Capital Project | Funding Available |
|--|-------------------|
| 10-439-25460 - Civic Square Plaza Revitalization | \$ 175,000.00 |

ATTACHMENT:

Appendix 1 – CS-2022-03 Civic Square Final Design

<u>GENERAL COMMITTEE</u> <u>COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT</u> <u>FACILITIES DIVI</u>SION

| DIRECTOR | 19 |
|----------|----|
| CFO | A |
| CAO | Ø |

FEBRUARY 8, 2022

SUBJECT: CIVIC SQUARE – FINAL DESIGN

AUTHORS: FRANK PEARSON, MANAGER OF FACILITY OPERATIONS & DEVELOPMENT (ACTING) APPROVING

DIRECTOR: ROB AXIAK, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WELLAND approve the final design of Civic Square presented in Report CS-2022-03 and related Appendix A, and to authorize staff to proceed with detailed design, costing, and the subsequent issuance of a tender.

BACKGROUND:

In the Fall of 2021, staff brought forward various design concepts for Civic Square to be discussed with council. Council provided staff with several ideas, suggestions, and recommendations to further refine the design. Below is a summary of the common comments heard by council:

Design Considerations from Committee Meeting (September 21st, 2021 R&C-2021-17):

- Consider fountain or feature to draw families into the space
- Focus on lighting and shade areas
- Create design opportunities with the Bridge and Courthouse
- Flexible but defined space to allow for various uses
- Purposeful and vibrant space for enjoyment
- Stage area for civic engagement and performances
- More open space for better opportunities to host events
- Consider four season use
- Consider the removal of a café / facility from this design citing view concerns and added competition in the downtown for another food establishment.

It should also be noted that some members of council were also interested in a basic design model, with only basic repairs to some of the notable areas of concern as opposed to a total redesign of the space. As these suggestions did not capture that majority of comments provided, a basic plan was not designed or costed at this time.

Civic Square should be a place where the community can come together for civic pride and recreation. Essentially, this project is introducing an urban park into the downtown as a key feature to help rejuvenate the downtown core. When completing the preliminary design and costing for this project, the design principles noted below were used to help guide the overall design. This includes 'defining the purpose' of the space.

Design Guiding Principles:

- To create a public space for civic engagement and social gathering
- To create a comfortable urban park plaza where people want to spend time
- Space should allow for animation, entertainment and civic engagement including a stage area, and temporary seating configurations
- A flexible, yet defined and purposefully vibrant space
- 4-season use
- Inclusion of public art potential and beautification of space
- Features and amenities to draw families into the space
- Safety and Security including lighting, open spaces, and roadway safety
- Focus on lighting and making a connection to the East Main Street Bridge and Court House
- Ensure ease of access and accessibility

As identified in section 4.3.2 of the council approved, Parks, Recreation & Culture Master Plan, 2019, an opportunity to further enhance civic square for better community use and animation was noted in the parks section of the master plan.

Civic Square Revitalization

"The City's vision to revitalize Civic Square (City Hall) includes a gathering space for many of Welland's special events such as movie nights, outdoor skating, Santa Claus Parade, and more. Revitalizing Civic Square can improve overall quality of life through "placemaking", which is the concept of reimagining and reinventing public spaces as the heart of the community. The vision for revitalizing Civic Square centres on improving pedestrian environments including enhancing signage, seating, lighting, and shade."

COMMENTS AND ANALYSIS:

The proposed design has been created and is shown in Appendix A of this report. Staff sought feedback from various stakeholders, including city council within the approved guiding principles noted above. The design before council as part of this report represents the collection of all feedback received to date in anticipation of finalizing a design that meets the needs of the majority, allowing staff to proceed into the next phase of detail design and costing. As shown in the diagram below, this project is in the preliminary

design and costing stage, should this design be approved by council, completing the detailed design and costing would occur, allowing staff to proceed to the tender process.



Recommended Design:

Shown in Appendix A, each drawing is unique and aligns with the design principles highlighted in this report. The proposed concept creates more socialization nodes which allows people to come together naturally. This concept also allows for more opportunities to animate the space through the potential of small performances, viewing movies or sporting events, or live music. Lastly, flexible seating configuration also allows for a grander area for city announcements, proclamations, and civic engagement. Various uses and flexibility of the space was key to this final design. The deletion of the potential café facility allowed for greater attention to be placed on functional and usable amenities such as the stage area and water feature. Seating area under natural shade, enhanced lighting and feature portable fire tables were also introduced to support year-round use.

Staff believe that this concept best aligns with the design principles noted in this report and reflects the vision of the overall use of this unique space. This design concept reimagines and reinvents this public space as the heart of the community.

Proposed Timelines:

Following the approval of the proposed conceptual design, adesso design inc. would commence the process of detailed design development, working drawings, specification creation, value engineering, costing and a subsequent tender. A tender award report would be brought to council prior to award. This process will take the remainder of 2022 to complete, with a proposed construction start date of early Q2 of 2023.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS:

Presently, there is a capital project with approved funding - Civic Square Plaza Revitalization - 10-439-18044. This account is used to support the soft costs which includes the design and administration costs for this project. The balance of funding is stated below and will be utilized for detailed design.
| Account Title | Funds Available / | Funds Used to | Balance |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | Allotted | Date | Available |
| Civic Square Design | \$150,000 | \$45,767 | \$104,233 |

The estimated total project cost prepared by the design consultant 'Adesso Design Inc, Landscape Architecture' is **\$1,212,250.00 +HST** which includes a 30% contingency, site furnishings, site servicing, lighting, and the potential for public art. The funding source for the construction portion of this project has been earmarked in the Strategic Initiatives Capital account, 10-710-17095. Adesso Design Inc will continue with this project's deliverables and act as a consultant between the city and contractor once a tender award is approved. Adesso has been exceptional throughout this process, listening to input from all and creating a high-quality urban park design in Welland's downtown core.

CONCLUSION

This project is an exciting opportunity for the city and the downtown with the introduction of the city's first urban park. This type of investment into the downtown core will create a new vision for the space and attract a variety of uses and animation. This location will truly become the heart of the city. Should council approve this report, staff will proceed into detail design and subsequent costing and tender. An exciting opportunity exists to bring vision into reality with this unique project, and fulfill a direction put forth as part of the council approved 2019 Parks, Recreation & Culture Master Plan for civic square.

ATTACHMENTS:

Appendix A – Final Design Overview Presentation



Final Concept February 1st, 2022

Appendix A



adesso design inc landscape architecture

Precedent Imagery

Welland Civic Square



Design Considerations from Committee Meeting

- House
- Café Consider Seasonal Use / Mobile Vendors
- •
- Courthouse with lighting
- Flexible but Defined Space ٠
- Purposeful Vibrant Space
- Stage Area for Civic Engagement / Performances •
- More Open for Events
- Four Season Interest

• No Container for Café and placement blocks views to Court

Consider Fountain or Feature to Draw families into the space

Focus on Lighting and making a connection to the Bridge and

Precedent Imagery Welland Civic Square





Ground Sprays/Lights

Place Canotiers – Quebec City



Lounge Seating around Feature Tables

Ground Sprays



Seasonal Gatherings









Final Concept – Plan View (Summer)



Final Concept – Birds Eye View from East Main St



o des



Final Concept – Birds Eye View from Corner of East Main St & Cross St





Final Concept – Water Feature(Dusk)







Final Concept–Flexible Event Space



Preliminary Landscape Concept

Welland Civic Square





Final Concept – Tree Lighting



Final Concept – Tree Lighting



Preliminary Landscape Concept

Welland Civic Square



Final Concept – Plaza / Water Feature (Night View)



adesso design inc andscape architecture

Lighting Welland Civic Square

Column/Wall Lighting





2 Direction Wall Mount

Bollards



8ft Columns (Open Plaza)

Lighting at Stairs



Recessed Stair Lights



Bollards (around Stage and among lowered seating space)

Lighting at Flag Poles



Landscape Flood Lights

Lighting at Splash Feature



Integrated lighting with ground sprays



Lighting in Lower Plaza Space



In Ground Lighting

Page 412 of 497





COUNCIL MEETING

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PLANNING DIVISION

REPORT P&B-2025-27 July 8, 2025

SUBJECT: Applications for Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-Law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision for 210 and 276 Quaker Road AUTHOR: Caitlin Kovacs, B.URPI **Development Planner** APPROVING Taylor Meadows, B.URPI, CPT, RPP, MCIP SUPERVISOR: Manager of Development Planning APPROVING Michael Greenlee, RPP, MCIP MANAGER: Manager of Planning Services APPROVING Grant Munday, B.A.A, RPP, MCIP DIRECTOR: **Director, Planning And Development Services**

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council adopts Official Plan Amendment No. 53 to redesignate 210 and 276 Quaker Road from Low Density Greenfield Residential, Open Space, and Environmental Conservation Area to Low Density Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space, and Environmental Conservation Area; and,

THAT Council approves an amendment to Zoning By-law 2017-117 for 210 and 276 Quaker Road from Agricultural – A1 and Environmental Conservation Overlay – EC to Site-Specific Residential Low Density 2 – RL2-131, Site-Specific Residential Medium Density – RM-132, and Environmental Conservation Overlay; and further,

THAT Council approves the application for the Draft Plan of Subdivision for 210, 276 Quaker Road, subject to the schedule of Conditions attached in Appendix IV; and further,

THAT no additional meetings under the *Planning Act* are required in accordance with Section 34(17) of the *Planning Act*.

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN

This recommendation is aligned to Council's strategic priority of ensuring "Liveability" by creating a sense of belonging while enhancing mobility by improving access to recreation and community events, ensuring adequate housing options, encouraging job growth, and improving ways to efficiently move people throughout the city.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Applications for Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision were submitted by Upper Canada Consultants on behalf of Ashton Homes (Western) Limited. The applications are required to permit the proposed development consisting of a total of three-hundred and forty-four (344) dwelling units, a park and two parkette/walkways, public roads, lands for the environmental conservation of the Towpath Drain, and lands for stormwater management.

Staff support the requested Official Plan designation and Zoning By-law amendment with site-specific provisions as they conform with provincial and regional policies. The amendments maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan and Zoning By-law 2017-117, as amended.

Staff support the proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision as it will make efficient use of the subject lands and conforms to provincial, regional and local policies.

BACKGROUND:

On October 22, 2024, applications for Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision were submitted by Upper Canada Consultants on behalf of Ashton Homes (Western) Limited for the subject lands known as 210, and 276 Quaker Road.

On November 5, 2024, the applications were deemed complete.

On May 21, 2025, a resubmission was made that proposed an additional five (5) lots for single-detached dwellings which increases the total number of units from 339 to 344. An updated stormwater management report and functional servicing report were included in the resubmission which have been reviewed by the appropriate authorities.

The Lands

The subject lands are located on the north side of Quaker Road, west of Niagara Street and east of First Avenue. The subject lands are within Welland's Urban Boundary and the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Area, and are greenfield lands.

The subject lands are generally rectangular in shape. 238 and 232 Quaker Road are not included in the proposed development. The subject lands are approximately 19.5 hectares in size with 338.45 metres of frontage on Quaker road. The subject lands are currently vacant. 238 and 232 Quaker Road are not included in the proposed development.

Surrounding Land Uses

The surrounding land uses include:

- North: Vacant lands within Thorold that are zoned Future Development FD and Environmental Protection Two – EP2, are designated Residential Areas and Open Space in the City of Thorold Official Plan and are within the Port Robinson West Secondary Plan.
- East: A townhouse development, commercial lands, and a recreational institution being the Welland Stadium, home to the Welland Jackfish.
- South: Low density residential and recreational lands being Trelawn Park.
- West: Vacant residential development lands.

DISCUSSION:

The Proposal

The proposed development consists of a total of three-hundred and forty-four (344) dwelling units, a park and two parkette/walkways, public roads, lands for the environmental conservation of the Towpath Drain, and lands for stormwater management.

Two types of housing are being proposed; single-detached dwellings and street townhouse dwellings. There are two-hundred and sixty-eight (268) singledetached dwellings, each fronting onto a road and each having a private driveway and attached garage. There are also seventy-six (76) townhouse dwellings, each fronting onto a public road and each having a private driveway and attached garage.

The proposed development includes a centrally located park that is approximately 0.68 hectares in size. The park is adjacent to the Towpath Drain environmental lands that run across the midsection of the site. The Towpath Drain environmental lands continue to the northeast corner of the site. The two stormwater management ponds are located adjacent to the environmental lands with one pond to the north and one to the south.

The proposed development is connected throughout by 20 metre public roads, 1.5 metre sidewalks, and a 3 metre multi-use trail. The proposed development includes two public road connections to Quaker Road, one being at Goodwillie Drive, and the second being an intersection approximately 221 metres to the east of Goodwillie Drive. A third public road connection is proposed on the western boundary of the site which anticipates a connection through to First Avenue as part of the future development of 294 Quaker Road. A fourth connection is proposed on the northern boundary of the site; there are no current plans with the City of Welland for this connection as the adjacent lands are in Thorold.

Description of Applications

Applications for Draft Plan of Subdivision (26T- 14-24004), Official Plan Amendment (OPA No. 53), and Zoning By-law Amendment (2024-06) have been made to permit the proposed residential development.

Draft Plan of Subdivision

The application for Draft Plan of Subdivision has been made to permit the development of the subject lands for the proposed residential development. The Draft Plan of Subdivision (Appendix V) proposes two-hundred and sixty-eight (268) single-detached dwellings, seventy-six (76) street townhouse dwellings, a 0.698 hectare public park, walkways, public roads, lands for environmental conservation, and lands for stormwater management.

Official Plan Amendment

The Official Plan Amendment proposes to redesignate the subject lands from Low Density Greenfield Residential, Open Space & Recreation, and Environmental Conservation Area to Low Density Greenfield Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space & Recreation, and Environmental Conservation Area. No special policies are being requested.

Zoning By-law Amendment

The Zoning By-law Amendment proposes to rezone the subject lands from Agricultural – A1 and Environmental Conservation Overlay – EC to Site-Specific Residential Low Density 2 – RL2-131, Site-Specific Residential Medium Density – RM-132, Community Open Space – O2, and Environmental Conservation Overlay – EC. The following site-specific provisions are proposed:

- Residential Low Density 2 RL2-131
 - All provisions of the RL2 zone are to apply save and except:

Regulations for Single-Detached Dwelling:

| Single-Detached Dwelling | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Proposed | Required | | |
| Lot Area | No change | 270.2m ² | | |
| Lot Frontage | No change | 9.0m | | |
| Front Yard | 3.0m (to dwelling) | 4.5m (to dwelling) | | |
| | 5.8m (to garage) | 6.0m (to garage) | | |
| Side Yard (interior) | 0.6m on one side and 1.2m | 1.0m | | |
| | on the other, or 1.0m | | | |
| Side Yard (exterior) | No change | 1.0m | | |
| Rear Yard | No change | 6.0m | | |
| Building Height | 13.5m | 11.0m | | |
| Lot Coverage | 65% | 50% | | |
| Landscaped Area | 15% | 20% | | |

• Residential Medium Density – RM-132

- All provisions of the RM zone are to apply save and except:
 - Regulations for Street Townhouse Dwelling with Garage Facing Front Lot Line:

| Street Townhouse Dwelling with Garage Facing Front Lot Line | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Proposed | Required | | |
| Lot Area | No change | n/a | | |
| Lot Frontage | No change | 6.0m | | |
| Front Yard | 3.0m (to dwelling) | 4.5m (to dwelling) | | |
| | 5.8m (to garage) | 6.0m (to garage) | | |
| Side Yard (interior) | No change | 1.0m (except where the | | |
| | | lot line is the dividing line | | |
| | | between attached units) | | |
| Side Yard (exterior) | No change | 1.0m | | |
| Rear Yard | No change | 6.0m | | |
| Building Height | 14.5m | 11.0m | | |
| Lot Coverage | 65% | 55% | | |
| Landscaped Area | 15% | 20% | | |

 Regulations for Street Townhouse Dwelling with Garage Access from Laneway/Rear:

| Street Townhouse Dwelling with Garage Access from Laneway/Rear | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Proposed | Required | |
| Lot Area | No change | n/a | |
| Lot Frontage | No change | 5.0m | |
| Front Yard | 3.0m (to dwelling) 5.8m (to garage) | 3.0m | |
| Side Yard (interior) | No change | 1.0m (except where the lot line is the dividing line between attached units) | |
| Side Yard (exterior) | No change | 1.0m | |

| Rear Yard | No change | 6.0m |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|
| Building Height | 14.5m | 11.0m |
| Lot Coverage | 65% | 55% |
| Landscaped Area | 15% | 20% |

- General Provisions for Site-Specific Residential Low Density 2 RL2-131 and Site-Specific Residential Medium Density – RM-132:
 - Notwithstanding Section 4 That the front lot line for Block 269, Block 270, Block 277, and Block 278 is to be Quaker Road.
 - Notwithstanding Section 5.7 (b), A temporary sales office, or a model home, used for the sale of residential, industrial or commercial lots or units in a Plan of Subdivision which has received Draft Approval or has been Zoned to permit the development shall be permitted for a maximum of five years. A temporary sales office, or model home, shall comply with the applicable setbacks for the Zone in which the office is located and will be subject to the necessary Development Agreements to the satisfaction of the City.
 - Notwithstanding Section 5.10.5 Decks Porches Steps, an open or roofed porch and stair may project 0.9 metres into an interior side yard which has a minimum depth of 1.2 metres, applicable only to a single detached or street townhouse (including facing front lot line and/or access from laneway/rear).
 - A walkway or retaining wall shall be permitted within a required planting strip;
 - Notwithstanding Section 6.1.6 c) where a parking space is abutting or near a wall, column or other similar surface that obstructs the opening of the doors, the minimum width shall be 2.75 metres;
 - Notwithstanding Section 6.9.2 a) the required parking space for a street townhouse dwelling including facing front lot line and/or access from laneway/rear). shall be located a minimum of 0 metres from a street line.

Agency Comments Summary

The applications were circulated to internal divisions and external agencies for detailed technical review and comments. The review included a detailed analysis including but not limited to:

- site plan
- traffic circulation and road widths
- parking provisions and active transportation infrastructure
- natural environment
- greenspace/parkland/landscaping
- archeological potential
- water/sanitary service requirements

• waste collection

Technical comments have been addressed by the applicant throughout the planning review process. Conditions of approval have been included in this approval. The conditions are intended to promote the appropriate development of the subject lands. All detailed comments are contained in Appendix VI.

Public Engagement

On December 4, 2024, a Public Information Open House was held for the public to provide comments on the proposed applications. Ten (10) members of the public attended the in-person meeting and, and four (4) members of the public attended the virtual meeting. The following comments/concerns were raised:

- Noise impacts as a result of increased traffic and development;
- Road connections onto Quaker Road, their configurations and traffic volumes;
- Increased traffic on Goodwillie Drive and the future of the intersection of Quaker Road and Goodwillie Drive;
- The urbanization of Quaker Road as it relates to the overall development of the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan area;
- The location of parks;
- Concerns about a potential decrease in privacy; and
- Stormwater management and drainage for the proposed development and any impacts on the existing homes.

On January 14, 2025, the statutory public meeting under the *Planning Act* was held. The public meeting provided an opportunity for the applicant to present the applications to Council. Interested parties were also invited to provide comments. There were no public oral submissions at the meeting and no written submissions have been received.

Planning Analysis

Provincial Policy

Section 51(24) of the *Planning Act* requires that all proposals for Plans of Subdivision shall have regard for the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and the welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and have regard to:

| The effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in Section 2; | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| (a) | The protection of ecological systems, | • | The proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision provides appropriate accommodation for |

| including natural areas, features, and functions; | the protection of ecological systems and natural areas. The proposed development is within the NWSP and has had comprehensive ecological studies completed to map the natural areas, features, and functions. The resulting areas are being appropriately zoned for protection. |
|--|---|
| (b) The protection of the agricultural resources of the Province: | The subject lands have been used for commercial purposes for the past several decades, with the remainder of the site becoming overgrown. There are no agricultural resources on the subject lands. |
| (c) The conservation and management of natural resources and the mineral resource base; | There are no identified natural or mineral resources on the subject lands. |
| (d) The conservation of features of significant architectural, cultural, historical, archaeological, or scientific interest; | A Stage 1 and Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment was completed on the subject lands. The subject lands were not recommended to proceed to a Stage 3 Archaeological Investigation. There are no identified features of architectural, cultural, historical, or scientific interest on the subject lands. |
| (e) The, supply efficient use and conservation of energy and water; | The proposed development does not include any information regarding energy and water conservation methods that will be implemented at the time of construction. The construction of the homes will be required to meet the Ontario Building Code which contains energy efficiency requirements. |
| (f) The adequate provision and efficient use of communication, transportation, sewage and water services | • The property is within the City's Urban Area and can be supplied with municipal infrastructure. There are no capacity issues that will be created as a result of this development. |

| and waste management systems; | • The development is located in proximity to transit lines (Route 509 and Route 70/75) and is in proximity to transit hubs via these routes. It is close to active transportation corridors and will contain a pedestrian trail. |
|--|---|
| (g) The minimization of waste; | The proposed development can be serviced by regional waste collection should regional standards be achieved. |
| (h) The orderly development of safe and healthy communities; | The subject lands are within the Urban Boundary and will not require an Urban Area expansion. The subject lands were brought into the Urban Boundary a part of the NWSP which has been comprehensively planned. |
| (h.1) The accessibility for persons with disabilities to all facilities, services and matters to which this Act applies; | The proposed development will include paved sidewalks. All other accessibility measures related to the dwellings will be the responsibility of individual homeowners. |
| (i) The adequate provision and distribution of educational, health, social, cultural, and recreational facilities; | The local school boards were circulated for comments as part of the planning process and no objections have been received. A three metre multi-use trail, and a park are being provided and are anticipated to serve as recreational, active transportation and social facilities. |
| (j) The adequate provision of a full range of housing, including affordable housing; | The proposed development does not include any affordable housing units; however, the proposed development does include a variety of dwelling types. |
| (k) The adequate provision of employment opportunities; | The proposed development is entirely residential and as such does not directly offer any employment opportunities. However, the construction of this development may support local companies and contractors. The City permits home- occupations which can operate out of the |

| | | | new dwellings. This development provides opportunity for employees to work-from- home |
|-----|---|-----|---|
| (I) | The protection of the financial and economic well-being of the Province and its municipalities; | • | Development of the subject lands will lead to an increase in tax revenues to the City which will contribute to overall municipal financial wellbeing. |
| (m) | The coordination of planning activities of public bodies; | • | All relevant agencies have been circulated the applications and their comments have been included, where appropriate. |
| (n) | The resolution of planning conflicts involving public and private interests; | • | The public has been provided all required opportunities for comments and questions to be submitted. At this time, no written comments have been received. |
| (0) | The protection of public health and safety; | • | There are no identified risks to public health for the proposed development. |
| (p) | The appropriate location of growth and development; | • • | The proposed development is within the NWSP area, which is within Welland's Urban Boundary. The NWSP area is being planned to be transit supportive and provide active transportation infrastructure. The proposed development has consideration for the local neighbourhood characteristics, transportation networks and connectivity. Connectivity with future developments will create an interconnected and accessible neighbourhoods. |
| (q) | The promotion of development that is designed to be sustainable to support public transit and be oriented to pedestrians | • | The proposed development is located in proximity to the bus stops at the corner of Quaker Road and Niagara Street for both Route 509 Niagara Street and intra- regional Route 70/75 that connects Welland and St. Catharines. Niagara Regional Transit is circulated on all planning applications. |

| (r) The promotion of buil form that, is well designed, encourage a sense of place, and provides for public spaces that are of hig quality, safe, accessible, attractive and vibrant; | The proposed development will contain high quality design and is compatible with the surrounding neighbourhood built form. The proposed development includes greenspace and landscaping, and a public park. The park is anticipated to have some programming to be determined at a future planning stage. |
|--|--|
| (s) The mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions and adaptation to a changing climate. | • The proposed development provides protected lands for environmental conservation, protecting the tree canopy and shrub coverage supporting climate change principles. |
| Whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest; | The subject lands are within the Urban Boundary and the NWSP area. The NWSP was approved by City Council and the Region to guide development of the northwest area of the city. A number of studies were undertaken in support of the NWSP to ensure comprehensive, efficient and orderly development of the NWSP area. Additional supporting studies were submitted as part of the subject planning applications. The City is working with the land owners to coordinate new infrastructure and infrastructure upgrades in the NWSP area. The proposed development offers new housing opportunities, green space and recreational space; and, will contribute to the city's economic development. |
| Whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any; | It is the intention of the requested Official Plan Amendment to realign the existing designations on the subject lands to bring the proposed development into conformity. No alternative designations or special policies are being sought. |

| | The proposed development is anticipated to align with the adjacent proposed plans of Draft Plans of Subdivision. Several of the land owners in the NWSP have committed to improving local connectivity and maintaining a sense of place by ensuring appropriate massing of building between sites. There will be well - planned road and active transportation connections. Parkland dedication will be in accordance with the NWSP. |
|--|---|
| The suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided; | • The subject lands are within the Urban Boundary and have been slated for residential uses, open space and recreation, and environmental conservation in the NWSP. |
| If any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing; | The proposed development does not include any affordable housing. |
| The number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them; | The proposed development includes seven (7) public roads, each having a 20 metre right-of-way width. The road cross section will be confirmed via the Subdivider's Agreement but will generally contain the paved roadway, sidewalks along one side, boulevards, trees, and potentially other active transportation infrastructure. The site connects to Quaker Road, and is within a five (5) minute drive of Highway 406. |
| The dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots; | • The proposed development is consistent with other plans of subdivision within the City. The lots are of a consistent and appropriate size and shape for each dwelling type. |
| The restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or | No restrictions are proposed. |

| | - |
|---|--|
| the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on the adjoining land; | |
| Conservation of natural resources and flood control; | A Functional Servicing Report and a Stormwater Management Plan have been reviewed by the Engineering Division. The environmental resources on the subject lands, being the Towpath Drain, are maintaining their environmental conservation designation and zoning. They are to be dedicated to the City for long- term preservation. |
| The adequacy of utilities and municipal resources; | New infrastructure is to be built as part of the proposed development. The proposed infrastructure's connection into the existing system is supported and any required upgrades to the existing infrastructure will be the responsibility of the developer. The proposed new infrastructure has been reviewed by the Engineering Division and is subject to final review prior to registration of the Subdivider's Agreement. |
| The adequacy of school sites; | There are several school sites in proximity to the lands, being: Nouvel Horizon French Elementary School Alexander Kuska Catholic Elementary School Quaker Road Public School Welland Centennial Secondary School Niagara College Welland Campus The school boards are circulated on all planning applications for their enrolment projections and construction planning purposes. |
| The area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be | • The development will be subject to parkland dedication in accordance with the <i>Planning Act</i> . |

| conveyed or dedicated for public purposes; The extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available | The Ontario Building Code includes requirements for energy conservation |
|---|--|
| supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and | which will be incorporated at the time of Building Permit. |
| The interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and the site plan control matters related to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under section 41(2) of the <i>Planning Act.</i> | • The property is being developed through a Plan of Subdivision which will create developable lots and blocks. The future residential dwellings are anticipated to be single-detached dwellings and street townhouse dwellings. Proposed development is within the City's site plan control area but is not subject to site plan control. They will be subject to building review and require the applicable building permits prior to construction. |

Provincial Policy Statement

The Provincial Planning Statement, 2024 (PPS) is a policy document issued under Section 3 of the *Planning Act* and came into effect on October 20, 2024. The PPS contains policies addressing settlement areas and settlement area boundary expansions. The subject lands are part of the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan area, which was brought into Welland's urban boundary as part of a settlement area boundary expansion. These policies are found in Subsection 2.3.1, and they encourage:

- land use patterns that efficiently use resources and land,
- optimizing existing and planned infrastructure,
- active transportation-supportive development,
- transit-supportive development,
- supporting complete communities, through the provision of a range and mix of housing options,
- meeting established minimum density targets.

Policy 2.3.1.2 states that land use patterns with in settlement areas should be based on densities and a mix of land uses that:

| Efficiently use land and | The proposed development is suitable for |
|--------------------------|--|
| resources; | the site and provides a suitable density |
| | level to support local municipal |
| | infrastructure and resources. The proposed |
| | development protects and enhances the |

| | natural features on the subject lands and provides greenspace and recreational space. |
|---|---|
| Optimize existing and planned infrastructure and public service facilities; | The proposed development includes plans for addressing infrastructure. Where required new infrastructure shall be constructed at the expense of the Owner. The NWSP has been studied and planned comprehensively to provide services and infrastructure to the planned developments in an efficient and forward-looking manner. |
| Support active transportation; | The proposed development supports bicycle parking by providing private garages. There are sidewalks and a three (3) metre trail that run throughout the site with active transportation connections to Quaker Road and First Avenue (via a future public road). |
| Are transit-supportive, as appropriate; and | The proposed development may support transit usage and future routes. The subject lands are in proximity to existing routes, being: Route 509 Niagara Street Regional Rout 70/75 St. Catharines to Welland (and return) |
| Are freight-supportive. | The subject lands are located adjacent to a municipal road and have direct access to a municipally maintained road. |

Niagara Official Plan

The Niagara Official Plan (NOP) identifies the subject lands as being within the Built-Up area of the City of Welland. The policies in the NOP are consistent with the policies found in the PPS. The NOP promotes growth and development within urban areas with active transportation and transit use, and with access to municipal services. These principles are used to analyze proposed developments in order to ensure efficient growth and orderly land use development.

As part of the changes enacted through Bill 23, the Niagara Region's planning authority was removed, and the NOP Policies are now the responsibility of local municipalities.

The subject lands are located in proximity to local transit Route 509 and regional transit Route 70/75. Route 509 Niagara connects to Quaker Road to the Downtown Terminal making connections at Niagara College and Seaway Mall. These transit hubs provide connections to local and regional transit lines. Route 75 and Route 70 connect Welland and St. Catharines with major stops at Niagara College and Brock University. The closest stops for all three lines is at Niagara Street and Quaker Road. The proposed development has the potential to increase transit ridership and support the expansion of existing transit routes and/or support new future transit routes. Niagara Regional Transit is notified of development applications in the City of Welland.

The proposed development is considered to be consistent with both the provincial and regional policies. It will deliver new types of residential development, make efficient use of land and planned infrastructure; and, provide active transportation and pedestrian infrastructure.

City of Welland Official Plan

The Official Plan Amendment proposes to redesignate the subject lands from Low Density Greenfield Residential, Open Space & Recreation, and Environmental Conservation Area to Low Density Greenfield Residential, Medium Density Residential, Open Space & Recreation, and Environmental Conservation Area. No special policies are being requested.

The Official Plan provides direction for growth and development for the City as a whole, and provides specific policies for greenfield areas, new neighbourhoods, Low Density Greenfield Residential and Medium Density Residential designations.

| 3.4.5 Greenfield Development | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Policy | Analysis |
| Greenfield Areas are lands | The subject lands are within the NWSP area |
| which are outside of the city's | which was brought into Welland's Urban |
| Built Boundary but are within | Boundary in January 2021. This resulted in |
| the Urban Boundary. | the lands becoming Greenfield Areas. The |
| Greenfield lands are planned | proposed development conforms to the |
| to accommodate future growth. | existing density rates of the Low Density |
| The Official Plan encourages | Greenfield Residential and Medium Density |
| greenfield development that is | Residential designations. The proposed |
| comprehensively planned, that | development provides the minimum required |

| provides compact new neighbourhoods, that will be transit supportive, and that is planned to achieve a density target of 50 residents and jobs per hectare. | lot frontage for each type of dwelling. Each dwelling has a private garage and driveway, and sidewalks are provided on one side of all local roads. These design features aim to provide a compact neighbourhood. The proposed development is located in proximity to transit lines and can support future transit use. The proposed development has been planned to provide 59.23 residents and jobs per hectare and is considered to conform to the Greenfield |
|--|--|
| | considered to conform to the Greenfield Area policies. |
| | |

| 4.2.2.2 Low Density Greenfield Residential | |
|--|--|
| Policy | Analysis |
| This designation permits | The proposed development provides single- |
| single-detached dwellings, | detached dwellings in the Low Density |
| semi-detached dwellings, | Greenfield Residential designation areas. |
| triplexes, townhouses, duplex | The proposed development is within the |
| dwellings, and home-based | density requirements of this designation. The |
| occupations. Low Density | single-detached dwellings are planned to be |
| Residential areas are to be | consistent in height and massing and are not |
| planned at a minimum density | expected to cause significant shadowing |
| of 15 units per hectare to a | issues, reduce privacy, or obstruct views. |
| maximum density of 24 units | The proposed development has regard for |
| per hectare. The scale and | the City's urban design guidelines and the |
| design of buildings shall be | design provisions of this designation as the |
| low-rise and do not cause | dwellings are planned to each have a private |
| significant issues with the | garage that is setback further from the street |
| casting of shadows or | to provide a stronger relationship between |
| obstruction of views, and are | the street and the front face of the dwelling. |
| encouraged to have front | The portions of the lots not used for |
| porches, attached garages, | driveways/walkways and the dwelling are to |
| front yards that are | be landscaped. The proposed development |
| predominated by landscaping, | is considered to conform with the Low |
| architectural features and | Density Greenfield Residential designation. |
| interesting façades. | |

| Policy | Analysis |
|---|--|
| This designation permits | The proposed development is providing |
| townhouse dwellings, | townhouse dwellings in the Medium Density |
| accessory recreational | Residential designation areas. The proposed |
| amenities, and home based | development is within the density |
| occupations. Medium Density | requirements of this designation. The |
| Residential areas are to be | proposed townhouses are planned to be |
| planned at a minimum density | consistent in height and massing and are not |
| of 15 units per hectare to a | expected to cause significant shadowing |
| maximum density of 24 units | issues, reduce privacy, or obstruct views. |
| per hectare. The scale and | They are anticipated to be compatible with |
| design of the Medium Density | the single-detached dwellings to the north as |
| Residential designation | they are similar in height and to the south as |
| encourages low-rise dwellings | there is a road separating the established |
| that do not cause significant | residential neighbourhood. The proposed |
| issues with the casting of | development has regard for the City's urban |
| shadows or obstruction of | design guidelines and the design provisions |
| views, the inclusion of attached | of this designation as the dwellings are |
| garages, covered entrances, | planned to each have a private garage that is |
| front yard landscaping and | setback further from the street to provide a |
| additional landscaped areas to | stronger relationship between the street and |
| buffer between the lot and | the front face of the dwelling. The portions of |
| adjacent lots | the lots not used for driveways/walkways and |
| | the dwelling are to be landscaped. The |
| | proposed development is considered to |
| | conform with the Medium Density Residential |
| | designation |
| tront yard landscaping and additional landscaped areas to buffer between the lot and adjacent lots | setback further from the street to provide a stronger relationship between the street and the front face of the dwelling. The portions of the lots not used for driveways/walkways and the dwelling are to be landscaped. The proposed development is considered to conform with the Medium Density Residential designation |

| 4.2.3.2 Design Policies For New | Neighbourhoods |
|---|--|
| Policy | Analysis |
| Policy New neighbourhoods are to be planned to meet the density target of 50 residents and jobs per hectare on greenfield lands. They are to create a clearly defined character through built form and landscaping, including energy efficient materials, landscaping treatments, and lighting. | Analysis The proposed development is a greenfield site and has been planned to provide 59.23 residents and jobs per hectare. The proposed development has been planned to provide a distinct neighbourhood character. It has regard for the design policies of each designation and the City's urban design guidelines. Landscaping is to be provided on all lots, sidewalks are to be provided on one side of all local roads, including a wider |
| | multi-use trail where appropriate. Street lighting and street treatments will follow the |

| municipal standards. The dwellings along |
|--|
| Quaker Road will front onto Quaker Road |
| with garage access from the local roads |
| within the subject lands. The design qualities |
| of the proposed development are considered |
| to be consistent with the City's urban design |
| policies. |
| |

Policy 7.15.1.1 of the Official Plan provides criteria for the evaluation of Official Plan Amendments.

| Provincial and regional policies | The subject lands are within the urban |
|--|--|
| and plans; | area and meets the minimum density targets for greenfield development. The proposed development conforms to the applicable provincial plans and is consistent with the applicable NOP policies. The proposed development makes efficient use of municipal infrastructure where possible and does not inflict undue financial stress on the municipalities where new infrastructure is to be constructed. The proposed development is transit supportive and provides active transportation infrastructure. |
| The vision, strategic directions, and goals of this Plan; | The proposed development supports the City's Strategic Directions as outline in Section 2 of the Official Plan through the following: The planning process has included the Town of Pelham and the City of Thorold as they are located in proximity to the development. The proposed development is part of the policy goals set out in the NWSP to encourage complete communities including providing a range of housing, access to public transit, providing attractive residential developments |

| | that cater to a range of needs and lifestyles. Providing density levels that support the local economy. The proposed development provides protections for environmental lands that will be dedicated to the City for long term environmental stewardship. The proposed development will contribute to greater accessibility and safety throughout the NWSP area through the provision of roadways, sidewalks, and barrier-free parking options. Due diligence to identify and protect any archaeological resources on the subject lands has been undertaken. |
|--|--|
| Whether the amendment | The amendment meets the general intent |
| creates and inappropriate | and goals of the Official Plan, and does |
| precedent; and, | not set an inappropriate precedent. |
| The city, neighbourhood, and immediate area impacts of the proposed land use change. | The proposed development is not anticipated to create any negative impacts on the surrounding lands. The proposed development is anticipated to be compatible with the surrounding development. The proposed development is planned to provide new infrastructure and recreational resources that may be accessed by the existing residents and area users, being a community trail, public roadways, sidewalks, and parkland. |

Policy 7.6.2 of the Official Plan provides minimum criteria for the evaluation of a plan of subdivision.
| Suitable provision of municipal services including, but not limited to, public streets, water, storm and sanitary sewers, waste collection and disposal, public and/or private facilities, fire and police protection, parks, schools, and other community facilities; | The proposed development includes plans for new public streets and their associated services and infrastructure. It includes active transportation facilities, public recreational trails and parkland. Waste collection will be conducted by Niagara Region and Recycling is conducted by Circular Materials. There are a range of schools and public recreational options in the surrounding area. |
|--|---|
| Exposure to noise, air pollution and other negative impacts, along with suitable mitigation measures; | Noise and other pollutants are being mitigated via the recommendations of the study completed by HGC Engineering. |
| Integration with surrounding land uses; | The proposed development is residential and no conflicts with the surrounding lands are anticipated. |
| Integration with the transportation network; | The proposed development includes two connections onto Quaker Road and another connection which will be through to First Avenue as part of the future development of 294 Quaker Road. A fourth connection is proposed on the northern boundary of the site. There are no current plans with the City of Welland for this connection as the adjacent lands are in the Town of Thorold. |
| Impacts to, and mitigation of, negative impacts on the natural environments; | The proposed development is part of an active work permit that is authorized by the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for the realignment of the Towpath Drain. The subject lands associated with this project are being designated and zoned for long term environmental protection. |
| Optimization of the supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; | The works associated with the proposed development will undergo further site plan review and building permit review and the associated energy use will be consistent |

| | with the regulations of the Ontario Building Code. |
|---|---|
| The provision of appropriate urban design and landscaping for the proposed development; | The residential lots provided will be sodded and have appropriate landscaping included as part of the development of the subdivision. Further landscaping of the lots is subject to the future land owners. Greenspace and parkland is provided within the site, and the naturalization of the environmental lands will be subject to NPCA review and approval. The proposed public roads are anticipated to have landscaped boulevards and street trees. |
| Compliance with applicable City Guidelines; and | The proposed development complies with the applicable City guidelines and other by-laws. |
| Exposure to nearby air pollution and mitigation of impacts. | There are no identified air pollutants nearby the subject lands. |

The proposed development is considered to be consistent with the Official Plan policies. It will provide:

- a functional road layout that includes pedestrian and active transportation infrastructure;
- dedicated motor vehicle parking in the form of attached garages and private driveways;
- greenspace and environmental lands;
- general site and front yard landscaping;
- recreational trails and parkland areas;
- anticipated distinct low-rise built form that is not expected to cause significant issues with the casting of shadows; and
- the density requirements of the NOP and the Official Plan.

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan

On October 27, 2020, the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan (NWSP) was adopted by Welland City Council. On June 14, 2021, it was approved with modifications by Niagara Regional Council. The purpose of the NWSP is to provide a comprehensive plan that is intended to accommodate future growth within Welland. The NWSP encourages growth that is orderly, supports complete communities, integrates into the surrounding areas, has proximity to parks and trails, maintains a low density residential character; and protects and enhances natural heritage features.

The proposed development is considered to be consistent with the policies of the NWSP. It has a defined architectural form and is compatible with the neighbouring low density built form. The lots along Quaker Road will front onto Quaker Road improving the streetscape and providing a sense of place. It is anticipated to satisfactorily integrate into the overall transportation and road network of the NWSP area. The development provides landscaping, greenspace, and recreation areas.

Comprehensive Zoning By-law 2017-117

The Zoning By-law Amendment proposes to rezone the subject lands from Agricultural – A1 and Environmental Conservation Overlay – EC to be Site-Specific Residential Low Density 2 – RL2-131, Site-Specific Residential Medium Density – RM-132, Community Open Space – O2, and Environmental Conservation Overlay – EC. The requested Site-Specific provisions can be found above with 'The Proposal' section of this report.

The total area of the Lands is composed of lots for single-detached dwellings (54.41%), lots for townhouses (11.64%), one lot for a future access (0.37%), roadways (28.42%), lands for a road widening along Quaker Road (0.48%), parkland (4.34%), lands for walkways (0.33%), stormwater management ponds (7.18%), lands for a 0.3 metre reserve (0.01%), and lands for environmental and watercourse protection (10.33%).

The lots for single-detached dwellings are proposed to have a maximum lot coverage of 65% where 50% is the maximum, and provide 15% of landscaped area where the minimum is 20%. The lots for townhouses are proposed to have a maximum lot coverage of 65% where 55% is the maximum, and provide 15% of landscaped area where the minimum is 20%. The site overall will be approximately 21% greenspace/park land/environmental lands. The remaining lot area is composed of dwellings, roadways, and sidewalks.

The requested site-specific provision related to projections is supportable as they facilitate the intended built form of the proposed development, and provides flexibility depending on the grading of the lot to still provide certain amenities and building accesses like porches, stairs, and decks. The requested site-specific provision for interior side yards is supportable as it reflects the minimum distance requirements of the Ontario Building Code for unprotected openings and may increase privacy by controlling where windows/doors can be located on adjacent buildings.

The requested zone and site-specific provisions are supported by Staff as they are considered to maintain the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law.

Municipal Housing Pledge

On June 16, 2023, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing asked the City of Welland to demonstrate its commitment to accelerate housing supply by developing a Municipal Housing Pledge. At its meeting of June 27, 2023, Welland City Council approved a housing pledge of 12,257 new dwelling unit starts by 2031 in the City of Welland for the purposes of accessing the Building Homes Faster Fund.

On August 22, 2023, it was confirmed by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing that the City of Welland would need to complete a minimum target of 4,300 dwelling units by 2031. The City remains committed to the growth and development of its communities and is striving to reach its pledge of 12,257 dwelling unit starts by 2031. The proposed development will aid in the City reaching its Municipal Housing Pledge by contributing an anticipated 344 dwelling units.

FINANCIAL:

There are no financial impacts resulting from the recommendations in this report.

ATTACHMENT:

| Appendix I | - | Кеу Мар |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Appendix II | - | Aerial Photo of Subject Lands |
| Appendix III | - | Colour Demonstration Plan |
| Appendix IV | - | Conditions of Approval |
| Appendix V | - | Draft Plan of Subdivision |
| Appendix VI | - | Agency Comments |

Appendix I

OPA No. 53, 2024-06, 26T-14-24004



Appendix II



AERIAL MAP OF SUBJECT LANDS

210 & 276 QUAKER ROAD



SUBJECT LAND

-- WELLAND BOUNDARY LINE Page 438 of 497



N

Appendix III





210 and 276 Quaker Road Subdivision Conditions of Draft Approval

(Council Approval Granted <Date>)

- 1. That the Owner enter into a Subdivision Agreement with the City of Welland.
- 2. That no grading or on-site works commence prior to the registration of a subdivision agreement on the property unless the Owner obtains a Site Alteration Permit from the City of Welland.
- 3. That all necessary easements required for utility purposes be granted to the appropriate Authority free and clear of all encumbrances.
- 4. That all necessary easements required for utility purposes be granted to the appropriate Authority free and clear of all encumbrances. All costs for providing the necessary survey plan and all related documents are the responsibility of the owner.
- 5. That the Owner pay a tree planning fee of \$712.31+HST for each developable Lot/Block (344 Lots/Blocks) at the time of subdivision agreement.
- 6. That Blocks 283 and 284 be dedicated to the City of Welland free and clear of all encumbrances for parkland purposes. All costs for providing the necessary documents are the responsibility of the owner.
- 7. That lands known as Part Township Lot 229 Thorold as in RO690045 Except Easement Therein, Welland, being all of PIN 64423-0015 (LT) be dedicated to the City of Welland free and clear of all encumbrances for parkland purposes. All costs for providing the necessary documents are the responsibility of the Owner.
- 8. That any over-dedication of parkland be reimbursed in accordance with the land values as determined by Section 5.4 'Low Density Development' as per By-law 2022-149.



- 9. That Blocks 287, 288, 289, and 290 be dedicated to the City of Welland free and clear of all encumbrances for road widening purposes. All costs for providing the necessary documents are the responsibility of the Owner.
- 10. That Blocks 292 and 293 be dedicated to the City of Welland free and clear of all encumbrances for walkway purposes. All costs for providing the necessary documents are the responsibility of the Owner.
- 11. That Blocks 294 and 295 be dedicated to the City of Welland free and clear of all encumbrances for environmental purposes. All costs for providing the necessary documents are the responsibility of the owner.
- 12. That Blocks 296 and 297 be dedicated to the City of Welland free and clear of all encumbrances for stormwater management facility purposes. All costs for providing the necessary documents are the responsibility of the owner.
- 13. That the Owner agrees to dedicate to the City of Welland the lands legally described as PT RDAL BTN TWP LT 225 & 226 THOROLD, PT TWP LT 226 THOROLD, AS IN RO160832; S/T INTEREST OF MUNICIPALITY; THOROLD, known municipally as 1043 Niagara Street, free and clear of all encumbrances, for the purposes of the Towpath Drain channel and stormwater management.
- 14. That Construction fencing is required in accordance with the City of Welland Building By-law 2020-140.
- 15. That the Owner agrees to implement the mitigation measures and recommendations found throughout the Environmental Impact Study (EIS) prepared by Beacon Environmental Limited (dated August 2024, Project 221055) including but not limited to:
 - a. The design of the new corridor will conform with the measures outlined in Section 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 of the EIS.
 - b. The design brief outlining the details of tree planting plans and enhanced ecological conditions for the corridors is submitted to the City of Welland for review and comment.



- c. That permanent post construction fencing, being a 1.5 metre tall chain link fence is installed along the perimeter of the corridor. No gates shall be permitted along this fence.
- d. That the storing of equipment, materials, and the fueling of equipment is not permitted within 30 metres of the boundary of Blocks 127, 128, and 130. And Ontario Provincial Standard Specification 180 is to be followed for the management of excess materials.
- e. That the Owner agrees that any required removal of trees and shrubs will be conducted between October 1 and March 31.
- f. That a Fish Salvage Plan be undertaken by the MNRF.
- g. That all construction and development related activities are confined to the established limit of development, except for areas subject to naturalization where landscaping works are permitted.
- 16. That the Subdivision Agreement between the Owner and City of Welland include the following warning clause:

"If deeply buried or previously undiscovered archaeological remains/resources are found during development activities on the subject lands, all activities must stop immediately. If the discovery is human remains, contact the police and coroner to secure the site. If the discovery is not human remains, the area must be secured to prevent site disturbance. The project proponent must then follow the steps outlined in the Niagara Region Archaeological Management Plan: Appendix C

https://niagararegion.ca/culture-and-environment/pdf/archaeologicalmanagement-plan.pdf."

17. That the Owner shall submit full engineering design drawings to the City of Welland's Engineering Division for review and approval, including Site Servicing, Site Grading, General Notes and Details, Plan and Profile, Sediment Erosion and Control Plan, Storm Pond Design Details, Storm Drainage Area, Sanitary Drainage Area, and Landscaping Plans.



- 18. That a Functional Servicing Study Report indicating that the sanitary sewers can accommodate the additional flows, that adequate fire flows can be provided to the development, and that the servicing is consistent with the overall Northwest Secondary Area Plan conceptual design, be submitted to the City of Welland for review to the satisfaction of the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer.
- 19. That the Owner submit to the City of Welland for review and approval by the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer, a detailed stormwater management plan for the subdivision.
- 20. That water modelling be completed to ensure adequate capacity and fire flow exist for this development prior to the full construction of other watermains in the area. The Owner agrees that water modelling will be completed by the City at the Owner's expense. Should the water modelling identify that external watermains are required to achieve the required fire flow and/or pressure for this development, the design and construction of those watermains will be at the Owner's expense if they wish to proceed before other development in the area. Where these watermains are identified in the City's development charge by-law, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.
- 21. That the Owner agrees that construction shall not commence until all required external storm and sanitary works are constructed.
- 22. The City is completing the preliminary design of Quaker Road adjacent to the development. The Owner's Engineer shall coordinate with the City as necessary.
- 23. The detailed design and reconstruction of Quaker Road from the east limit of Rice Road intersection to Niagara Street, including urbanization, sanitary sewer, and storm sewer, shall be completed by the Owner. The design shall be submitted to the City for review and approval. The construction is to be completed within 2 years of draft plan approval. This work is identified in the City's development charge-by law and, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be entitled to a credit or to a cash payment for this work, the



choice of which will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.

- 24. That the cross section for Street 'C' will incorporate on-street parking on one side of the road, a 3m asphalt multi-use trail on one side of the road, and a 1.5 m sidewalk on the other side of the road.
- 25. That prior to any site alteration, approval from the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer is required. The Owner shall submit all supporting materials and engineering design, prepared by a qualified professional, as required by the City or any applicable authority, and shall agree to implement the recommendations of the reports, studies, and plans.
- 26. That prior to registration of this plan, the Owner must obtain Consolidated Linear Infrastructure - Environmental Compliance Approval from the City of Welland for sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and storm water management works needed to service the proposed development.
- 27. That prior to registration of this plan, the Owner must submit Ministry of Environment 'Form 1' Record of Watermains Authorized as a Future Alteration.
- 28. That the Owner shall be responsible for the construction of all primary and secondary services in accordance with the City of Welland Municipal Standards, Ontario Provincial Standards, the Issued for Construction Engineering drawing set, and any other standards that may apply.
- 29. That at the end of the project, the design engineer shall certify that all grading, storm sewers, and stormwater management controls have been constructed in conformity with the approved plans. Copies of the certification shall be circulated to the City of Welland and the Regional Municipality of Niagara.
- 30. That foundation drains will not be connected to sanitary sewer systems.
- 31. That roof water drainage from any structure or building shall be directed via downspouts either discharging to splash pads (concrete or other suitable material) or with extensions onto grass surfaces. Flows must be directed away from the building, not onto sidewalks or driveways, and not toward adjacent properties.



Planning Division Planning and Development Services 905-735-1700 x2257 devserv@welland.ca

- 32. That the storm water management ponds on Block 296 and Block 297 will be assumed separate from the remainder of the Subdivision. These storm water management ponds will be assumed once all Phases of the Subdivision are assumed and either 90% of all contributing areas to that pond have been developed or it has been 3 years since the assumption of the last Phase of the Subdivision. The Owner agrees to pay their share of any post-construction monitoring of the receiving storm water management ponds required by the consolidated linear infrastructure compliance approval, and for the cleaning of the storm water management ponds prior to assumption. The Owner's share shall be calculated based on contributing land area. Securities for the monitoring and pond cleaning will be held until assumption of the storm water management ponds.
- 33. That the Towpath drain outlet from the Northwest Secondary Plan Area to Niagara Street be re-aligned in accordance with the Northwest Welland Stormwater Management Implementation Plan prepared by Upper Canada Consultants in conjunction with the completion of the stormwater management works for this Subdivision. This work is identified in the City's development charge-by law and, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be entitled to a credit or to a cash payment for this work, the choice of which will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.
- 34. That the owner provides a written acknowledgement to Niagara Region stating that draft approval of this subdivision does not include a commitment of servicing allocation by Niagara Region as servicing allocation will not be assigned until the plan is registered and that any pre-servicing will be at the sole risk and responsibility of the owner.
- 35. That the owner provides a written undertaking to Niagara stating that all Offers and Agreements of Purchase and Sale or Lease, which may be negotiated prior to registration of this subdivision shall contain a clause indicating that servicing allocation for the subdivision will not be assigned until the plan is registered, and a similar clause be inserted in the subdivision agreement between the owner and the City.



- 36. That prior to final approval for registration of this plan of subdivision, the owner shall submit the design drawings [with calculations] for the sanitary and storm drainage systems required to service this development to confirm capacity in the Regional system prior to the City signing off the CLI ECA
- 37. That the City obtain, on behalf of the owner, approval from the Regional Municipality of Niagara to connect to the Region's trunk sanitary sewer.
- 38. That prior to approval of the final plan or any on-site grading, the owner submit a stormwater management report for the subdivision, and the following plans designed and sealed by a qualified professional engineer in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks documents entitled Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, March 2003 and Stormwater Quality Guidelines for New Development, May 1991, or their successors to the Niagara Region for review and approval:
 - a. Detailed lot grading, servicing and drainage plans, noting both existing and proposed grades and the means whereby overland flows will be accommodated across the site.
 - b. Detailed erosion and sedimentation control plans.
 - c. Prior to final approval for registration of this plan of subdivision, the owner shall submit confirmation that the stormwater management facilities required to service this development are thru City CLI-ECA process.
- 39. That the Subdivision Agreement between the owner and the City contain provisions whereby the owner agrees to implement the approved plans required in accordance with the above-noted condition.
- 40. That the owner ensure that all streets and development blocks can provide an access in accordance with the Regional Municipality of Niagara Corporate Policy and By-laws relating to the curbside collection of waste and recycling throughout all phases of development. Where a through street is not maintained, the owner shall provide a revised draft plan to reflect a proposed temporary "T" turnaround or cul-de-sac designed to Regional standards.
- 41. that the owner/developer ensure that all streets and development blocks can provide an access in accordance with the Niagara Region's Corporate Policy and By-laws relating to the curbside collection of waste and recycling.



- 42. That the owner/developer shall comply with the Niagara Region's Corporate Policy for Waste Collection and complete the Application for Commencement of Collection and Indemnity Agreement.
- 43. That the Developer submits to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for review and approval, grading, storm servicing, stormwater management, staging and sequencing, and construction sediment control drawings. The Owner agrees that all Sediment and Erosion Control Measures shall be maintained in good condition for the duration of construction until all disturbed surfaces have been stabilized.
- 44. That prior to the commencement of any works or site alterations, the Owner shall obtain Work Permits from the NPCA for any works associated with the construction of the proposed stormwater outlet to the Towpath Drain. In support of the application, the following information will be required:
 - a. Detailed design plans respecting the outlet, with associated riprap.
 - i. Outfalls and riprap should not be perpendicular to flows and an updated design to align with the watercourse flow should be included for the works permit.
 - b. Any other information as may be determined necessary by the NPCA.
- 45. That the Developer provides a 1.5 metre high (minimum) chain link fence along the lot lines of Blocks 1 to 21, 143, 223 to 233 (lot lines along the Towpath Drain) to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.
- 46. That the Towpath Drain Block be zoned Environmental Conservation; Hazard; or similar zone categories that appropriately protect the features within these Blocks, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority
- 47. That Conditions 43 to 46 above be incorporated into the Subdivision Agreement between the Developer and the City of Welland, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority. The City of Welland shall circulate the draft Subdivision Agreement to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for its review and approval.



- 48. Include on all offers of purchase and sale, a statement that advises the prospective purchaser:
 - a. That the home/business mail delivery will be from a designated Centralized Mail Box.
 - b. That the developers/owners be responsible for officially notifying the purchasers of the exact Centralized Mail Box locations prior to the closing of any home sales.
- 49. The owner further agrees to:
 - a. Work with Canada Post to determine and provide temporary suitable Centralized Mail Box locations which may be utilized by Canada Post until the curbs, boulevards and sidewalks are in place in the remainder of the subdivision.
 - Install a concrete pad in accordance with the requirements of and in locations to be approved by Canada Post to facilitate the placement of Community Mail Boxes
 - c. Identify the pads above on the engineering servicing drawings. Said pads are to be poured at the time of the sidewalk and/or curb installation within each phase of the plan of subdivision.
 - d. Determine the location of all centralized mail receiving facilities in cooperation with Canada Post and to indicate the location of the centralized mail facilities on appropriate maps, information boards and plans. Maps are also to be prominently displayed in the sales office(s) showing specific Centralized Mail Facility locations.
- 50. Canada Post's multi-unit policy, which requires that the owner/developer provide the centralized mail facility (front loading lockbox assembly or rear-loading mailroom [mandatory for 100 units or more]), at their own expense, will be in effect for buildings and complexes with a common lobby, common indoor or sheltered space.
- 51. The Owner acknowledges and agrees to convey any easement(s) as deemed necessary by Bell Canada to service this new development. The Owner further



agrees and acknowledges to convey such easements at no cost to Bell Canada.

52. The Owner agrees that should any conflict arise with existing Bell Canada facilities where a current and valid easement exists within the subject area, the Owner shall be responsible for the relocation of any such facilities or easements at their own cost. Upon receipt of this comment letter, the Owner is to provide Bell Canada with servicing plans/CUP at their earliest convenience to planninganddevelopment@bell.ca to confirm the provision of communication/ telecommunication infrastructure needed to service the development.

The Owner acknowledges and agrees that it is the responsibility of the Owner to provide entrance/service duct(s) at their own cost from Bell Canada's existing network infrastructure to service this development. In the event that no such network infrastructure exists, in accordance with the Bell Canada Act, the Owner shall be required to pay for the extension of such network infrastructure.

If the Owner elects not to pay for the above noted connection, Bell Canada may decide not to provide service to this development.

53. That prior to granting Approval for the Final Plan, the City of Welland will require written notice from the following upon their respective conditions having been met satisfactorily:

Region of Niagara: 34-42

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority: 43-47

Canada Post: 48-50

Bell Canada: 51-52

Appendix V





MEMORANDUM

| то: | Taylor Meadows, CPT, BURPI, RPP, MCIP Manager of Development Planning |
|----------|---|
| FROM: | Tolga Aydin, C.E.T., Development Technologist |
| CC: | Samantha McCauley, P.Eng., Manager of Development Engineering |
| DATE: | December 20, 2024 |
| SUBJECT: | 210 276 Quaker Road Official Plan, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision Review |

A complete application for Official Plan, Zoning By-Law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision was submitted by Upper Canada Consultants and circulated for review. Engineering has reviewed this application for any anticipated concerns related to the proposed servicing and stormwater management plans. Please note that a comprehensive design review was not completed at this time. A detailed review of the engineering design would be completed when/if a complete application for clearance of conditions for Draft Plan of Subdivision is made. Additional comments may be forthcoming at that time.

The following documents were reviewed:

- Functional Servicing Report, dated October, 2024, prepared by Upper Canada Consultants
- Stormwater Management Plan, dated October 2024, prepared by Upper Canada Consultants
- Draft Plan of Subdivision, revision 0, dated September 19, 2024, prepared by Upper Canada Consultants
- Traffic Impact Assessment, dated September, 2024, by Associated Engineering

After review, Engineering has the following comments:

1. The proposed water layout in the FSR does not include the east-west connection to Woodlawn Road that is included in the latest Associated Engineering Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report (June 2024) and includes upsized proposed watermain (from 200 to 300 mm) east-west to First Avenue. Modelling will be required to confirm if this loop can be eliminated and that the selected watermain size change is appropriate. If this loop cannot be eliminated, the servicing plans will need to be updated accordingly. This work will be undertaken by the City's modelling consultant and the current cost for this work is



Phone: 905-735-1700 | Fax: 905-735-7184 Email: eng@welland.ca | www.welland.ca

\$2,500 + HST per the 2024 fees bylaw (fee is for reference and the actual fee will be as per the applicable bylaw at the time of application). The Applicant is responsible for the cost of this work and payment must be received before the work is authorized. This modelling can be completed either prior to draft plan approval or as part of clearance of draft plan conditions.

- Depending on the extent of other future external watermains that have been constructed at the time the development of this site proceeds, other additional water modelling may also be required to confirm that adequate pressure and fire flow can be supplied to this development without those watermains.
- 3. Figure 4 in the Stormwater Management Brief for this property, shows areas A20a and A20 being directed to a SWM facility before outletting to the Towpath Drain; however, it is the City's understanding that no SWM facility is proposed for these areas. This figure and the modelling (if the modelling included a SWM facility) should be updated to reflect what is being proposed for that drainage area.
- 4. The percent impervious used for drainage area A21 shown in Figures 3 and 4 does not match with the percent impervious used for this area in the approved Northwest Welland Stormwater Management Implementation Plan or Figure 3 (existing drainage area plan). The percent impervious for this area should be revised from 5% to 9%.
- There are outstanding comments on the overall TIS update submitted for the CIHA that need to be addressed. Please note that approval of the updated TIS is required to proceed with the development of this parcel.
- 6. Sanitary connections from external properties (on Street G and Street A) may not be required, with the alternative being that these properties will connect to a sanitary sewer on First Avenue this is to be confirmed with the City prior to detailed design.
- Approval from the Niagara Region will be required for the proposed sanitary connection to the Regional 750mm sanitary sewer on Quaker Road.



After review, Engineering has the following conditions:

- That the Owner shall submit full engineering design drawings to the City of Welland's Engineering Division for review and approval, including Site Servicing, Site Grading, General Notes and Details, Plan and Profile, Sediment Erosion and Control Plan, Storm Pond Design Details, Storm Drainage Area, Sanitary Drainage Area, and Landscaping Plans.
- 2. That a Functional Servicing Study Report indicating that the sanitary sewers can accommodate the additional flows, that adequate fire flows can be provided to the development, and that the servicing is consistent with the overall Northwest Secondary Area Plan conceptual design, be submitted to the City of Welland for review to the satisfaction of the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer.
- 3. That the Owner submit to the City of Welland for review and approval by the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer, a detailed stormwater management plan for the subdivision.
- 4. That water modelling be completed to ensure adequate capacity and fire flow exist for this development prior to the full construction of other watermains in the area. The Owner agrees that water modelling will be completed by the City at the Owner's expense. Should the water modelling identify that external watermains are required to achieve the required fire flow and/or pressure for this development, the design and construction of those watermains will be at the Owner's expense if they wish to proceed before other development in the area. Where these watermains are identified in the City's development charge by-law, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be entitled to a credit or to a cash payment for this work, the choice of which will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.
- 5. That the Owner agrees that construction shall not commence until all required external storm and sanitary works are constructed.
- 6. The City is completing the preliminary design of Quaker Road adjacent to the development. The Owner's Engineer shall coordinate with the City as necessary.
- 7. The detailed design and reconstruction of Quaker Road from the east limit of Rice Road intersection to Niagara Street, including urbanization, sanitary sewer, and storm sewer, shall be completed by the Owner. The design shall be submitted to the City for review and approval. The construction is to be completed within 2 years of draft plan approval. This work is identified in the City's development chargeby law and, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be entitled to a credit or to a cash payment for this work, the choice of which will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.



Office of the City Engineer Phone: 905-735-1700 | Fax: 905-735-7184 Email: <u>eng@welland.ca</u> | <u>www.welland.ca</u>

- 8. That the cross section for Street 'C' will incorporate on-street parking on one side of the road, a 3m asphalt multi-use trail on one side of the road, and a 1.5 m sidewalk on the other side of the road.
- 9. That prior to any site alteration, approval from the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer is required. The Owner shall submit all supporting materials and engineering design, prepared by a qualified professional, as required by the City or any applicable authority, and shall agree to implement the recommendations of the reports, studies, and plans.
- 10. That prior to registration of this plan, the Owner must obtain Consolidated Linear Infrastructure -Environmental Compliance Approval from the City of Welland for sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and storm water management works needed to service the proposed development.
- 11. That prior to registration of this plan, the Owner must submit Ministry of Environment 'Form 1' Record of Watermains Authorized as a Future Alteration.
- 12. That the Owner shall be responsible for the construction of all primary and secondary services in accordance with the City of Welland Municipal Standards, Ontario Provincial Standards, the Issued for Construction Engineering drawing set, and any other standards that may apply.
- 13. That at the end of the project, the design engineer shall certify that all grading, storm sewers, and stormwater management controls have been constructed in conformity with the approved plans. Copies of the certification shall be circulated to the City of Welland and the Regional Municipality of Niagara.
- 14. That foundation drains will not be connected to sanitary sewer systems.
- 15. That roof water drainage from any structure or building shall be directed via downspouts either discharging to splash pads (concrete or other suitable material) or with extensions onto grass surfaces. Flows must be directed away from the building, not onto sidewalks or driveways, and not toward adjacent properties.
- 16. That the storm water management ponds on BLOCK 291 and BLOCK 292 will be assumed separate from the remainder of the Subdivision. These storm water management ponds will be assumed once all Phases



Infrastructure Services

Office of the City Engineer Phone: 905-735-1700 | Fax: 905-735-7184 Email: <u>eng@welland.ca</u> | <u>www.welland.ca</u>

of the Subdivision are assumed and either 90% of all contributing areas to that pond have been developed or it has been 3 years since the assumption of the last Phase of the Subdivision. The Owner agrees to pay their share of any post-construction monitoring of the receiving storm water management ponds required by the consolidated linear infrastructure compliance approval, and for the cleaning of the storm water management ponds prior to assumption. The Owner's share shall be calculated based on contributing land area. Securities for the monitoring and pond cleaning will be held until assumption of the storm water management ponds.

- 17. That the Towpath drain outlet from the Northwest Secondary Plan Area to Niagara Street be re-aligned in accordance with the Northwest Welland Stormwater Management Implementation Plan prepared by Upper Canada Consultants in conjunction with the completion of the stormwater management works for this Subdivision. This work is identified in the City's development charge-by law and, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be entitled to a credit or to a cash payment for this work, the choice of which will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.
- 18. That all necessary easements required for utility and services purposes be granted to the appropriate Authority free and clear of encumbrances.
- 19. That the Owner agrees to dedicate to the City of Welland, BLOCK 285, BLOCK 284, BLOCK 283 and BLOCK 282, free and clear of all encumbrances, for the purposes of future road widening.
- 20. That the Owner agrees to dedicate to the City of Welland, BLOCK 291 and BLOCK 292, free and clear of all encumbrances, for the purposes of storm water management facilities.



MEMORANDUM

| то: | Taylor Meadows, CPT, BURPI, RPP, MCIP Manager of Development Planning |
|----------|---|
| FROM: | Tolga Aydin, C.E.T., Supervisor of Development Engineering |
| CC: | Kelly Walsh, P.Eng., Manager of Development Engineering |
| DATE: | May 27, 2025 |
| SUBJECT: | 210 276 Quaker Road Official Plan, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision Review |

A complete application for Official Plan, Zoning By-Law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision was submitted by Upper Canada Consultants and circulated for review. Engineering has reviewed this application for any anticipated concerns related to the proposed servicing and stormwater management plans. Please note that a comprehensive design review was not completed at this time. A detailed review of the engineering design would be completed when/if a complete application for clearance of conditions for Draft Plan of Subdivision is made. Additional comments may be forthcoming at that time.

The following documents were reviewed:

- Functional Servicing Report, dated May 2025, prepared by Upper Canada Consultants
- Draft Plan of Subdivision, revision 0, dated April 22, 2025, prepared by Upper Canada Consultants
- Covering Letter and Comment Response, dated May 21, 2025, prepared by Upper Canada Consultants



After review, Engineering has the following comments:

1. As indicated during the previous review, watermain modelling will be required to confirm if the loop to Niagara Street can be eliminated and that the selected watermain size change is appropriate. If this loop cannot be eliminated, the servicing plans will need to be updated accordingly. This work will be undertaken by the City's modelling consultant and the current cost for this work is \$5,000 + HST per the 2024 fees bylaw (fee is for reference and the actual fee will be as per the applicable bylaw at the time of application). The Applicant is responsible for the cost of this work and payment must be received before the work is authorized. It is acknowledged that it is the preference of the Applicant to complete this work as a condition of approval.

After review, Engineering has the following conditions:

- That the Owner shall submit full engineering design drawings to the City of Welland's Engineering Division for review and approval, including Site Servicing, Site Grading, General Notes and Details, Plan and Profile, Sediment Erosion and Control Plan, Storm Pond Design Details, Storm Drainage Area, Sanitary Drainage Area, and Landscaping Plans.
- 2. That a Functional Servicing Study Report indicating that the sanitary sewers can accommodate the additional flows, that adequate fire flows can be provided to the development, and that the servicing is consistent with the overall Northwest Secondary Area Plan conceptual design, be submitted to the City of Welland for review to the satisfaction of the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer.
- 3. That the Owner submit to the City of Welland for review and approval by the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer, a detailed stormwater management plan for the subdivision.



- 4. That water modelling be completed to ensure adequate capacity and fire flow exist for this development prior to the full construction of other watermains in the area. The Owner agrees that water modelling will be completed by the City at the Owner's expense. Should the water modelling identify that external watermains are required to achieve the required fire flow and/or pressure for this development, the design and construction of those watermains will be at the Owner's expense if they wish to proceed before other development in the area. Where these watermains are identified in the City's development charge by-law, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be entitled to a credit or to a cash payment for this work, the choice of which will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.
- 5. That the Owner agrees that construction shall not commence until all required external storm and sanitary works are constructed.
- 6. The City is completing the preliminary design of Quaker Road adjacent to the development. The Owner's Engineer shall coordinate with the City as necessary.
- 7. The detailed design and reconstruction of Quaker Road from the east limit of Rice Road intersection to Niagara Street, including urbanization, sanitary sewer, and storm sewer, shall be completed by the Owner. The design shall be submitted to the City for review and approval. The construction is to be completed within 2 years of draft plan approval. This work is identified in the City's development charge-by law and, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be entitled to a credit or to a cash payment for this work, the choice of which will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.
- 8. That the cross section for Street 'C' will incorporate on-street parking on one side of the road, a 3m asphalt multi-use trail on one side of the road, and a 1.5 m sidewalk on the other side of the road.



- 9. That prior to any site alteration, approval from the Director of Infrastructure Services/City Engineer is required. The Owner shall submit all supporting materials and engineering design, prepared by a qualified professional, as required by the City or any applicable authority, and shall agree to implement the recommendations of the reports, studies, and plans.
- 10. That prior to registration of this plan, the Owner must obtain Consolidated Linear Infrastructure - Environmental Compliance Approval from the City of Welland for sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and storm water management works needed to service the proposed development.
- 11. That prior to registration of this plan, the Owner must submit Ministry of Environment 'Form 1' Record of Watermains Authorized as a Future Alteration.
- 12. That the Owner shall be responsible for the construction of all primary and secondary services in accordance with the City of Welland Municipal Standards, Ontario Provincial Standards, the Issued for Construction Engineering drawing set, and any other standards that may apply.
- 13. That at the end of the project, the design engineer shall certify that all grading, storm sewers, and stormwater management controls have been constructed in conformity with the approved plans. Copies of the certification shall be circulated to the City of Welland and the Regional Municipality of Niagara.
- 14. That foundation drains will not be connected to sanitary sewer systems.
- 15. That roof water drainage from any structure or building shall be directed via downspouts either discharging to splash pads (concrete or other suitable material) or



with extensions onto grass surfaces. Flows must be directed away from the building, not onto sidewalks or driveways, and not toward adjacent properties.

- 16. That the storm water management ponds on BLOCK 296 and BLOCK 297 will be assumed separate from the remainder of the Subdivision. These storm water management ponds will be assumed once all Phases of the Subdivision are assumed and either 90% of all contributing areas to that pond have been developed or it has been 3 years since the assumption of the last Phase of the Subdivision. The Owner agrees to pay their share of any post-construction monitoring of the receiving storm water management ponds required by the consolidated linear infrastructure compliance approval, and for the cleaning of the storm water management ponds prior to assumption. The Owner's share shall be calculated based on contributing land area. Securities for the monitoring and pond cleaning will be held until assumption of the storm water management ponds.
- 17. That the Towpath drain outlet from the Northwest Secondary Plan Area to Niagara Street be re-aligned in accordance with the Northwest Welland Stormwater Management Implementation Plan prepared by Upper Canada Consultants in conjunction with the completion of the stormwater management works for this Subdivision. This work is identified in the City's development charge-by law and, subject to the Development Charges Act, the Owner will be entitled to a credit or to a cash payment for this work, the choice of which will be at the City's sole discretion. The Owner must enter into an agreement with the City to receive such credit or payment.
- 18. That all necessary easements required for utility and services purposes be granted to the appropriate Authority free and clear of encumbrances.
- 19. That the Owner agrees to dedicate to the City of Welland, BLOCK 287, BLOCK 288, BLOCK 289 and BLOCK 290, free and clear of all encumbrances, for the purposes of future road widening.



20. That the Owner agrees to dedicate to the City of Welland, BLOCK 296 and BLOCK 297, free and clear of all encumbrances, for the purposes of storm water management facilities.



Public Works Growth Management & Planning Division

1815 Sir Isaac Brock Way, Thorold, ON L2V 4T7 905-980-6000 Toll-free:1-800-263-7215

Via Email Only

December 19, 2024

File Number: PLSD202401516 PLOPA202401523 PLZBA202401522

Caitlin Kovacs Development Planner City of Welland 60 East Main Street Welland, ON L3B 3X4

Dear Ms. Kovacs:

Re: Regional and Provincial Comments Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision Application Proposed Official Plan Amendment Application Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment Application City Files: 26T-14-24004; OPA no. 53; 2024-06 Applicant: Ashton Homes Agent: Upper Canada Consultants 210, 276 Quaker Road City of Welland

Staff of the Regional Public Works Growth Management and Planning Division has reviewed the proposed Official Plan Amendment (OPA), Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBA), and Draft Plan of Subdivision (DPS) applications circulated by the City for lands on 210 and 276 Quaker Road located in the City of Welland. Regional staff received notice of these applications on November 12, 2024.

The OPA seeks to modify the existing mapping of the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan (NWSP). The modification intends to adjust a number of land use designations that affect the subject lands to recognize the realignment of the approved Towpath Drain that resulted in the shift of boundaries of the Environmental Conservation Area, Low Density Greenfield Residential, Medium Density Residential, and the Open Space and Recreation Area designations. The subject land is currently zoned A1 - Agricultural and the natural heritage resources on the property are zoned as EC – Environmental Conservation Overlay. The ZBA application proposes to rezone the subject lands to:

- Residential Low Density (RL-2-XX)
- Residential Medium Density (RM-XX)
- Open Space (OS)
- Environmental Conservation Overlay (EC)

The draft plan consists of 292 lots/blocks as shown on the 'Draft Plan of Subdivision' drawing prepared by Upper Canada Consultants (dated October 7, 2024) for a mix and range of dwelling types and densities for a total of 339 residential dwelling units, and other associated land uses as follows:

- 263 lots for low density residential (single family residential)
- 13 blocks for medium density residential (street town residential)
- Two (2) blocks for Park / Parkette
- Two (2) blocks for 0.3 metre reserve
- Four (4) blocks for Future R.O.W Block
- Two (2) blocks for servicing corridor / walk
- Two (2) blocks for watercourse
- Two (2) blocks for Stormwater Management Facility

A pre-consultation meeting was held recently on May 16, 2024, with City, Regional staff, NPCA, and agent in attendance.

The following comments are provided from a Provincial and Regional perspective to assist the City of Welland with their review of the proposed DPS, OPA and ZBA applications.

Regional staff are not in a position to provide final comments and support for these applications at this time as additional information is required to confirm that the proposal will not have a negative impact on the Region's Natural Heritage System as discussed below. Updated comments and recommended draft plan conditions to address Regional and Provincial interests will be provided upon review and approval of an EIS Addendum.

Provincial and Regional Policies

The property is located within the 'Settlement Area' under the *Provincial Planning Statement, 2024* (PPS), and within the 'Designated Greenfield Area' of the Welland Urban Area under the Niagara Official Plan (NOP).

The PPS directs growth to Settlement Areas, which are the focus of growth and development, and encourage the efficient use of land, resources, infrastructure, and public service facilities that are planned or available.

The PPS and NOP direct development to take place in urban areas to support intensified development where appropriate servicing and infrastructure exists. Both Provincial and Regional policy place an emphasis on intensification and infill to foster the development of complete communities that have a mix of diverse land uses and housing choices, improve social equity and quality of life, expand access to multiple forms of transportation, and provide spaces that are vibrant and resilient in their design.

A full range of residential uses are permitted generally within the Urban Area designation, subject to the availability of adequate municipal services and infrastructure and other policies relative to land use compatibility and environmental conservation. Within urban areas, forecasted population growth is to be accommodated primarily through intensification in Built-Up Areas.

The intensification target identified with Table 2-2 of the NOP for the City of Welland is 75%. Designated Greenfield Areas shall achieve a minimum density of 50 residents and jobs combined per hectare as measured across the entire region. The NOP requires that local official plans achieve the minimum greenfield density target across the entire municipality and are encouraged to exceed this minimum. Staff note the subject land is located within Welland's Northwest Secondary Plan (NWSP) area.

The applicant has indicated through the submitted Planning Justification Report, prepared by Upper Canada Consultants (dated October 18, 2024) that the development will have a density of 58 residents and jobs per hectare, which exceeds the minimum target as set out within the NOP.

The City is to monitor developments to ensure that the overall Greenfield density target will be achieved on a municipal-wide basis and should be satisfied that the proposal conforms to the neighbourhood density targets in the City's Official Plan. Therefore, provided the City is satisfied that the neighbourhood density target will be achieved, the proposed development is consistent with and conforms to Regional growth management policies for Designated Greenfield Areas, subject to the discussion below. Regional staff further acknowledge that local compatibility considerations and interface with neighbouring land uses are local planning matters to be addressed by City staff and Council.

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan

The NWSP was approved by City Council on October 27, 2020, with the intent to accommodate future urban growth within the City through orderly, sequential, and contiguous DGFA development with infill in the Built-Up Area.

Archaeological Potential

The PPS and NOP state that development and site alteration is not permitted within areas of archaeological potential unless significant archaeological resources have been conserved. The subject lands are mapped as an area of archaeological potential on Schedule K of the NOP.

Regional staff reviewed the Stage 1 & 2 Archaeological Assessments conducted by Detritus Consulting Ltd. (dated November 11, 2021) and received the associated Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism letter of acknowledgement (dated December 9, 2024). The Stage 1 Background Study indicated that the Study Area exhibited moderate to high potential for the identification and recovery of archaeological resources. As such a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment was recommended.

The Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment identified 60% of the study area was accessible to ploughing and pedestrian surveys. 10% of the study area comprised of a seasonal tributary of the Welland Canal that was inaccessible to ploughing but subject to test put surveying. No material culture was uncovered, and no additional assessment methods were employed.

The remaining 30% was subject to judgmental test pit surveying. The pedestrian survey of the remainder treed, and agricultural land resulted in the documentation of Findspot 1 (isolated flake of Onondaga Chert). No other artifacts were observed and given the isolated nature of the artifact, Findspot 1 and the study area were not recommended for a Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment.

Recognizing that no archaeological assessment, regardless of intensity, can entirely negate the possibility of discovering deeply buried archaeological materials, staff will recommend the inclusion of a standard archaeological warning clause in the future subdivision agreement should any resources be encountered through future construction works.

Appropriate conditions of draft plan approval will be included in a future resubmission to address these requirements.

Site Condition

The PPS policy 5.3.2 and NOP Policy 4.2.4.2 state that sensitive land uses shall be planned and developed to avoid, or if avoidance is not possible, minimize and mitigate any potential adverse effects from odour, noise, and other contaminants, and minimize risk to public health and safety.

A Phase One Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was referenced in the Phase Two ESA Conceptual Site Model (no date; Project: E16-26-2) prepared by Hallex Environmental Ltd. and was circulated with this submission. The Phase One ESA

identified 15 Potentially Contaminating Activities (PCAs) which resulted in four (4) onsite Areas of Potential Environmental Concern (APEC).

The test pits, boreholes, and monitoring wells across the site resulted in one (1) identified impacted area at the eastern property boundary (APEC-4). The contaminated soil was excavated and disposed of at a Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) licensed landfill. The report indicated that no groundwater impact was noted throughout the site and, as such, no target contaminants were identified associated with APEC-1, 2, and 3.

Staff note that a Record of Site Condition has been submitted and filed to the MECP Environmental Site Registry (filed August 16, 2018).

As such, staff offer no further comments regarding site condition standards.

Land Use Compatibility

The PPS and NOP state that sensitive land uses (including residential) shall be planned and developed to avoid, or if avoidance is not possible, minimize and mitigate any potential adverse effects from odour, noise, and other contaminants.

Regional staff reviewed the 'Noise Feasibility Study' prepared by HGC Engineering (dated July 5, 2024), which evaluated the primary noise source from Quaker Road (local road), the secondary noise source from Niagara Street (Regional Road 50), as well nearby stationary noise sources. Specifically, the NFS recommends the following:

- Forced air ventilation is required for Blocks 265 & 266, Block 267 unit A, Block 272 unit C, Blocks 273 & 274, Block 275 unit A, and Lot 174
- Blocks 265 & 266, Block 267 unit A, Block 272 unit C, Blocks 273 & 274, Block 275 unit A, and Lot 174, and Lots 139 173, 120 128 require exterior walls and double-glazed windows to meet Ontario Building Code minimum requirements.
- NFS provided an alternative for the rear yards adjacent to Quaker Road that a 2.0 metre noise wall would reduce noise levels below 55 dBA.
- Warning clauses are also required to inform future occupants of potential adverse noise impacts from nearby increasing transportation sources.

The above noted measures will be incorporated as conditions through a future resubmission should there be no changes to the development proposal.

Natural Heritage

The subject property is impacted by the Region's Natural Environment System (NES), consisting of Significant Woodland, Other Woodland and Other Wetlands as well as a permanent/intermittent stream. As such, consistent with NOP policies, Regional staff requested an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) to confirm the extent of designated NES features and assess impacts associated with the proposed development.

Staff have reviewed the EIS prepared by Beacon Environmental Limited (dated August 2024), which confirms the presence of a permanent/intermittent stream associated with Towpath Drain and wetland communities. Staff note that the subject properties were extensively cleared of vegetation between 2020 and 2021. As the clearing occurred before approval of the 2022 NOP, NOP policy 3.1.18 requiring restoration of mapped NES features that were removed without authorization in advance of making an application for development is not applicable.

Although Regional staff are generally in agreement that development can be accommodated on the subject property without significant impact to the NES, several concerns were identified that should be addressed in an EIS Addendum to satisfy the Region that the conclusions of the EIS are valid.

As such, Regional staff is not in a position to recommend conditions of draft approval at this time as additional information is requested to confirm that the proposal will not have significant negative impacts to the Region's NES. An EIS Addendum (with changes clearly identified to facilitate review) is requested to address the concerns summarized above. Upon receipt of the updated report, we anticipate being able to provide revised comments in support of the application and conditions of approval.

Please note that the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) continues to be responsible for the review and comment on planning applications related to their regulated features. As such, the NPCA should be consulted with respect to requirements under the NPCA Regulations.

Regional staff are open to meeting to further discuss our comments, if desired. Please contact Adam Boudens, Senior Environmental Planner at 905-980-6000 (ext. 3770) or <u>adam.boudens@niagararegion.ca</u> should you have any questions or seek to arrange a meeting. Alternatively, please contact Cara Lampman, Manager, Environmental Planning at 905-980-6000 (ext. 3430) or <u>cara.lampman@niagararegion.ca</u>.

Stormwater Management

Regional staff reviewed the submitted 'Stormwater Management (SWM) Plan', dated October 2024 (prepared by Upper Canada Consultants), which proposes stormwater from the subject development be directed to two (2) SWM facilities, namely P30 and P3, prior to discharge to Towpath Drain. The facilities are sized to provide an Enhanced Level of water quality control, peak flow control, and erosion control, and the report indicates that the peak flow at Niagara Street will not exceed the existing level. As such, staff offer no objection to the development SWM plan. The SWM and engineering plan details are subject to the review and approval from City staff to ensure their 'Northwest Welland SWM Implementation Plan (2022)' and local storm infrastructure requirements are adequately addressed, and the future SWM facilities will be subject to the City's Consolidated Linear Infrastructure Environmental Compliance Approval (CLI-ECA).

At the discretion of City staff, the applicant should confirm the following details prior to final approval:

a. The plan proposes that the future development of area A30 (see Figure 3 - the land located within the City of Thorold that currently drains to the Towpath Drain) bypasses P30 to a separate outlet to the creek. As such, staff recommend consideration for a flow route that will be required with the future development of A30.

b. Although this may not affect the pond configuration, a total of the internal and external drainage areas to a SWM pond should be used in sizing its permanent pool volume.

c. It is recommended that the 100-year 24-hour storm be used to confirm pond storage.

d. Major flow (i.e., overland flow) routes be directed to the pond main cells, in order to minimize resuspension of deposited sediment in the forebays.

e. With respect to SWM Pond P31, a berm is required to direct flows along the forebay length. It appears that flow short-circuiting will occur with the current configuration (refer to the Report Figure 6).

f. Confirm the 'percent imperviousness' of A300 in Figure 4, and the 'Average Discharge' of Pond Calculations for P30 &P31.

Servicing

Regional staff reviewed the Functional Servicing Report prepared by Upper Canada Consultants (dated October 2024). Staff provided comments in a markup version of the document (please see attached); however, key comments are summarized below.

Staff note that site servicing will be under the jurisdiction of the City of Welland and will require the construction of new water, sanitary and storm services for the proposed development. The servicing plan indicates that the local watermain and sanitary sewer will cross the municipal boundary into Thorold (via Street C) and would create a water/sewer billing issue between the municipalities. The plan should be revised so that
servicing does not cross into Thorold. Staff note it is currently understood that the City of Thorold has a servicing strategy utilizing Merritt Road.

The servicing plan proposes a single sanitary connection for the subject lands to the existing Regional sanitary sewer on Quaker Road. Engineering drawings showing details of this connection are to be submitted to the Region for review and comment, and the connection must be in accordance with Regional standards. The Region will require an application letter from the City and a connection permit fee of \$1,250 submitted to Susan Dunsmore, P.Eng, Acting Director, Infrastructure Planning & Development Engineering at 905-980-6000 ext. 3661.

Staff note that any new servicing proposed to cross a Regional main are required to be detailed in plan and profile, and any changes to grades (cover) are to be shown on the plans.

Staff will need to ensure that Regional infrastructure will not be negatively impacted by the proposed site servicing. Final detailed engineering design drawings with calculations will be required for Regional review and approval, prior to the City signing off on the CLI ECA.

Transportation

The Region's current 10-year capital budget forecast includes the widening of Rice Road (Regional Road 54) between Quaker Road and Merritt Road. These works would include signalization of the Rice Road & Quaker Road intersection. Please note that the timing of these works are subject to change depending on Regional budget and priorities, and projects are not guaranteed until the construction budget year is approved by Regional Council.

Waste Collection

Regional staff note that subdivision developments are eligible to receive internal curbside waste collection, assuming they meet the requirements of Niagara Region's Waste Collection Policy. In order for this service to be provided, the developer/owner shall comply with the Niagara Region's Corporate Policy and By-laws related to curbside collection of waste and complete the Application for Commencement of Collection and Indemnity Agreement. The required forms and policy can be found at the following link: www.niagararegion.ca/waste

Future submissions are to confirm the intention for waste collection and include a waste collection plan to justify that the proposed road design meets the requirements of the current policy. It appears Street 'C' and Street 'G' will require temporary "T" turnarounds to accommodate collection until the adjacent developments occur. The drawing should indicate all road widths and curb radii. Regional staff ask that truck turning movements (using the Regional waste collection vehicle template) be included.

If the requirements of the Waste Collection Policy cannot be met, then waste collection will be the responsibility of the owner through a private contractor and not the Niagara Region.

Circular Materials Ontario is responsible for the delivery of Blue / Grey Box recycling collection services. The most up to date information regarding recycling can be found using the following link: <u>https://www.circularmaterials.ca/resident-communities/niagara-region/</u>

Conclusion

Regional Public Works Growth Management and Planning Division staff are not in a position to provide final comments and support for these applications at this time as additional information is required to confirm that the proposal will not have a negative impact on the Region's Natural Heritage System, in alignment with Provincial and Regional policies, as noted above and in the attached Appendix. Updated comments and recommended draft plan conditions to address Regional and Provincial interests will be provided upon review and approval of the requested EIS Addendum.

Please send copies of the staff report and notice of the City's decision on these applications. If you have any questions related to the above comments, please contact me at <u>connor.wilson@niagararegion.ca</u>.

Kind regards,

Connor Wilson Development Planner

cc: Pat Busnello, MCIP, RPP, Manager of Development Planning, Niagara Region Susan Dunsmore, P.Eng. Acting Director, Infrastructure Planning and Development Engineering, Niagara Region Cara Lampman, Manager Environmental Planning, Niagara Region Adam Boudens, M.Sc., Senior Environmental Planner, Niagara Region Jake McGowan, Development Approvals Technician, Niagara Region Josh Wilson, P. Eng., Acting Manager of Development Engineering, Niagara Region

Appendix

Detailed Environmental Planning Comments 210, 276 Quaker Road, City of Welland

- The EIS does not include an analysis of all potentially relevant designated NES features outlined in the 2022 NOP. Notably, Mineral Shallow Marsh, Mineral Meadow Marsh, Forb Mineral Meadow Marsh and Mineral Thicket Swamp communities are confirmed present adjacent to Towpath Drain, but an assessment of 'Other Wetland' policies is absent in the Report. Further, it appears that 'Other Wetlands' are proposed for removal, and that buffers are not currently recommended from wetlands that will remain within the block containing Towpath Drain. These issues should be addressed in an EIS Addendum.
- 2. A Significant Woodland is mapped along the northern extent of the subject property. Staff understand that removals of woodland on the subject lands took place in 2020/2021, however, components of the woodland remain intact on the adjacent property. The Conceptual Site Plan shows development directly adjacent to the woodland but doesn't address whether a buffer is appropriate or has been considered. Staff request that this area be assessed in an EIS Addendum.
- 3. Staff request that a more fulsome discussion related to the location of wildlife corridors/linkages be included in the EIS Addendum. The subject property is located within the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan (SP) Area and was evaluated through the Subwatershed Study (SWS) component of the SP (Natural Heritage and Natural Hazards Existing Conditions report, prepared by Aquafor Beech, dated April 2019). Within the SWS, a north-south Natural Heritage Corridor as well as an east-west corridor (associated with Towpath Drain) were conceptually shown traversing the site. Both SP policies as well as NOP Policy 3.1.17, provide direction on how linkages are to be assessed as part of development applications. As such, staff request that additional information related to the form and function of the corridors as well as an evaluation of potential impacts (direct and indirect) to the corridor, including corresponding mitigation measures, be elaborated on in the Addendum. Staff note that a north-south corridor is identified as a requirement in the SP, however, the precise location of where this occurs on the subject lands is flexible provided appropriate justification is provided.
- 4. As it relates to blocks associated with Towpath Drain, staff request that the EIS Addendum confirm that the recommended block widths are sufficient to accommodate wildlife linkage/corridor requirements. Please also include a high-level mitigation strategy so that staff can be assured that potential negative impacts on the ecological and hydraulic functions provided in these blocks can be adequately addressed through detailed design.
- 5. There are numerous sloughs and wet depressions visible on the subject lands based on a review of aerial imagery. Staff request that they be assessed in

Page 10 of 11

accordance with both wetland and headwater drainage feature survey protocols. Soil assessment data should also be provided.

- The location of eco-passages associated with roads in the Study Area should be further explored to provide guidance that can be relied on at detailed design. Consideration of both wet and dry culverts should be included in the EIS Addendum.
- Staff note that the EIS does not assess 'supporting area and features' or 'enhancement areas', as required by Niagara Official Plan (NOP) policies. Please include specific sections related to both in an EIS Addendum.
- 8. Staff note that a pedestrian trail network was not discussed in the EIS. If the applicant intends to create pedestrian trails within the Subject Lands, staff request that locations be considered and assessed at this stage in the process. Staff require assurance that if trails are being considered within NES features or buffers, that proper regard has been given to the width and design of buffers, etc.
- 9. Staff note that the EIS indicates that the block containing the drain will provide an aquatic and terrestrial movement corridor. Staff request that in addition to chain-link fencing that consideration be given to reptile and amphibian enclosure fencing to mitigate impacts between aquatic/terrestrial species and adjacent residential land uses. Please include an assessment of this mitigation measure in the EIS Addendum.

Niagara 7 // Region

Public Works Infrastructure Planning and Development Division

1815 Sir Isaac Brock Way, Thorold, ON L2V 4T7 905-980-6000 Toll-free:1-800-263-7215

Via Email Only

May 6, 2025

Region Files: PLOPA202401523; PLZBA202401522; PLSD202401516

Caitlin Kovacs Development Planner City of Welland 60 East Main Street Welland, ON, L3B 3X4

Dear Ms. Kovacs:

Re: Regional and Provincial Comments (Revised) Proposed Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision City Files: OPA 53, 2024-06, 26T-14-24004 Applicant: Ashton Homes Agent: Upper Canada Consultants 210, 276 Quaker Road City of Welland

Regional Infrastructure Planning and Development staff has reviewed the proposed Official Plan Amendment (OPA), Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBA), and Draft Plan of Subdivision (DPS) applications for lands municipally known as 210 and 276 Quaker Road in the City of Welland.

The OPA application seeks to modify the existing mapping and land use designations of the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan (NWSP) to recognize the realignment of the approved Towpath Drain that resulted in the shift of boundaries of the Environmental Conservation Area, Low Density Greenfield Residential, Medium Density Residential, and the Open Space and Recreation Area designations.

The ZBA applization proposes to rezone the subject lands from Agricultural (A1) and Environmental Conservation Overlay (EC) to Residential Low Density (RL-2-XX), in part, Residential Medium Density (RM-XX), in part, Open Space (OS), in part, and Environmental Conservation Overlay (EC), in part.

The Draft Plan proposes 263 lots for low density residential (single-family residential) and 13 blocks for medium density residential (street town residential) for a total of 339 residential dwelling units.

A pre-consultation meeting was held to discuss the proposal on May 16, 2024, with City and Regional staff in attendance. Regional staff provided comments on the application December 19, 2024. The below revised comments are provided as Regional conditions related to regional infrastructure and waste collection were not previously provided.

Planning Act Changes

Staff advise pursuant to the *Planning Act*, as of March 31, 2025, Niagara Region is an upper-tier municipality without planning responsibilities. The council of an upper-tier municipality, on conditions agreed upon with the council of a lower municipality, may provide advice and assistance to lower-tier municipalities in respect of planning matters generally. Niagara Region has not entered into any service level agreement to continue providing planning support and advice to the City of Welland.

Please be advised that through this change to the *Planning Act*, the *Niagara Official Plan, 2022* (NOP) is effectively an official plan of the City of Welland, which remains in effect until the City revokes or amends it to provide otherwise. As such, City staff should be satisfied that the application conforms to NOP policies.

Under the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Engineering Review between the City and the Region, the below comments are considered Regional requirements with respect to the Region's interests.

Servicing

Regional staff has reviewed the Functional Servicing Report (FSR), prepared by Upper Canada Consultants (dated October 2024). Staff have provided comments in a markup version of the document (see Appendix II), however key comments are summarized below.

Staff note that site servicing will be under the jurisdiction of the City of Welland and will require the construction of new water, sanitary, and storm services for the proposed development. The servicing plan indicates that the local watermain and sanitary sewer will cross the municipal boundary into Thorold (via Street C). This would create a water/sewer billing issue between the municipalities. The plan should be revised so that servicing does not cross into the City of Thorold. It is currently understood that the City of Thorold has a servicing strategy utilizing Merritt Road.

The servicing plan proposes a single sanitary connection for the subject lands to the existing Regional sanitary sewer on Quaker Road. Engineering drawings showing details of this connection are to be submitted to the Region for review and comment. The connection must be in accordance with Regional standards. The Region will require an application letter from the City, and a connection permit fee of \$1,250 made to Susan Dunsmore, P.Eng, Acting Director, Infrastructure Planning & Development Engineering at 905-980-6000 ext. 3661.

Any new servicing proposed to cross a Regional main is required to be detailed in plan and profile drawings, and any changes to grades (cover) are to be shown on the plans.

Staff will need to ensure that Regional infrastructure will not be negatively impacted by the proposed site servicing. Final detailed engineering design drawings with calculations will be required for Regional review and approval, prior to the City signing off on the Consolidated Linear Infrastructure (CLI) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA).

Transportation

The Region's current 10-year capital budget forecast includes widening Rice Road (Regional Road 54) between Quaker Road and Merritt Road. These works would also include signalization of the Rice Road & Quaker Road intersection. Please note that the timing of these works are subject change depending on Regional budget and priorities, and projects are not guaranteed until the construction budget year is approved by Regional Council.

Stormwater Management

The submitted 'Stormwater Management (SWM) Plan', prepared by Upper Canada Consultants (dated October 2024) proposes stormwater from the subject development be directed to two SWM facilities, namely P30 and P3, prior to Towpath Drain. The facilities are sized to provide an Enhanced level of water quality control, peak flow control, and erosion control, and the report indicates that the peak flow at Niagara Street will not exceed the existing level.

Therefore, staff have no objection to the development SWM plan. The SWM and engineering plan details are subject to the review and approval from City staff to ensure their 'Northwest Welland SWM Implementation Plan (2022)' and local storm infrastructure requirements will be adequately addressed, and the future SWM facilities are subject to the City's CLI ECA.

At the discretion of City staff, the applicant should confirm the following details prior to final approval:

- a. The plan proposes that future development of area A30 (refer to Figure 3), i.e., land in City of Thorold currently drains to Towpath Drain, bypass P30 to a separate outlet to the creek. As such, a flow route that will be required by future development of A30 should be considered with the subject development plan.
- b. This may not affect the pond configuration, however, a total of the internal and external drainage areas to a SWM pond should be used in sizing its permanent pool volume.
- c. It is recommended that the 100-year, 24-hour storm be used to confirm pond storage.
- d. Major flow (i.e., overland flow) routes are to be directed to pond main cells, in order to minimize resuspension of deposited sediment in forebays.

- e. With respect to SWM Pond P31, a berm is required to direct flows along the forebay length. The current configuration, refer to the Report Figure 6, appears that flow short-circuiting will occur.
- f. Confirm the 'percent imperviousness' of A300 of Figure 4, and the 'Average Discharge' of Pond Calculations of P30 &P31.

Waste Collection

Subdivision developments are eligible to receive internal curbside waste collection assuming they meet the requirements of Niagara Region's Waste Collection Policy. For this service to be provided, the developer/owner shall comply with the Niagara Region's Corporate Policy and By-laws related to curbside collection of waste and complete the Application for Commencement of Collection and Indemnity Agreement. The required forms and policy can be found at the following link: <u>www.niagararegion.ca/waste</u>.

Future submissions are to confirm the intention for waste collection and include a waste collection plan to justify that the proposed road design meets the requirements of the current policy. It appears Street 'C' and Street 'G' will require temporary "T" turnarounds to accommodate collection until adjacent developments occur. The drawing should indicate all road widths and curb radii, and we ask that truck turning movements (using the Regional waste collection vehicle template) be included.

If the requirements of the Waste Collection Policy cannot be met, then waste collection will be the responsibility of the owner through a private contractor and not the Niagara Region.

Circular Materials Ontario is responsible for the delivery of Blue / Grey Box recycling collection services. The most up to date information regarding recycling can be found using the following link: <u>https://www.circularmaterials.ca/resident-communities/niagara-region/</u>

Conclusion

Regional Infrastructure Planning and Development staff offer no objection to the applications, subject to the above comments and fulfillment of the conditions in Appendix I.

Please be advised that through changes to the *Planning Act*, the NOP is effectively an official plan of the City of Welland, which remains in effect until the City revokes or amends it to provide otherwise. As such, City staff should be satisfied that the application conforms to NOP policies.

Regards,

Josh Wilson, P.Eng Acting Manger, Development Engineering

cc: Maggie Ding, P.Eng., Stormwater Management Engineer Katie Young, MCIP, RPP, Senior Development Planner

Appendix I: Regional Conditions of Draft Plan Approval Appendix II: Regional comments on submitted Functional Servicing Report

Appendix I Regional Conditions of Draft Plan Approval 210 and 276 Quaker Road, Welland

Servicing

- 1. That the owner provides a written acknowledgement to Niagara Region stating that draft approval of this subdivision does not include a commitment of servicing allocation by Niagara Region as servicing allocation will not be assigned until the plan is registered and that any pre-servicing will be at the sole risk and responsibility of the owner.
- 2. That the owner provides a written undertaking to Niagara stating that all Offers and Agreements of Purchase and Sale or Lease, which may be negotiated prior to registration of this subdivision shall contain a clause indicating that servicing allocation for the subdivision will not be assigned until the plan is registered, and a similar clause be inserted in the subdivision agreement between the owner and the City.
- 3. That prior to final approval for registration of this plan of subdivision, the owner shall submit the design drawings [with calculations] for the sanitary and storm drainage systems required to service this development to confirm capacity in the Regional system prior to the City signing off the CLI ECA
- 4. That the City obtain, on behalf of the owner, approval from the Regional Municipality of Niagara to connect to the Region's trunk sanitary sewer.

Stormwater Management

- 5. That prior to approval of the final plan or any on-site grading, the owner submit a stormwater management report for the subdivision, and the following plans designed and sealed by a qualified professional engineer in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks documents entitled Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, March 2003 and Stormwater Quality Guidelines for New Development, May 1991, or their successors to the Niagara Region for review and approval:
 - a) Detailed lot grading, servicing and drainage plans, noting both existing and proposed grades and the means whereby overland flows will be accommodated across the site.
 - b) Detailed erosion and sedimentation control plans.
 - c) Prior to final approval for registration of this plan of subdivision, the owner shall submit confirmation that the stormwater management facilities required to service this development are thru City CLI-ECA process.

6. That the Subdivision Agreement between the owner and the City contain provisions whereby the owner agrees to implement the approved plans required in accordance with the above-noted condition.

Waste Collection

- 7. That the owner ensure that all streets and development blocks can provide an access in accordance with the Regional Municipality of Niagara Corporate Policy and By-laws relating to the curbside collection of waste and recycling throughout all phases of development. Where a through street is not maintained, the owner shall provide a revised draft plan to reflect a proposed temporary "T" turnaround or cul-de-sac designed to Regional standards.
- 8. That the owner/developer ensure that all streets and development blocks can provide an access in accordance with the Niagara Region's Corporate Policy and By-laws relating to the curbside collection of waste and recycling.
- 9. That the owner/developer shall comply with the Niagara Region's Corporate Policy for Waste Collection and complete the Application for Commencement of Collection and Indemnity Agreement.

Clearance of Conditions

Prior to granting final approval, the City of Welland must be in receipt of written confirmation that the requirements of each condition have been met satisfactorily and that all fees have been paid to the satisfaction of Niagara Region.

Subdivision Agreement

Prior to final approval for registration, a copy of the executed Subdivision Agreement for the proposed development should be submitted to Niagara Region for verification that the appropriate clauses have been included. Niagara Region recommends that a copy of the draft agreement be provided in order to allow for the incorporation of any necessary revisions prior to execution.

Note: Clearance requests shall be submitted to the Region in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding, which stipulates that requests for formal clearance of conditions are to be received and circulated to the Region by the local municipality. The local municipality is also responsible for circulating a copy of the draft agreement, and the Region is unable to provide a final clearance letter until the draft agreement is received. The Region is committed to reviewing submissions related to individual conditions prior to receiving the formal request for clearance. In this regard, studies and reports can be sent directly to the Region with a copy provided to the local municipality.



6/8/25 Planning Department City of Welland Attention: Caitlin Kovacs

Re: 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland - Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision

To whom this may concern:

We have reviewed the circulation regarding the above noted application. The

following paragraphs are to be included as a condition of approval:

Bell Canada Condition(s) of Approval

1) The Owner acknowledges and agrees to convey any easement(s) as deemed necessary by Bell Canada to service this new development. The Owner further agrees and acknowledges to convey such easements at no cost to Bell Canada.

2) The Owner agrees that should any conflict arise with existing Bell Canada facilities where a current and valid easement exists within the subject area, the Owner shall be responsible for the relocation of any such facilities or easements at their own cost. Upon receipt of this comment letter, the Owner is to provide Bell Canada with servicing plans/ CUP at their earliest convenience to <u>planninganddevelopment@bell.ca</u> to confirm the provision of communication/telecommunication infrastructure needed to service the development.

The Owner acknowledges and agrees that it is the responsibility of the Owner to provide entrance/service duct(s) at their own cost from Bell Canada's existing network infrastructure to service this development. In the event that no such network infrastructure exists, in accordance with the Bell Canada Act, the Owner shall be required to pay for the extension of such network infrastructure.

If the Owner elects not to pay for the above noted connection, Bell Canada may decide not to provide service to this development.





Concluding Remarks:

To ensure that we are able to continue to actively participate in the planning process and provide detailed provisioning comments, we note that we would be pleased to receive circulations on all applications received by the Municipality and/or recirculations. If you believe that these comments have been sent to you in error or have questions regarding Bell's protocols for responding to municipal circulations and enquiries, please contact <u>circulations@bell.ca</u> directly.

Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Yours Truly,

Mohammad Bangee mohammad.bangee@bell.ca







CANADA POST 955 HIGHBURY AVE N LONDON ON N5Y 1A3 CANADAPOST.CA POSTES CANADA 955 HIGHBURY AVE N LONDON ON N5Y 1A3

POSTESCANADA.CA

December 5, 2024

CAITLIN KOVACS CITY OF WELLAND 60 EAST MAIN STREET WELLAND, ON L3B 3X4

Re: 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland

Dear Caitlin,

This development will receive mail service to centralized mail facilities provided through our Community Mailbox program.

I will specify the conditions which I request to be added for Canada Post Corporation's purposes.

The owner shall complete to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering of the City of Welland and Canada Post:

- a) Include on all offers of purchase and sale, a statement that advises the prospective purchaser:
 - i) that the home/business mail delivery will be from a designated Centralized Mail Box.
 - ii) that the developers/owners be responsible for officially notifying the purchasers of the exact Centralized Mail Box locations prior to the closing of any home sales.
- b) The owner further agrees to:
 - i) work with Canada Post to determine and provide temporary suitable Centralized Mail Box locations which may be utilized by Canada Post until the curbs, boulevards and sidewalks are in place in the remainder of the subdivision.
 - ii) install a concrete pad in accordance with the requirements of and in locations to be approved by Canada Post to facilitate the placement of Community Mail Boxes

Page 482 of 497



Enbridge Gas Inc. 500 Consumers Road North York, Ontario M2J 1P8 Canada

November 19, 2024

Caitlin Kovacs Development Planner Infrastructure and Development Services City of Welland 60 East Main Street Welland, ON L3B 3X4

Dear Caitlin,

Re: Draft Plan of Subdivision, Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-Law Amendment Ashton Homes 210, 256 & 276 Quaker Road City of Welland File No.: 26T-14-24004, OPA 53, 2024-06

Enbridge Gas does not object to the proposed application(s) however, we reserve the right to amend or remove development conditions. This response does not signify an approval for the site/development.

Please always call before you dig, see web link for additional details: https://www.enbridgegas.com/safety/digging-safety-for-contractors

The Owner agrees to provide Enbridge Gas Inc. (Enbridge Gas) the necessary easements at no cost and/or agreements required by Enbridge Gas for the provision of local gas services for this project, in a form satisfactory to Enbridge Gas.

Sincerely,

Willie Cornelio CET Sr Analyst Municipal Planning Engineering

ENBRIDGE TEL: 416-495-6411 500 Consumers Rd, North York, ON M2J1P8

enbridge.com Safety. Integrity. Respect. Inclusion.

| From: | AMIN Pranav |
|----------|---|
| To: | Caitlin Kovacs |
| Subject: | Welland - 210, 276 Quaker Road - 26T-14-24004 |
| Date: | November 27, 2024 4:38:14 PM |

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hello,

We are in receipt of your Application for Subdivision, 26T-14-24004 dated 2024-11-13. We have reviewed the documents concerning the noted Plan and have no comments or concerns at this time. Our preliminary review considers issues affecting Hydro One's 'High Voltage Facilities and Corridor Lands' only.

For proposals affecting 'Low Voltage Distribution Facilities' the Owner/Applicant should consult their local area Distribution Supplier. Where Hydro One is the local supplier the Owner/Applicant must contact the Hydro subdivision group at subdivision@Hydroone.com or 1-866-272-3330.

To confirm if Hydro One is your local distributor please follow the following link: Stormcentre (hydroone.com)

Please select "Search" and locate the address in question by entering the address or by zooming in and out of the map.



If you have any further questions or inquiries, please contact Customer Service at 1-888-664-9376 or e-mail CustomerCommunications@HydroOne.com to be connected to your Local Operations Centre

If you have any questions please feel free to contact myself.

Thank you,

Dennis De Rango Specialized Services Team Lead, Real Estate Department



June 5, 2025

Via Email Only

Caitlin Kovacs Development Planner City of Welland 60 East Main Street Welland ON, L3B 3X4

NPCA File No.: PLSUB202401403

Dear Ms. Kovacs,

Re: Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) Comments Application for Official Plan Amendment, Zoning Bylaw Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision City of Welland: 210, 256, 276 Quaker Road Municipal File Nos.: OPA No. 53, ZBA 2024-06, 26T-14-24004

The NPCA has received a request to review the complete application in relation to a proposed residential subdivision, inclusive 344 dwelling units. These are inclusive of 268 single detached dwelling units, and 76 street townhouses. The application will also incorporate a park block, stormwater management facilities, and a watercourse block. In response to this request, we offer the following comments.

The NPCA regulates watercourses, flood plains (up to the 100-year flood level), Great Lakes shorelines, hazardous land, valleylands, and wetlands under *Ontario Regulation 41/24* of the *Conservation Authorities Act*. The NPCA's *Policies for Planning and Development in the Watersheds of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority* (NPCA policies) provides direction for managing NPCA regulated features. The subject lands are impacted by a watercourse and 100-year floodplain associated with the Towpath Drain.

Official Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendment

An Official Plan Amendment is required to adjust and implement the land use designations as presented in the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan. Due to a recently issued works permit for the realignment of the Towpath Drain, mapping and schedule changes are required. The proponents are not proposing any amendments to the Policies. The EC Overlay is slated to align with the Towpath Drain block across the property. The NPCA has reviewed the Draft Official Plan Amendment and does not offer objections to the OPA.

A Zoning Bylaw Amendment is required to facilitate the Zoning as set forward in the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan. The lands are to be zoned a 'Residential Low Density' (RL-NWSP), 'Residential Medium Density' (RM-NWSP), Environmental Conservation Overlay (EC-NWSP), and an Open Space (OS) Zone. The NPCA has reviewed the Draft Zoning Bylaw Amendment and does not offer objections to the ZBA.



Draft Plan of Subdivision and Conditions of Draft Approval

The NPCA has reviewed the Draft Plan of Subdivision (dated April 22, 2025) as prepared by Chambers & Associates., and associated Functional Servicing Report (dated May 2025) as prepared by Upper Canada Planning and Engineering Ltd. The NPCA notes that at a high level the design can be achieved. Detailed design elements can address the remaining concerns, and a draft plan condition has been created to address this item. Our Agency does not offer objections to the stormwater management strategy that calls for post-development peak flows to be attenuated to pre-development levels prior to discharge to the Towpath Drain, and that the strategy calls for extended detention volume to be detained for 24 hours prior to discharge to the Towpath Drain. We recognize wet ponds will be used to achieve this, offer no objections, and can confirm that our Agency believes these ponds are sized appropriately. The applicant should be aware that if the outlets for the ponds are within the 100-year floodplain, the function of the ponds may be compromised under 100-year conditions. The NPCA advises that through the realignment of the Towpath Drain, the 100-year floodplain will be incorporated within the watercourse block, and so will be contained away from the residential uses of the property.

The NPCA requests the following conditions be incorporated into the Conditions of Draft Approval for the Subdivision application.

- 1. That the Developer submits to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for review and approval, grading, storm servicing, stormwater management, staging and sequencing, and construction sediment control drawings. The Owner agrees that all Sediment and Erosion Control Measures shall be maintained in good condition for the duration of construction until all disturbed surfaces have been stabilized.
- 2. That prior to the commencement of any works or site alterations, the Owner shall obtain Work Permits from the NPCA for any works associated with the construction of the proposed stormwater outlet to the Towpath Drain. In support of the application, the following information will be required:
 - a. Detailed design plans respecting the outlet, with associated riprap.
 - i. Outfalls and riprap should not be perpendicular to flows and an updated design to align with the watercourse flow should be included for the works permit.
 - b. Any other information as may be determined necessary by the NPCA.
- 3. That the Developer provides a 1.5 metre high (minimum) chain link fence along the lot lines of Blocks 1 to 21, 143, 223 to 233 (along the Towpath Drain) to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.
- 4. That the Towpath Drain Block be zoned Environmental Conservation; Hazard; or similar zone categories that appropriately protect the features within these Blocks, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority
- 5. That Conditions 1 to 4 above be incorporated into the Subdivision Agreement between the Developer and the City of Welland, to the satisfaction of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority. The City of Welland shall circulate the draft Subdivision Agreement to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority for its review and approval.



Conclusion

In summary, the NPCA is supportive of the Official Plan Amendment, Zoning Bylaw Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision the subject lands, provided the Draft Plan Conditions are incorporated.

I trust the above will be of assistance to you. Should you have any further questions or require further information in this matter, please do not hesitate to call. For administrative purposes, please forward any decisions and resolutions of your Council. In the event of an appeal to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT) please send notice of any Case Management Conference.

Please send a copy of any Staff Reports and/or Council recommendations once they are available. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you,

Taran Lennard Watershed Planner II (905) 788-3135 ext. 277 tlennard@npca.ca

Page 3 of 3

| From: | Taran Lennard |
|--------------|--|
| То: | Caitlin Kovacs |
| Subject: | RE: NPCA Review Response: 210, 256, 276 Quaker Road, Welland - Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision |
| Date: | December 13, 2024 1:09:11 PM |
| Attachments: | image001.png |

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Caitlin,

The NPCA will require clarity on the SWM Pond and Outlet prior to finalizing our comments. If the Pond fills and overflows, this may have impacts to the 100-year floodplain.

- The NPCA notes outlets for both P30 and P31 are within the 100-year flood depth for the Towpath Drain. The NPCA notes that the form and function of these SWM Ponds may be compromised under 100-year conditions. It is the opinion of NPCA that the Pond will likely not design as intended under 100-year conditions and believe it may need to be enlarged which may impact the block sizing. Please revise and/or provide clarity to NPCA.
- Further, the NPCA would not support outfalls and associated rip-rap perpendicular to flow. The NPCA would require the proposed outfalls and rip-rap to be revised to align with the flow of the watercourse.

Thank you.

Taran Lennard
Watershed Planner IINiagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA)
3350 Merrittville Highway | Unit 9 | Thorold, ON L2V 4Y6905.788.3135 ext. 277
www.npca.ca
tlennard@npca.ca

For more information on Permits & Planning, please go to the Permits & Planning webpage at <u>https://npca.ca/administration/permits</u>.

For mapping on features regulated by the NPCA please go to our GIS webpage at <u>https://gis-npca-camaps.opendata.arcgis.com/</u> and utilize our Watershed Explorer App or GIS viewer.

To send NPCA staff information regarding a potential violation of Ontario Regulation 41/24 please go to the NPCA Enforcement and Compliance webpage at https://npca.ca/administration/enforcement-compliance

From: Caitlin Kovacs <caitlin.kovacs@welland.ca>
Sent: November 12, 2024 3:42 PM
Subject: 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland - Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision

Good Afternoon,

The following complete applications have been made for 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland.

- Official Plan Amendment, City file no. OPA No. 53
- Zoning By-law Amendment, City file no. 2024-06
- Draft Plan of Subdivision, City file no. 26T-14-24004

The submission material is available via the link below. Commenting Items - 210, 276 Quaker, Welland.zip

Please have any comments returned to me no later then December 20, 2024.

Thank you,

| City logo | Caitlin Kovacs Development Planner Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 Phone: 905-735-1700 x2131 |
|-----------|--|
| | engagewelland.ca ? ? |

This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

The information contained in this communication, including any attachment(s), may be confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure of this communication, or any of its contents, is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and permanently delete the original and any copy from your computer system. Thank-you. Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click here to

| From: | Shannon Larocque |
|----------|---|
| To: | Caitlin Kovacs |
| Subject: | RE: 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland - Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision |
| Date: | November 19, 2024 9:43:54 AM |

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Caitlin, Thank you for circulating these applications. The Town of Pelham has no comments at this time. Best Regards, Shannon



Shannon Larocque, MCIP. RPP.

Manager of Planning Town of Pelham D: 905-980-6661 | E: slarocque@pelham.ca T: 905-892-2607 x319 20 Pelham Town Square | PO Box 400 | Fonthill, ON | LOS 1E0

TOWN OF PELHAM CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this communication, including any attachments, may be confidential and is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above, and may be legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender and permanently delete the original and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank you.

From: Caitlin Kovacs <caitlin.kovacs@welland.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2024 3:42 PM
Subject: 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland - Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision

Good Afternoon,

The following complete applications have been made for 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland.

- Official Plan Amendment, City file no. OPA No. 53
- Zoning By-law Amendment, City file no. 2024-06
- Draft Plan of Subdivision, City file no. 26T-14-24004

The submission material is available via the link below. Commenting Items - 210, 276 Quaker, Welland.zip

Please have any comments returned to me no later then December 20, 2024.

Thank you,

| From: | Zachary Sherwood |
|--------------|---|
| To: | Caitlin Kovacs |
| Subject: | RE: 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland - Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision |
| Date: | November 15, 2024 2:18:55 PM |
| Attachments: | image001.png |

Welland Security Warning: This is an external email. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you are sure they are safe!

Hi Caitlin,

No comments from Welland Hydro at this present time.

Zach Sherwood Assets & Engineering Supervisor

Email: zsherwood@wellandhydro.com

Welland Hydro Electric System Corp. 950 East Main St, Welland, ON L3B 0L9 Ph: 905-732-1381 Ext 238 | Fax: 905-732-0123



Save paper: do you really need to print this e-mail?

This message is intended only for the individual(s) named and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately via a return email and delete this message from your system.

From: Caitlin Kovacs <caitlin.kovacs@welland.ca>
 Sent: November 12, 2024 3:42 PM
 Subject: 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland - Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment, and Draft Plan of Subdivision

Good Afternoon,

The following complete applications have been made for 210, 276 Quaker Road, Welland.

- Official Plan Amendment, City file no. OPA No. 53
- Zoning By-law Amendment, City file no. 2024-06
- Draft Plan of Subdivision, City file no. 26T-14-24004

The submission material is available via the link below.

Commenting Items - 210, 276 Quaker, Welland.zip

Please have any comments returned to me no later then December 20, 2024.

Thank you,

| City logo | Caitlin Kovacs Development Planner Planning Division 60 East Main Street, Welland, Ontario L3B 3X4 Phone: 905-735-1700 x2131 welland.ca engagewelland.ca I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I |
|-----------|---|
| | |

This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

COUNCIL MEETING

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PLANNING DIVISION

REPORT P&B-2025-30 July 8, 2025

SUBJECT: Request for Redline Revision to Draft Plan of Common Element Condominium – Warbler Place – 205 Lancaster Drive AUTHOR: Caitlin Kovacs, B.URPI **Development Planner** APPROVING Taylor Meadows, B.URPI, CPT, RPP, MCIP SUPERVISOR: Manager of Development Planning APPROVING Michael Greenlee, RPP, MCIP MANAGER: Manager of Planning Services APPROVING Grant Munday, B.A.A, RPP, MCIP DIRECTOR: **Director, Planning And Development Services**

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council approves the Redline Draft Plan of Common Element Condominium for the Warbler Place Common Element Condominium (File no. 26CD-14-23003)

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN

This recommendation is aligned to Council's strategic priority of ensuring "Liveability" by creating a sense of belonging while enhancing mobility by improving access to recreation and community events, ensuring adequate housing options, encouraging job growth, and improving ways to efficiently move people throughout the city.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

On May 25, 2025, the City received a request for Redline Revision to the Draft Plan of Common Element Condominium for 205 Lancaster Drive. No objections have been received from the Region of Niagara or the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority. The request is considered minor in nature and is supported by City staff.

BACKGROUND:

On February 27, 2024, the Warbler Place Common Element Condominium (File No. 26CD-14-23003) received Council approval, and has a lapsing date of February 27, 2027.

The Draft Plan of Common Element Condominium for Warbler Place consists of 14 Blocks, four private streets, four laneways, one greenway, and three woonerfs. The intention of the redline revision is to expand the common element to facilitate the inclusion of additional lands of the Owner.

DISCUSSION:

There has been one previous request for redline revision to the Draft Plan of Common Element Condominium. The previous redline request was made to permit a reduction in the buffer setback from 7.5 metres to 4.5 metres. This redline request has been reviewed and approved. The subject redline request is being made to continue the buffer setback down along the additional lands of the Owner. The 4.5 metre setback is to be maintained. These lands are part of the common element. The following document was submitted in support of the request:

- Revised Addendum to Slope Stability Assessment, dated, March 18, 2024 (Prepared by Niagara Testing and Inspection Ltd.)

In accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding amongst the local municipalities, Region of Niagara, all requests for redline revision to Draft Plans of Common Element Condominium to be circulated to the Region and NPCA for comments. This is to ensure that any conditions of Draft Plan Approval comply with current policies.

Both Agencies have been circulated and at the time of writing this report, no objections have been received.

FINANCIAL:

There are no financial impacts resulting from the recommendation in this report.

ATTACHMENT:

| Appendix I | - | Key Map |
|--------------|---|--|
| Appendix II | - | Redline Draft Plan of Common Element Condominium |
| Appendix III | - | Top of Stable Slope Drawing |

Appendix I



Appendix II



Appendix III





NOTICE OF MOTION

SUBMISSION DATE: June 17, 2025

Notice of Motion:

City of Welland staff report back to Council with costs of installing large roadway markings indicating school crossing zone as well as with mid road signage indicating speed. Staff to concentrate on high traffic school zones and for the report to come back prior to the September 2025 school year, and take an example of the Town of Pelham as they have school markings zones. Ref. No. 25-22